IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

```
W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )

capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )

OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )

OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE )

ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)

in his capacity as the )

TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)

FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ

Defendants. )
```

THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

ROBERT TAYLOR, PhD, produced as a witness on behalf of the Defendants in the above styled and numbered cause, taken on the 15th day of July, 2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

| ı | | 2 |
|----------|---------------------|--|
| - | | |
| 1 2 | APPEA | R A N C E S |
| 3 | FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: | Mr. David Riggs |
| 4 | | Mr. David Page Mr. Richard Garren |
| Ŧ | | Attorneys at Law |
| 5 | | 502 West 6th Street |
| 6 | | Tulsa, OK 74119 -and- |
| | | Ms. Claire Xidis |
| 7 | | Attorney at Law P. O. Box 1792 |
| 8 | | Mt. Pleasant, SC 29465 |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | FOR TYSON FOODS: | Mr. Brian Burns Attorney at Law |
| | | 2210 West Oaklawn Drive |
| 11 12 | | Springdale, AR 72762 |
| | FOR CARGILL: | Mr. Colin Tucker |
| 13 | | Attorney at Law 100 West 5th Street |
| 14 | | Suite 400 |
| 1 - | | Tulsa, OK 74103 |
| 15 16 | FOR SIMMONS FOODS: | Mr. John Elrod |
| | 10:1 22:1:02:12 | Attorney at Law |
| 17 | | 211 East Dickson Street Fayetteville, AR 72701 |
| 18 | | rayecteville, AR /2/01 |
| 19 | FOR PETERSON FARMS: | Mr. Philip Hixon |
| 20 | | Attorney at Law 320 South Boston |
| | | Suite 700 |
| 21 22 | | Tulsa, OK 74103 |
| | FOR GEORGE'S: | Mr. Woodson Bassett |
| 23 | | Attorney at Law 221 North College |
| 24 | | Fayetteville, AR 72701 |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

FOR WILLOW BROOK: Ms. Jennifer Griffin Attorney at Law 314 East High Street Jefferson City, MO 65109 (Via phone) б

```
1
                           I N D E X
 2
 3
      WITNESS
                                              PAGE
 4
      ROBERT TAYLOR, PhD
 5
              Direct Examination by Mr. Elrod
                                                   6
              Direct Examination by Mr. Burns
                                                    82
 6
              Direct Examination by Mr. Bassett
                                                   117
              Cont. Direct Exam by Mr. Burns
 7
                                                   126
              Direct EXamination by Mr. Hixon
                                                    129
 8
 9
      Signature Page
                                                    188
                                                    189
      Reporter's Certificate
10
11
12
13
14
                                                                    08:51AM
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | 5 |
|----|---|
| 1 | (Whereupon, the deposition began at |
| 2 | 9:00 a.m.) |
| 3 | VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the Record for |
| 4 | the deposition of Dr. Robert Taylor. Today is July |
| 5 | 15th, 2008. The time is 8:59 a.m. Would counsel 08:59AM |
| 6 | please identify themselves for the Record? |
| 7 | MR. ELROD: John Elrod for Simmons. |
| 8 | MR. BASSETT: Woody Bassett for the |
| 9 | George's defendants. |
| 10 | MR. HIXON: Philip Hixon for Peterson 08:59AM |
| 11 | Farms. |
| 12 | MR. TUCKER: Colin Tucker for Cargill and |
| | |
| 13 | Cargill Turkey Production. |
| 14 | MR. RIGGS: Jennifer? |
| 15 | MS. GRIFFIN: Jennifer Griffin for Willow 08:59AM |
| 16 | Brook Foods. |
| 17 | MR. RIGGS: David Riggs for the State of |
| 18 | Oklahoma. |
| 19 | MS. XIDIS: Claire Xidis for the State of |
| 20 | Oklahoma. |
| 21 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The witness may |
| 22 | be sworn in. |
| 23 | ROBERT TAYLOR, PhD |
| 24 | having first been duly sworn to testify the truth, |
| 25 | the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified |
| | |

| ı | | 6 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | as follows: | |
| _ | | |
| 2 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | |
| 3 | BY MR. ELROD: | |
| 4 | Q Dr. Taylor, how are you this morning? | |
| 5 | A I'm fine. | 09:00AM |
| 6 | Q Okay. I want to start by asking you what you | |
| 7 | have done since, two things, your previous report | |
| 8 | and your testimony at the PI hearing, and if you did | |
| 9 | nothing between your previous report and the | |
| 10 | testimony at the PI hearing other than prepare for | 09:00AM |
| 11 | the PI hearing, then, you know, we don't need to go | |
| 12 | into that, but I want to know what you've done | |
| 13 | basically since your first time around. | |
| 14 | A Since this May report, I received Willow Brook | |
| 15 | documents and I reviewed, quickly reviewed the | 09:00AM |
| 16 | contracts and looked at some of the Agri Stats but | |
| 17 | not most of the other material, and I went back and | |
| 18 | looked at some of the University of Arkansas crop | |
| 19 | cost of production budgets that are on the Internet. | |
| 20 | That's all I can recall doing that was not covered | 09:00AM |
| 21 | in the report and the document production. | |
| 22 | Q What did you do then since the PI hearing and | |
| 23 | the present report? | |
| 24 | A Between the PI hearing | |
| 25 | Q Your previous testimony and the present | 09:01AM |

Now, what specifically about Willow Brook were

25

09:02AM

| | | 8 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | you looking at? | |
| 2 | A Simply their contracts. | |
| 3 | Q Their grower contracts? | |
| 4 | A Grower contracts, yes. | |
| 5 | Q And why were you focusing on Willow Brook in | 09:03AM |
| 6 | terms of grower contracts? | |
| 7 | A For completeness. In the summary covered in | |
| 8 | my May report, I did not have Willow Brook contracts | |
| 9 | to look at. | |
| 10 | Q Was there anything about the Willow Brook | 09:03AM |
| 11 | contracts that you deemed significant in terms of | |
| 12 | the opinions you're offering in your present report? | |
| 13 | A The only thing I noticed in the contracts is | |
| 14 | they did not specifically address responsibility for | |
| 15 | poultry waste and used litter | 09:03AM |
| 16 | Q Anything else? | |
| 17 | A while recent contracts for the other | |
| 18 | defendants did. | |
| 19 | Q All right. | |
| 20 | A That's really all I noticed. | 09:03AM |
| 21 | Q And did you look at contracts for between | |
| 22 | other companies and growers since the PI hearing? | |
| 23 | A Recently I received in the mail unsolicited a | |
| 24 | Pilgrim's Pride contract from a north Alabama | |
| 25 | grower. | 09:04AM |

| v-00329-GKF-PJC Document 2091-7 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 05/1 | 9/2009 Page 9 |
|---|--|
| | 9 |
| | |
| Q And you don't know who sent it to you? | |
| A I know who sent it to me. I don't recall his | |
| name now. I think I saved the envelope. | |
| Q Well, who sent it to you and why? | |
| A Trying to think of his name. It just doesn't | 09:04AM |
| come, but I can get it for you. I saved the | |
| envelope. I have never personally met him. He has | |
| called me a time or two and commented on my first | |
| report done at Auburn on restoring economic health | |
| to contract poultry production, and I really know | 09:05AM |
| nothing about him. | |
| Q Is he a grower? | |
| A He is a grower. | |
| Q With Pilgrim's Pride? | |
| A Apparently. | 09:05AM |
| Q And if you would provide his name and contact | |
| information to Mr. Riggs, I would appreciate it. | |
| A Okay, sure. | |
| Q So you had no communications with him; you | |
| just opened the mail one day and here was this | 09:05AM |
| unsolicited Pilgrim's Pride contract? | |
| A He called me and thanked me for my report and | |
| said that he was sending me the latest contract. I | |
| | Q And you don't know who sent it to you? A I know who sent it to me. I don't recall his name now. I think I saved the envelope. Q Well, who sent it to you and why? A Trying to think of his name. It just doesn't come, but I can get it for you. I saved the envelope. I have never personally met him. He has called me a time or two and commented on my first report done at Auburn on restoring economic health to contract poultry production, and I really know nothing about him. Q Is he a grower? A He is a grower. Q With Pilgrim's Pride? A Apparently. Q And if you would provide his name and contact information to Mr. Riggs, I would appreciate it. A Okay, sure. Q So you had no communications with him; you just opened the mail one day and here was this unsolicited Pilgrim's Pride contract? A He called me and thanked me for my report and |

Okay. Did -- are you under the impression

did not solicit it.

24

25

09:05AM

| 1 | that Pilgrim's Pride had just changed some of the | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | parts of their contract or did he want to show you | |
| 3 | changes? | |
| 4 | A He had just highlighted some areas of concern. | |
| 5 | Q And what were those as best you can recall | 09:06AM |
| 6 | right now? | |
| 7 | A I don't even recall. I kind of glanced at it | |
| 8 | and put it down on my desk and it's another contract | |
| 9 | and it was not relevant to this litigation. | |
| 10 | Q What have you done in terms of looking at Agri | 09:06AM |
| 11 | Stats since the PI hearing? | |
| 12 | A I have looked at two of the Willow Brook Agri | |
| 13 | Stats the two plaintiff attorneys sent me. One is | |
| 14 | for the beginning of the series and one is for the | |
| 15 | end, and seems like it was April of '06 and November | 09:06AM |
| 16 | of '07 and for turkey, and I looked primarily at | |
| 17 | the feed hauling cost breakdown. | |
| 18 | Q Anything else that you looked at in terms of | |
| 19 | the Willow Brook Agri Stats? | |
| 20 | A I don't think so. I flipped through it, and | 09:07AM |
| 21 | it's the standard format that I've seen before and I | |
| 22 | went to the feed delivery department and I looked at | |
| 23 | the or the feed section of it and looked at their | |
| 24 | breakout of feed hauling costs and looked at the | |
| 25 | wages and benefits paid to their feed truck drivers. | 09:07AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | I did not specifically look at Willow Brook for any | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | of it. I looked at Region 52, Agri Stats Region 52 | |
| 3 | averages for those two monthly time periods. | |
| 4 | Q Agri Stats reports anonymously? I mean let me | |
| 5 | restate that. They don't declare what companies are | 09:08AM |
| 6 | reporting on any particular line; is that true? | |
| 7 | A They give highly detailed information for each | |
| 8 | complex. They do not identify complexes for other | |
| 9 | integrators by name. | |
| 10 | Q Okay. | 09:08AM |
| 11 | A But there is a cover sheet that lists the | |
| 12 | participating integrators in each region. | |
| 13 | Q All right, and Region 52 encompasses what | |
| 14 | geography? | |
| 15 | A It's this part of Arkansas. I think they say | 09:08AM |
| 16 | northwest Arkansas, southeast Missouri, but | |
| 17 | presumably it also includes this corner of Oklahoma. | |
| 18 | Q But when you were you knew the Willow Brook | |
| 19 | information because it was so identified; is that | |
| 20 | true? | 09:09AM |
| 21 | A They list all of the participating complexes. | |
| 22 | For that company, in this case Willow Brook, there | |
| 23 | will be some identifier for Willow Brook, but I | |
| 24 | didn't look at that. I simply looked at the Region | |
| 25 | 52 average. | 09:09AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q So let me make sure I've got this straight. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | You weren't looking at Willow Brook information | |
| 3 | necessarily; you simply received the Agri Stats from | |
| 4 | Region 52 from those two months from Willow Brook as | |
| 5 | part of this case? | 09:09AM |
| 6 | A Yes, and all other regions. | |
| 7 | Q Okay. So what you were looking at was Agri | |
| 8 | Stats information for various complexes in northwest | |
| 9 | Arkansas, northeast Oklahoma, southeast Missouri | |
| 10 | without being able to identify any particular piece (| 09:09AM |
| 11 | of information to a particular company? | |
| 12 | A Except for Willow Brook, but I didn't look at | |
| 13 | it, but I could have. I know I can go back and | |
| 14 | identify it. | |
| 15 | Q And you were focusing on feed hauling costs? (| 09:10AM |
| 16 | A Just feed hauling costs and wages and benefits | |
| 17 | for feed truck drivers. | |
| 18 | Q What was the reason you were focusing on that | |
| 19 | information? | |
| 20 | A Which part? The feed hauling costs? (| 09:10AM |
| 21 | Q Yes, sir. | |
| 22 | A Because, as you know, part of my study deals | |
| 23 | with hauling litter, and I wanted to put those | |
| 24 | hauling costs in perspective relative to feed | |
| 25 | hauling costs even though it's not exactly the same (| 09:10AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | and specifically to look at the fuel component of | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | hauling costs because fuel has gone up so much | |
| 3 | recently, even since I did this May report. | |
| 4 | Q So if I understand your testimony, you were | |
| 5 | looking at feed hauling costs, especially the fuel | 09:10AM |
| 6 | component, as part of your analysis of litter | |
| 7 | transportation costs; is that true? | |
| 8 | A Just to get some perspective on it. | |
| 9 | Q And how did you use that information in regard | |
| 10 | to translating to litter hauling costs? | 09:11AM |
| 11 | A I really didn't. I didn't use it in | |
| 12 | estimating litter hauling costs, but on a per ton | |
| 13 | per mile basis, the feed hauling cost numbers are | |
| 14 | comparable to those I have referenced in my May | |
| 15 | report. | 09:11AM |
| 16 | Q What is the weight capacity of a typical | |
| 17 | incoming feed truck I'm sorry. You're not | |
| 18 | talking about feed brought in from Iowa to Kansas to | |
| 19 | the feed mill; you're talking about transportation | |
| 20 | from the mill to the grower? | 09:11AM |
| 21 | A From the feed mill to the grower. | |
| 22 | Q What is the tonnage capacity of those feed | |
| 23 | trucks? | |
| 24 | A I don't know. | |
| 25 | Q And what is the typical tonnage capacity of a | 09:11AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | litter hauling truck, one transporting litter out of | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | a watershed? | |
| 3 | A The numbers I've seen are 23 to 26 tons. | |
| 4 | That's for hauling loose litter. | |
| 5 | Q And this may sound simplistic, and it probably | 09:12AM |
| | | 09·12AM |
| 6 | is, but would it not be true that the average mile | |
| 7 | per gallon consumed by a hauling truck would vary | |
| 8 | with the weight that's on that truck, less fuel | |
| 9 | efficiency when you are pulling more weight, do you | |
| 10 | not, than when you are pulling less weight? | 09:12AM |
| 11 | A There are some slight differences, yes. | |
| 12 | Q Did you take those into consideration? | |
| 13 | A I just simply looked at the truck hauling cost | |
| 14 | they report per ton cost. They report mileage | |
| 15 | driven, and I put that on a per mile basis, which | 09:12AM |
| 16 | would be per mile per ton to see if it was way out | |
| 17 | of line with the assumptions I've made about the | |
| 18 | litter hauling costs, and it was not. That's all I | |
| 19 | did with it. | |
| 20 | Q What about the University of Arkansas crop | 09:13AM |
| 21 | cost budgets; what did you look at there? Strike | |
| 22 | that. What were the months that you looked at for | |
| 23 | Agri Stats? | |
| 24 | A It was the first and the last of the series. | |
| 25 | It's my understanding it was the first and the last | 09:13AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 12 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | and, as I recall, it was April '06 and November '07. | |
| 2 | Q Thank you. Now, what was it that you looked | |
| 3 | at regarding University of Arkansas crop cost | |
| 4 | budgets? | |
| 5 | A I went back and tried to find some older ones | 09:13AM |
| 6 | and found the one for 2007 budget, which was done in | |
| 7 | '06 for rice, and then I looked at fertilizer, | |
| 8 | relooked at fertilizer application rates for other | |
| 9 | delta crops. | |
| 10 | Q For what purpose? | 09:14AM |
| 11 | A Well, the Carreira study, where they set up a | |
| 12 | big optimization model to look at hauling waste out | |
| 13 | of the IRW, found that all went to rice and not to | |
| 14 | cotton or corn or so forth, and I just wanted to | |
| 15 | refresh my memory about the rates of application on | 09:14AM |
| 16 | those other crops to see if, you know, it was close | |
| 17 | or far removed from the rice rates. | |
| 18 | Q To determine whether there may be markets in | |
| 19 | east Arkansas for land application of other crops | |
| 20 | than rice? | 09:14AM |
| 21 | A Yes. | |
| 22 | Q Any other purpose? | |
| 23 | A No. | |
| 24 | Q What were your conclusions in that regard? | |
| 25 | A Nothing surprising there. Corn is an | 09:15AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| ĺ | | |
|-----|--|---------|
| 1 | intensive user of nitrogen, and the P and K | |
| 2 | application rates are comparable, if not higher, | |
| 3 | than rice. Soybeans, they generally apply no | |
| | | |
| 4 | nitrogen because it's a leguminous crop and fixes | |
| 5 | | :15AM |
| 6 | would not have value applied to soybeans. Sometimes | |
| 7 | they apply a little starter nitrogen. | |
| 8 | Q What about phosphorus; would it have value to | |
| 9 | soybeans? | |
| 10 | A Yes. | :15AM |
| 11 | Q I butted in. You were getting ready to talk | |
| 12 | about some other crop, too. Corn? | |
| 13 | A Soybeans, grain sorghum, cotton, and I don't | |
| 14 | recall all the numbers but certainly corn, grain | |
| 15 | sorghum and cotton well, corn and grain sorghum 09 | :16AM |
| 16 | are intensive users of nitrogen. They have pretty | |
| 17 | high application rates for nitrogen. As I recall, | |
| 18 | the P rate was similar to rice, and on some of them | |
| 19 | the K application rate was even higher than on rice. | |
| 20 | Q Is it your opinion or impression that all of 09 | :16AM |
| 21 | these crops that you just mentioned could benefit | |
| 22 | from chicken litter? | |
| 23 | A That is generally that is generally | |
| 24 | consistent with lots of research done in land grant | |
| 25 | | :16AM |
| د ک | direction of the desire, and the carretta beauty | T 01111 |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | found that the optimal use was on rice, so that | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | would be the best use of it, and then corn or cotton | |
| 3 | somewhat behind rice, and either because of location | |
| 4 | in the delta or | |
| 5 | Q Have you determined excuse me. Go ahead. | 09:17AM |
| 6 | A And soybeans, which would be much further down | |
| 7 | because they simply don't need the nitrogen, or if | |
| 8 | they do, it's just a starter shot of applied | |
| 9 | nitrogen. | |
| 10 | Q Did you reach any conclusions regarding | 09:17AM |
| 11 | whether there's market resistance of any kind in | |
| 12 | east Arkansas to the use of chicken litter? | |
| 13 | A I did not research that. The Arkansas ag | |
| 14 | economists have looked into that and done surveys, | |
| 15 | and when the surveys were done, they found some | 09:18AM |
| 16 | resistance. | |
| 17 | Q And which studies are you referring to? | |
| 18 | A I don't recall the specific ones. | |
| 19 | Q Can you take a look and tell me right now what | |
| 20 | University of Arkansas study you're talking about? | 09:18AM |
| 21 | A The Carreira, Goodwin and Hamm 2006 article is | |
| 22 | one of those, and in a way the Guru and Goodwin 2004 | |
| 23 | article touched on it. The Parker study looked at | |
| 24 | it for a different region. | |
| 25 | Q What year was Parker? | 09:19AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 10 |
|----|--------|--|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | A | '04. | |
| 2 | Q | Any others? | |
| 3 | A | I think there's others in the documents I | |
| 4 | produc | ed but I don't specifically recall them. | |
| 5 | Q | Dr. Taylor, did you conduct your own | 09:19AM |
| 6 | invest | igation or are you relying on the work of | |
| 7 | others | in regard to this issue of potential market | |
| 8 | resist | ance? | |
| 9 | A | It's something I've talked with scientists | |
| 10 | about | on and off for an extended period going back | 09:19AM |
| 11 | long b | efore I became involved in this litigation. | |
| 12 | Q | Have you talked to Sheri Herron about these | |
| 13 | issues | ? | |
| 14 | А | I have not. | |
| 15 | Q | Do you know who she is? | 09:19AM |
| 16 | А | BMP? | |
| 17 | Q | Yes, sir. | |
| 18 | А | I know of her. That's all. | |
| 19 | Q | And do you know they're facilitating the | |
| 20 | haulin | g of probably the most export of chicken | 09:19AM |
| 21 | litter | from the IRW than any other entity; are you | |
| 22 | aware | of that? | |
| 23 | A | I have seen the numbers for BMP exports and | |
| 24 | for Ge | orge's. I've not seen others. | |
| 25 | Q | Do you know BMPs facilitates the hauling for | 09:20AM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 1.7 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | export hauling for all of the defendants in this | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | case; are you aware of that? | |
| 3 | A That is my understanding. | |
| 4 | Q Okay, or many of the defendants in this case? | |
| 5 | A Uh-huh. | 09:20AM |
| 6 | Q So they're numbers, in quote, would be | |
| 7 | incorporated into BMP's numbers; is that true? | |
| 8 | A I don't know. The numbers I saw were from | |
| 9 | another expert's report, and they reported BMP and | |
| 10 | they reported George's. I don't recall whether the | 09:20AM |
| 11 | George's numbers were included in the BMP numbers or | |
| 12 | not. | |
| 13 | Q Have you conducted any sort of a study | |
| 14 | regarding the George's export activities? | |
| 15 | A I have not. | 09:21AM |
| 16 | Q Do you know who their markets are? | |
| 17 | A I do not. | |
| 18 | Q Do you know anything at all about the George's | |
| 19 | export, litter export history? | |
| 20 | A The history I recall is from the data I just | 09:21AM |
| 21 | mentioned that showed for both of them in like '03 | |
| 22 | or '04. The first year of numbers that I saw, it | |
| 23 | was a fairly small percent of the total waste | |
| 24 | generated in the IRW, and for '06, which I think, as | |
| 25 | I recall, was the last year it was up near 20 | 09:21AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
percent.
 1
             Do you know anything, Dr. Taylor, about the
 2
      logistical complexity of exporting litter to east
 3
      Arkansas?
 4
             Well, as indicated by the Carreira study, you
 5
                                                                      09:21AM
      need a central collection point, and then you would
 6
      haul it over, possibly go ahead and haul it over to
 7
      the delta near where it would be used, and then
 8
      you'd unload it and then the applicator truck would
 9
      load it up and go apply it, so --
                                                                      09:22AM
10
11
             Hub and spoke?
             I have not looked at the technology of that.
12
             But what you are describing is a hub and spoke
13
14
      concept; right?
             Oh, okay. For -- I thought you meant the
                                                                      09:22AM
15
      spreader.
16
17
             No.
             Couldn't make the connection there. Yeah,
18
      there would be a central hub and spokes going out to
19
      individual growers that would be a collection point
                                                                      09:22AM
20
      in the IRW and then possibly another hub and spoke
21
      in the delta farmland area.
22
23
             Have you conducted any sort of a study or
      reached any conclusions regarding the cost
24
25
      efficiencies attendant to handling litter twice or
                                                                      09:22AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | three times as opposed to directly hauling from the | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | house to the ultimate end user? | |
| 3 | A In the Carreira study I want to refer to it | |
| 4 | as Goodwin. The Carreira study, you know, they have | |
| 5 | cost in for loading and unloading and cleaning and | 09:23AM |
| 6 | storage in a hoop building and unload litter to a | |
| 7 | spreader and application and disking and all of | |
| 8 | that. It seems to be a very thorough study. | |
| 9 | Q Just refer to the page of your report that | |
| 10 | you're looking at. | 09:23AM |
| 11 | A It's Table 2, Page 36. | |
| 12 | Q So Table 2 on Page 36 is lifted from the | |
| 13 | Carreira Goodwin study and placed in your report; is | |
| 14 | that true? | |
| 15 | A That is correct. | 09:23AM |
| 16 | Q Is it also true that you don't know whether | |
| 17 | any of the integrator defendants in this case | |
| 18 | utilize that model in transporting litter out of the | |
| 19 | IRW? | |
| 20 | A I don't see the connection with this model and | 09:24AM |
| 21 | litter transporting. | |
| 22 | Q I thought that's what we were talking about. | |
| 23 | My question to you is this | |
| 24 | A Ask again, please. | |
| 25 | Q Okay. It is true that you don't know whether | 09:24AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | any of the integrator defendants in this case or |
|----|---|
| 2 | BMPs, Inc., utilize the H. L. Goodwin model in Table |
| 3 | 2 as the means to transport litter out of the |
| 4 | watershed to its end user? |
| 5 | A That is correct. 09:24AM |
| 6 | Q You do not know? |
| 7 | A I do not know. |
| 8 | Q So you do not know whether the Goodwin model |
| 9 | on Table 2 is actually used in the real world? |
| 10 | A I do not know if the system they set up you 09:24AM |
| 11 | call it a model. |
| 12 | Q I'm sorry, I thought you called it a model. |
| 13 | A Model has a different meaning many |
| 14 | different meanings. |
| 15 | Q That's for sure. We now agree on one thing. 09:25AM |
| 16 | A Okay. I do not know if the system they have |
| 17 | in mind here, including office and scales and the |
| 18 | infrastructure and in your words hub and spoke, is |
| 19 | exactly what or similar to what BMP does. |
| 20 | Q Okay. Now, you testified, Dr. Taylor, that 09:25AM |
| 21 | since the PI hearing, you've looked at contracts, ag |
| 22 | economic studies and near studies. Let's take those |
| 23 | one at a time. What contracts have you looked at |
| 24 | since the PI study? |
| 25 | A Willow Brook only. 09:26AM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q Okay, and that's per your previous testimony | |
|----|--|---------|
| _ | | |
| 2 | just a few minutes ago? | |
| 3 | A Yes. | |
| 4 | Q What ag econ studies have you looked at since | |
| 5 | the PI hearing? | 09:26AM |
| 6 | A I went back and looked at the ones that I | |
| 7 | cited in the May report, and the that's | |
| 8 | Q Okay. Without looking at the May report right | |
| 9 | now, we're talking about 3 or 33 studies? If | |
| 10 | there's just a few, let's talk about what they are | 09:26AM |
| 11 | right now. If there's a huge number, then I don't | |
| 12 | want to do that. | |
| 13 | A I certainly went back and looked at the | |
| 14 | Carreira study that I used information from, and | |
| 15 | there are a couple of other studies by the | 09:27AM |
| 16 | University of Arkansas ag economist that I looked | |
| 17 | at, and there was a USDA report, a big thick one, | |
| 18 | that had one page in it that gave a hauling cost for | |
| 19 | litter. I looked at that, and there's a Carreira, I | |
| 20 | think it's Carreira. It's the Arkansas ag econ | 09:27AM |
| 21 | group study of using litter on cotton that I have | |
| 22 | looked at, and I looked back at the Oklahoma State | |
| 23 | study by Stoecker and others for the ESW where they | |
| 24 | provided estimates of litter transportation costs. | |
| 25 | Q Okay, and was the end purpose of this exercise | 09:28AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 2 1 |
|----|---|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | on your part to provide you sufficient information | |
| 2 | to opine as to what I think you called the costs | |
| 3 | that the companies have avoided historically over | |
| 4 | the years by not hauling litter out of the | |
| 5 | watershed; is that true? | 09:28AM |
| 6 | A Yes. | |
| 7 | Q Any other purpose for the your perusing | |
| 8 | these studies? | |
| 9 | A No, not that I can think of. | |
| 10 | Q All right. Let's start in with my hen | 09:28AM |
| 11 | scratchings. Go to Page 2, please, if you would of | |
| 12 | your report, Doctor. For your information and the | |
| 13 | information of the other attorneys in the room, I'm | |
| 14 | going to start working through your report asking | |
| 15 | questions about things that | 09:29AM |
| 16 | A Okay. | |
| 17 | Q I decided I wanted to ask questions about. | |
| 18 | Paragraph 5 on Page 2 you talk about early in your | |
| 19 | professional career you conducted substantive | |
| 20 | research on plant nutrients as water pollutants. I | 09:29AM |
| 21 | think we went into that in your previous testimony, | |
| 22 | but it's easier for me to ask these questions again | |
| 23 | than it is to, quite frankly, go all the way back | |
| 24 | through your testimony. | |
| 25 | A Okay. | 09:29AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q Tell me give me the nickel tour of what it | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | was and when you did it in this regard. | |
| 3 | A Finished up my PhD at the University of | |
| 4 | Missouri in 1972 and was hired at the University of | |
| 5 | Illinois as a post doc on a Rockefeller Foundation | 09:29AM |
| 6 | grant on nitrogen as an environmental quality | |
| 7 | factor. There was a brother or sister grant to | |
| 8 | Cornell that dealt a lot more with other nutrients, | |
| 9 | and we worked together a lot. So I was a post doc | |
| 10 | for two years. The project continued for a while, | 09:30AM |
| 11 | but then I moved to a tenure track assistant prof | |
| 12 | position. | |
| 13 | Q So is it true that early in your career | |
| 14 | beginning in 1972 through 1974 while at Mizzou that | |
| 15 | you were looking at plant nutrients as potential | 09:30AM |
| 16 | sources of water pollution? | |
| 17 | A Not so much at Mizzou. My dissertation was on | |
| 18 | integrative test management, but I worked full time | |
| 19 | on this interdisciplinary project on nitrogen as an | |
| 20 | environmental quality factor. | 09:30AM |
| 21 | Q Between what year and what year? | |
| 22 | A '7 starting in '72 and I'm not sure when | |
| 23 | the project actually ended, '75, '76, somewhere in | |
| 24 | that range. | |
| 25 | Q Have you been directly involved in any other | 09:31AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | plant nutrient studies since 1974, '75? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A While at Texas A & M I went to Texas A & M |
| 3 | in 1976 and was there until '80. I did very limited |
| 4 | work with or talked to agronomists about the on-farm |
| 5 | economics of fertilization. From there went to 09:31AM |
| 6 | Montana State and got a lot of their field data |
| 7 | looking so I could look at the economics of |
| 8 | on-farm fertilization. Went back to Illinois in '85 |
| 9 | and worked with agronomists some on economics of |
| 10 | fertilization, on-farm fertilization, and in '88 09:31AM |
| 11 | went to Auburn. |
| 12 | Q And what involvement have you had with plant |
| 13 | nutrients while you've been at Auburn? |
| 14 | A My involvement with plant nutrients has been |
| 15 | varied. Part of it has been discussions with 09:32AM |
| 16 | Alabama NRCS on nitrogen and phosphorus as |
| 17 | pollutants or potential pollutants connected with |
| 18 | poultry industry primarily in north Alabama, and |
| 19 | then worked with a plant pathologist and another |
| 20 | group who is developing green pesticides, but it 09:32AM |
| 21 | also involves fertility work in I call them |
| 22 | potions. He combines urea with some other |
| 23 | ingredients, if you want to view it that way. |
| 24 | Q You're not an agronomist? |
| 25 | A No, sir. 09:33AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | _ |
|----|--|
| | |
| 1 | Q You're an ag economist? |
| 2 | A That's correct. |
| 3 | Q And the work that you've done in agronomy, |
| 4 | interdisciplinary work as you described it, has been |
| 5 | as part of a team where agronomists were doing their 09:33AM |
| 6 | thing and you, as an ag economist, were doing your |
| 7 | thing; is that true? |
| 8 | A Usually interdisciplinary means working closer |
| 9 | than that. Multidisciplinary is where an ag |
| 10 | economist goes and gets information from an 09:33AM |
| 11 | agronomist. My work early at Illinois was truly |
| 12 | interdisciplinary. After that it's more |
| 13 | multidisciplinary. The work just on the on-farm |
| 14 | economics of fertilization has been to get their |
| 15 | field data where they apply different rates and then 09:34AM |
| 16 | look at yield and then estimate what's called a |
| 17 | production function, a relationship between yield |
| 18 | and nutrients, and based on that estimate, calculate |
| 19 | the most profitable fertilization rate for a farmer. |
| 20 | The work with the plant pathologist with potions is 09:34AM |
| 21 | much more interdisciplinary. |
| 22 | Q Let me try to get at this another way. Are |
| 23 | you contending you're qualified to give opinions in |
| 24 | this court regarding the issue of plant nutrients |
| 25 | being a source of water pollution? 09:34AM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | ∠ 0 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | А | No. | |
| 2 | Q | Okay. Strike all those questions I asked | |
| 3 | previo | ously. If you look at Paragraph 8 on Page 3, | |
| 4 | Doctor | | |
| 5 | А | Okay. | 09:34AM |
| 6 | Q | You get into the relationship between | |
| 7 | integr | rators and growers; is that true? | |
| 8 | А | Yes. | |
| 9 | Q | You state that integrators generally own or | |
| 10 | contro | ol the breeding flock, hatcheries, chicks, | 09:35AM |
| 11 | assign | ment of baby chicks to growers, feed mills, | |
| 12 | feed i | ngredients, et cetera, and you state that | |
| 13 | integr | rators also dictate specifications for grow-out | |
| 14 | houses | s, equipment and location of grow-out | |
| 15 | facili | ties, and thus poultry waste generation is | 09:35AM |
| 16 | also f | fully controlled by the integrators. Are you | |
| 17 | ascrib | oing bad faith or malevolence to any of the | |
| 18 | integr | rator defendants in this case in terms of that | |
| 19 | relati | onship between them and the growers? | |
| 20 | А | There's always a potential for that, but I'm | 09:35AM |
| 21 | not as | scribing it to them. | |
| 22 | Q | Okay. So it won't be your testimony at the | |
| 23 | trial | of this case that any of these integrator | |
| 24 | defend | lants have, quote, abused, end quote, that | |
| 25 | relati | onship; is that true? | 09:35AM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | - |
|----|--|
| 1 | A I'm not sure about that. That's that |
| _ | |
| 2 | question covers a lot of ground. |
| 3 | Q It's intended to. |
| 4 | A Okay. Ask the question again, please. |
| 5 | Q Will you attempt to testify at the trial of 09:36AM |
| 6 | this case that any of the integrator defendants in |
| 7 | this case have abused their relationship with those |
| 8 | contract growers who grow birds for them? |
| 9 | A I will testify that they have tried to shift |
| 10 | risk associated with waste to growers as is 09:36AM |
| 11 | reflected in recent contracts compared to older |
| 12 | contracts. I'm not sure the word abuse fits. I'm |
| 13 | saying I will testify that the integrator has |
| 14 | monopsony or oligopsony any power over growers, but |
| 15 | that doesn't require abuse either. 09:37AM |
| 16 | Q And what you're referring to, Doctor I |
| 17 | think in your report you look at contracts 15, 20 |
| 18 | years ago, for instance? |
| 19 | A Some less than that. |
| 20 | Q That perhaps do not address the disposition of 09:37AM |
| 21 | poultry litter versus newer contracts, which do |
| 22 | address the disposition of poultry litter; is that |
| 23 | true? |
| 24 | A With the exception of the Willow Brook |
| 25 | contracts, I think the latest one was '01 or '02 but 09:37AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | did not address it, but generally the contracts by | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | the defendants do the recent ones do address | |
| 3 | responsibility and in one case ownership of poultry | |
| 4 | litter. Fifteen years is not exact because some | |
| 5 | were further back and some more recent when they | 09:38AM |
| б | started shifting. | |
| 7 | Q But what you're describing is a trend? | |
| 8 | A It is a trend, yes. | |
| 9 | Q Now, let's move away from contracts and what | |
| 10 | they say to what actually happens and has happened | 09:38AM |
| 11 | historically. You'll agree with me that even in | |
| 12 | those days where the contracts did not specifically | |
| 13 | address the disposition of chicken litter, in fact, | |
| 14 | the disposition was up to the grower, and it was | |
| 15 | presumed between the parties that the growers owned | 09:38AM |
| 16 | the litter; isn't that true? | |
| 17 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 18 | A I don't know what was presumed. | |
| 19 | Q Why don't you know that? | |
| 20 | A I have no way of you know, it appears to me | 09:38AM |
| 21 | that well, there are legal issues there that | |
| 22 | I'm not an attorney. | |
| 23 | Q Well, but the truth of the matter is, that | |
| 24 | reaching back into time, back, for instance, into | |
| 25 | the '70's, it's true that regardless of what the | 09:39AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | contract may have said or not said, that it was the | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | grower who was responsible for disposition of the | |
| 3 | chicken litter clear back then, regardless of what | |
| 4 | the contracts said; true? | |
| 5 | A From an economist perspective, back then the | 09:39AM |
| 6 | grower was free to do whatever they wanted to with | |
| 7 | the litter. | |
| 8 | Q Yes, sir, and that's consistently been the | |
| 9 | case since, let's say, the '70's, I'm just picking a | |
| 10 | time in history, to the present, regardless of what | 09:39AM |
| 11 | the contract said or did not say; isn't that true? | |
| 12 | A That the grower I'm not sure how the ESW | |
| 13 | settlement figures in to your question. | |
| 14 | Q The what; the what settlement? | |
| 15 | A The Tulsa settlement. | 09:40AM |
| 16 | Q Oh, okay. Eucha-Spavinaw? | |
| 17 | A Yeah. ESW for short. | |
| 18 | Q But other than that, I'm right, aren't I? | |
| 19 | A It has generally been left up to the grower to | |
| 20 | dispose of it. | 09:40AM |
| 21 | Q Now, in Paragraph 9, in the middle of that | |
| 22 | paragraph on Page 3 you state that integrator | |
| 23 | representatives, paren, service technicians, closed | |
| 24 | parens, typically visit each grow-out house at least | |
| 25 | weekly to check on and supervise the grower's care | 09:40AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | of flocks and check on litter waste strike that. | | |
|----|--|---------|--|
| 2 | Check on litter, waste and dead birds. Do you see | | |
| 3 | where I'm reading? | | |
| 4 | A Yes. | | |
| 5 | Q Now, what evidence do you have that | 09:41AM | |
| 6 | integrators' service technicians check on litter | | |
| 7 | when they arrive at the farm for their typically | | |
| 8 | weekly visit? | | |
| 9 | A I don't know that I have evidence per se in | | |
| 10 | this case, but generally grower representatives, if | 09:41AM | |
| 11 | there is a problem with litter, too wet or potential | | |
| 12 | health issues or other things, then they make strong | | |
| 13 | recommendations or dictates to the growers on what | | |
| 14 | they'll have to do with that if it affects bird | | |
| 15 | if they think it affects bird performance. | 09:41AM | |
| 16 | Q All right. You're not talking about checking | | |
| 17 | on the disposition of litter then; you're talking | | |
| 18 | about the litter that's in the house? | | |
| 19 | A The litter in the house and what needs to be | | |
| 20 | done with it in the house to take it out, change it, | 09:42AM | |
| 21 | whatever. | | |
| 22 | Q Wet litter can and does produce ammonia? | | |
| 23 | A Uh-huh. | | |
| 24 | Q Which is harmful can be harmful to the | | |
| 25 | health of the birds; is that true? | 09:42AM | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A | Right, and I think there's some other | | |
|----|--------|---|---------|--|
| 2 | poten: | tial health issues. | | |
| 3 | Q | Bird health, not human health? | | |
| 4 | А | Bird health. Well, some maintain that there | | |
| 5 | are h | ealth issues for the grower that goes into the | 09:42AM | |
| 6 | house | house but I'm not | | |
| 7 | Q | But you're not maintaining that here in this | | |
| 8 | case? | | | |
| 9 | А | No, no. | | |
| 10 | Q | And the next word that you use is waste. | 09:42AM | |
| 11 | А | Uh-huh. | | |
| 12 | Q | What did you mean by the use of the word waste | | |
| 13 | in th | at sentence? | | |
| 14 | А | Just the waste from the bird, the feces and | | |
| 15 | all o | f that. Waste, generally used litter, waste. | 09:42AM | |
| 16 | Q | What is it that the service technicians | | |
| 17 | super | vise in regard to waste as you use it in this | | |
| 18 | sente | nce? | | |
| 19 | А | On getting it out of the house. | | |
| 20 | Q | I don't know. I'm asking you what you mean. | 09:43AM | |
| 21 | А | That's my answer. That's what they deal | | |
| 22 | with | litter, waste or whatever is in the house, and | | |
| 23 | if the | ey think it affects bird performance, then they | | |
| 24 | make : | recommendations or dictates for the grower to | | |
| 25 | do so | mething with it to get it out of the house and | 09:43AM | |
| | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | to make whatever changes they deem appropriate. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Q How do you know what you just said is true? | |
| 3 | A In this particular case, I don't know, but I | |
| 4 | know from talking to growers and a lot of them, even | |
| 5 | the service representatives or technicians, whatever | 09:43AM |
| 6 | you want to call them, once they visit the house, | |
| 7 | they have a handwritten form where they kind of a | |
| 8 | checklist they go down. | |
| 9 | Q But you don't know in this particular case the | |
| 10 | extent to which service technicians supervised, | 09:44AM |
| 11 | quote, waste, end quote; true? | |
| 12 | A I have not looked at any of those documents | |
| 13 | that I can recall. | |
| 14 | Q And will you agree with me that there's 168 | |
| 15 | hours in a week? | 09:44AM |
| 16 | A Yes. | |
| 17 | Q And will you agree with me that typically, | |
| 18 | typically a service technician might be on the farm | |
| 19 | maybe 30 minutes to an hour of that week? | |
| 20 | A Yes. | 09:44AM |
| 21 | Q And will you agree with me that for the other | |
| 22 | 167 hours of the week, that it's the grower and his | |
| 23 | or her birds? | |
| 24 | A Depends. With some of the new high tech | |
| 25 | houses, the temperature in the house can be | 09:44AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 33 | |
|----|--------|--|---------|--|
| | | | | |
| 1 | | ored by the service rep sitting at his or her | | |
| 2 | desk l | back at the office. | | |
| 3 | Q | It's true that with some of the modern houses, | | |
| 4 | which | are computer operated, that the grower could | | |
| 5 | almos | t go on vacation for five weeks and come back | 09:45AM | |
| 6 | and f | and find a good flock of birds? | | |
| 7 | А | No. | | |
| 8 | Q | That's not true? | | |
| 9 | А | That's not true, no. He'd find a bunch of | | |
| 10 | dead 1 | birds. | 09:45AM | |
| 11 | Q | I took a shot at it anyway. | | |
| 12 | | MR. BURNS: We have some that try. | | |
| 13 | | MR. BASSETT: Talking about the legal | | |
| 14 | profe | ssion. | | |
| 15 | Q | On Page 4 at the very top you quote somebody | 09:45AM | |
| 16 | named | J. J. Molnar, M-O-L-N-A-R? | | |
| 17 | А | Yes. | | |
| 18 | Q | Do you know J. J. Molnar? | | |
| 19 | А | Yes, I do. | | |
| 20 | Q | And how do you know is it Mr. Molnar? | 09:45AM | |
| 21 | А | Doctor. | | |
| 22 | Q | How do you know him? | | |
| 23 | А | For my 20-year tenure at Auburn, he has been | | |
| 24 | in the | e Department of Ag Economics and Rural | | |
| 25 | Socio | logy. He's a rural sociologist. He's now | 09:46AM | |
| | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 50 OV | | i ago oo |
|-------|---|----------|
| | | 36 |
| | | |
| 1 | assistant or associate dean of the college over | |
| 2 | international programs. He's had that position for | |
| 3 | the last year or so, but during the most during | |
| 4 | the first 15 years of my tenure, I was physically | |
| 5 | and administratively in the Department of Ag | 09:46AM |
| 6 | Economics and Rural Sociology. Administratively I'm | |
| 7 | just in the College of Ag. | |
| 8 | Q Utilization of the words, quote, comprises the | |
| 9 | command and control structure that specifies the | |
| 10 | grower's production process, end quote, are his | 09:46AM |
| 11 | words, not your words? | |
| 12 | A That is his words and his co-author. | |
| 13 | Q Well, do you adopt those words as your own, | |
| 14 | command and control structure? | |
| 15 | A Those are not words I use commonly, not in | 09:47AM |
| 16 | I don't recall using those in connection with the | |
| 17 | poultry industry. | |
| 18 | Q Dr. Taylor, do you give credit to those who | |
| 19 | choose to grow chickens for a living for having the | |
| 20 | ability to make their own decisions as to what they | 09:47AM |
| 21 | want to do in life? | |
| 22 | A To some extent. | |
| 23 | Q To what extent do you not? | |
| 24 | A For somebody considering becoming a contract | |

poultry producer, they're looking at an economic

25

09:48AM

| ı | | 1 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | 1'5, h f 00 t- 20 mone on Time stated | |
| 1 | life on a house of 20 to 30 years as I've stated, | |
| 2 | wood frame house. That's a very long-term | |
| 3 | investment, and growers come into that with a lot of | |
| 4 | trust. The potential exists that once they become a | |
| 5 | grower and have invested in the houses and | 09:48AM |
| 6 | generally, usually obtain big loans, then they can | |
| 7 | be at the mercy of the integrator, and it's that | |
| 8 | that concerns me. | |
| 9 | Q And is it your belief that a grower doesn't | |
| 10 | understand that relationship going in? | 09:48AM |
| 11 | A I think most of them do now, but the industry | |
| 12 | has changed, and I'm not sure they always understood | |
| 13 | that, but each grower is different. | |
| 14 | Q Okay. In what way has it changed and how | |
| 15 | recently? | 09:49AM |
| 16 | A There's just been a lot more information that | |
| 17 | have come out in the last ten years on contract | |
| 18 | poultry production, what's involved. As I have | |
| 19 | stated in other reports, my opinion, based on what | |
| 20 | economic information has been available | 09:49AM |
| 21 | historically, is that the growers got a competitive | |
| 22 | or fair return on their investment up until | |
| 23 | somewhere in the mid '90's, and at that point | |
| 24 | economics changed where they didn't look so good. | |
| 25 | Q Do you believe that today a typical grower is | 09:50AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | making money or losing money? | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | A That is not a precise concept in the field of | | | | | | |
| 3 | economics. That's ground we've plowed before. | | | | | | |
| 4 | Q We have, and I don't want to spend three hours | | | | | | |
| 5 | doing it again. | 09:50AM | | | | | |
| 6 | A Okay. The Oklahoma State budgets, the most | | | | | | |
| 7 | recent one, shows that if a grower gets 7.50 an hour | | | | | | |
| 8 | and no benefits, they have a loss over the period | | | | | | |
| 9 | they looked at, which means that in fact they're | | | | | | |
| 10 | getting less than 7.50 an hour for their labor, no | 09:50AM | | | | | |
| 11 | benefits, nothing for bearing various risks. So to | | | | | | |
| 12 | use your phrase, in some sense contract production | use your phrase, in some sense contract production | | | | | |
| 13 | puts money in their pocket, but I'm saying it's not | | | | | | |
| 14 | putting enough in their pocket to be a competitive | | | | | | |
| 15 | return. | 09:51AM | | | | | |
| 16 | Q Okay, and you're right, we have plowed that | | | | | | |
| 17 | grounds many times. I don't want to get into it | | | | | | |
| 18 | again. | | | | | | |
| 19 | A Good. | | | | | | |
| 20 | Q Except in September of next year. | 09:51AM | | | | | |
| 21 | A Okay. | | | | | | |
| 22 | Q Doctor, somewhere in your report you opine | | | | | | |
| 23 | that the average length of the relationship between | | | | | | |
| 24 | a grower and an integrator is 16 years. | | | | | | |
| 25 | A I don't opine that. That's a result of a | 09:51AM | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | survey. | | | | | |
|----|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q Okay, and I think you were saying that in the | | | | | |
| 3 | sense that per your testimony that you just gave, | | | | | |
| 4 | that the average life of a house is 20 to 30 years; | | | | | |
| 5 | is that true? | 09:52AM | | | | |
| 6 | A Yes, economic life. | | | | | |
| 7 | Q Economic life. So I guess your point, tell me | | | | | |
| 8 | if I'm right or wrong, is that the economic it | | | | | |
| 9 | takes longer to pay for the economic life of a house | | | | | |
| 10 | than the length of the relationship between the | 09:52AM | | | | |
| 11 | house owner, the grower and the integrator; is that | | | | | |
| 12 | your point? | | | | | |
| 13 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | | | | | |
| 14 | A My point is that it is usually a long-term | | | | | |
| 15 | relationship and, you know, what I've stated is that | 09:52AM | | | | |
| 16 | the house can have an economic life of 20 to 30 | | | | | |
| 17 | years. The equipment in the house has a shorter | | | | | |
| 18 | life, and when they first start out with 90 to 100 | | | | | |
| 19 | percent loan at the bank, they're struggling to make | | | | | |
| 20 | those payments, and some of them try to put | 09:52AM | | | | |
| 21 | everything they can into paying off the loan | | | | | |
| 22 | thinking that they will make good money, your | | | | | |
| 23 | phrase | | | | | |
| 24 | Q Uh-huh. | | | | | |
| 25 | A later on, but what has typically happened | 09:53AM | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | is about the time they get the debts paid off, then | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | they've had to upgrade the house and equipment, | | | | | |
| 3 | which means another loan, which gets them back into | | | | | |
| 4 | a debt cycle. | | | | | |
| 5 | Q But at the end of that 16-year period, it 09:53AM | | | | | |
| 6 | would be typical that they would sell the farm and | | | | | |
| 7 | realize some cash; is that true? I mean you're not | | | | | |
| 8 | just saying I'm going to let you answer in a | | | | | |
| 9 | second. You're not just saying at the end of 16 | | | | | |
| 10 | years, the value is gone; I mean there's value there 09:53AM | | | | | |
| 11 | to be sold to a subsequent purchaser; isn't that | | | | | |
| 12 | true? | | | | | |
| 13 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | | | | | |
| 14 | A Well, there are several issues. Again, the 16 | | | | | |
| 15 | years was a result of a survey. Length of tenure, 09:53AM | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 16 | you know, they may exit; they may in a few cases | | | | | |
| 17 | switch over to another integrator. There's not much | | | | | |
| 18 | public information on that. It may not be a pure | | | | | |
| 19 | real estate transaction. It may be a family-type | | | | | |
| 20 | change, you know, from mom and dad to the sons, and 09:54AM | | | | | |
| 21 | actually that's why the Alabama guy called me. He | | | | | |
| 22 | got worked up because he wanted to change it over to | | | | | |
| 23 | his son and from his Social Security number to his | | | | | |
| 24 | son's, and he said that they would only do it if | | | | | |
| 25 | they made upgrades, and I got off on a tangent. 09:54AM | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 41 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | Q Uh-huh. | |
| 2 | A And I lost track of your question. | |
| 3 | Q The point that I'm trying to have you agree | |
| 4 | with is that at the end of a 16-year relationship | |
| 5 | between an integrator and a grower per the survey | 09:55AM |
| 6 | you mentioned in your report, that that doesn't mean | |
| 7 | the value is at zero, value of assets are not at | |
| 8 | zero? | |
| 9 | A It can be at zero if the integrator wants it | |
| 10 | to be because the way the contracts are generally | 09:55AM |
| 11 | structured, if the grower puts the house on the real | |
| 12 | estate market, then the contract does not go with it | |
| 13 | unless the integrator approves it, and so if the | |
| 14 | integrator doesn't approve it, those facilities have | |
| 15 | very limited value. | 09:55AM |
| 16 | Q Can you cite any instances, any factual actual | |
| 17 | instances where any of the integrator defendants in | |
| 18 | this case have refused to enter into a contract with | |
| 19 | the purchaser of a poultry farm? | |
| 20 | A No, I cannot. I have no information on that. | 09:55AM |
| 21 | MR. ELROD: Let's go ahead and take a break | |
| 22 | then. | |
| 23 | VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the Record. | |
| 24 | The time is 9:55 a.m. | |
| 25 | (Following a short recess at 9:56 a.m., | 09:56AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
proceedings continued on the Record at 10:04 a.m.)
 1
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the Record.
 2
      The time is 10:04 a.m.
 3
             Doctor, if you'd turn to Page 5 of your
 4
 5
      report, and looking at paragraphs -- well, that
                                                                      10:04AM
      entire page basically. I want to get into the whole
 6
      monopsony, oligopsony control, mercy of the
 7
      integrator, to use your words, issues. How many of
 8
      these integrator defendants compete for growers in
 9
      Benton County, Arkansas? Let me restate that. How
                                                                      10:05AM
10
11
      many of them operate in Benton County, Arkansas?
             I do not know exactly. I would guess most of
12
13
      them.
14
             How many of them operate in Washington County,
                                                                      10:05AM
      Arkansas?
15
             My guess is most of them.
16
17
             How many of them operate in Delaware County,
      Oklahoma?
18
             I don't know.
19
             How many of them operate in Adair County,
                                                                      10:05AM
20
      Oklahoma?
21
             I don't know. I have seen -- I think I've
22
23
      seen maps showing -- identifying location of growers
      for the different integrators, but I don't recall a
24
25
      pattern of that.
                                                                      10:06AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| - | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Q Do you know how many different square feet of | | | | | | | |
| 2 | chicken houses have been transferred from one | | | | | | | |
| 3 | integrator to the other, say, within during the | integrator to the other, say, within during the | | | | | | |
| 4 | last two years? | | | | | | | |
| 5 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | 10:06AM | | | | | | |
| 6 | A I do not. It's not public information. | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Q Do you know whether there has been a | | | | | | | |
| 8 | substantial movement of growers from one integrator | | | | | | | |
| 9 | to another in the last couple of years in this area | | | | | | | |
| 10 | of the world? | 10:06AM | | | | | | |
| 11 | A Seems like at the last deposition or maybe at | | | | | | | |
| 12 | the PI hearing you brought up the case where a block | | | | | | | |
| 13 | of them had shifted. | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Q Okay. From Simmons to Tyson? | | | | | | | |
| 15 | A That's what I recall. | 10:06AM | | | | | | |
| 16 | Q Well, it's true, is it not, that under the | | | | | | | |
| 17 | terms of the contracts you've looked at, especially | | | | | | | |
| 18 | ones that are a longer term and not flock to flock, | | | | | | | |
| 19 | that the grower has the right to move from one | | | | | | | |
| 20 | integrator to the other but the integrator may not | 10:07AM | | | | | | |
| 21 | have the right to terminate a grower? | | | | | | | |
| 22 | A Well, let me back up and state that the longer | | | | | | | |
| 23 | term contracts, the three or seven years, still the | | | | | | | |
| 24 | ones I've seen only provide for one flock, so they | | | | | | | |
| 25 | cover a long time period, but as I read it as an | 10:07AM | | | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | economist, it does not commit the integrator to | | | | | |
|----|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | provide, you know, four to six flocks every year for | | | | | |
| 3 | the whatever length of time is stated. | | | | | |
| 4 | Q But in reality, that's what happens; isn't | | | | | |
| 5 | that true? | 10:07AM | | | | |
| 6 | A So far. | | | | | |
| 7 | Q And my previous question, isn't it true that | | | | | |
| 8 | under the contracts you've looked at, the grower | | | | | |
| 9 | I'm sorry, the integrator doesn't have the right to | | | | | |
| 10 | terminate the grower except under specified | 10:08AM | | | | |
| 11 | circumstances, like, for instance, just not showing | | | | | |
| 12 | up for work, but the grower has total freedom of | | | | | |
| 13 | movement from one company to the other; isn't that | | | | | |
| 14 | true? | | | | | |
| 15 | A I'm not sure they would. Again, I'm not an | 10:08AM | | | | |
| 16 | attorney. Just taking it at face value, I don't see | | | | | |
| 17 | that the grower can move from one integrator to | | | | | |
| 18 | another during, let's say, the seven-year period. | | | | | |
| 19 | They would have to wait under the end of that | | | | | |
| 20 | period. 10:08AM | | | | | |
| 21 | Q Is that your opinion? | | | | | |
| 22 | A As an economist, but I'm not opining on legal | | | | | |
| 23 | issues. | | | | | |
| 24 | Q So you don't know one way or the other whether | | | | | |
| 25 | a Simmons grower who wakes up one morning and | 10:08AM | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | decides they want to approach Tyson Foods and switch | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | companies has the legal ability to do that? | | | | | |
| 3 | A I would really have to go back and look at the | | | | | |
| 4 | specific wording on the grower's rights during that | | | | | |
| 5 | seven-year period versus the integrator's rights as 10:09AM | | | | | |
| 6 | stated in the contract. That's not a part I looked | | | | | |
| 7 | at carefully in this case. | | | | | |
| 8 | Q Why wouldn't that issue bear on questions like | | | | | |
| 9 | control, monopsony, oligopsony, freedom of movement? | | | | | |
| 10 | A Well, it does bear, but generally when a 10:09AM | | | | | |
| 11 | grower shifts from one integrator to another or puts | | | | | |
| 12 | it on the real estate market, they have to make | | | | | |
| 13 | expensive upgrades, which becomes a barrier to | | | | | |
| 14 | switching. | | | | | |
| 15 | Q And do you know whether that what you just 10:09AM | | | | | |
| 16 | described has actually been the case in the IRW in | | | | | |
| 17 | the last two years where growers have switched from | | | | | |
| 18 | one integrator to another, specifically Simmons to | | | | | |
| 19 | Tyson? | | | | | |
| 20 | A I do not know about that or the many years 10:10AM | | | | | |
| 21 | before that. | | | | | |
| 22 | Q Will you agree with me that in order for this | | | | | |
| 23 | standard relationship between integrators and | | | | | |
| 24 | growers to work, that the growers as a community, | | | | | |
| 25 | setting aside you're always going to find 10:10AM | | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 10 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | diagraphical people are you not? | |
| 1 | disgruntled people, are you not? | |
| 2 | A Oh, yeah. | |
| 3 | Q Okay. Describing the system of growers as a | |
| 4 | community, that the growers have to be content and | |
| 5 | happy? | 10:10AM |
| 6 | A That they have to be? | |
| 7 | Q Yes, sir, content and happy. | |
| 8 | A I don't know if anybody is always content and | |
| 9 | happy in their jobs, whether there's monopsony power | |
| 10 | exerted or not. | 10:11AM |
| 11 | Q But you and I discussed this a little bit | |
| 12 | before when you were on the witness stand I think | |
| 13 | and I asked you whether it was a relationship of | |
| 14 | trust and you said it was; correct? | |
| 15 | A The grower places a lot of trust that the | 10:11AM |
| 16 | integrator will come through for them, give them | |
| 17 | raises in the future to offset either cost of | |
| 18 | living, inflation or for more expensive equipment | |
| 19 | upgrades and so forth. | |
| 20 | Q Are you willing to acknowledge that there | 10:11AM |
| 21 | could also be or has been pressure on the | |
| 22 | integrator, economic pressure on the integrator to | |
| 23 | raise grower pay based on the need for square | |
| 24 | footage and the freedom of movement of a grower to | |
| 25 | another company? | 10:11AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A What I know generally is that adjusted for | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | inflation, grower pay per pound of bird raised has | | | | | |
| 3 | basically been flat for the past 20 or so years, a | | | | | |
| 4 | little bit of a sawtooth effect because the | | | | | |
| 5 | increases only come every three to five years. 10:12AM | | | | | |
| 6 | There have been slight increases in production per | | | | | |
| 7 | square foot, but the cost of houses and especially | | | | | |
| 8 | equipment in those houses has gone up faster, and | | | | | |
| 9 | that's where the squeeze has occurred. | | | | | |
| 10 | Q Do you know whether or not any of the 10:12AM | | | | | |
| 11 | integrator defendants in this case have raised | | | | | |
| 12 | grower pay as a result of competition between these | | | | | |
| 13 | companies for growers? | | | | | |
| 14 | A I do not, but I want to point out the word | | | | | |
| 15 | competition has many different meanings to an 10:12AM | | | | | |
| 16 | economist. | | | | | |
| 17 | Q I'm just using the plain old every day | | | | | |
| 18 | non-economic question of whether integrator | | | | | |
| 19 | defendants in the IRW are competing for growers | | | | | |
| 20 | because they need more square footage. 10:13AM | | | | | |
| 21 | A The terminology point I want to make to | | | | | |
| 22 | disconnect it with poultry is that you may only have | | | | | |
| 23 | two firms and an industry and in some sense they may | | | | | |
| 24 | be competing with each other, but that doesn't mean | | | | | |
| 25 | that the outcome measures up to the true competitive 10:13AM | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | standard used by mainstream economics. | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q Okay. Now, can you answer my question? Do | | | | | |
| 3 | you know whether | | | | | |
| 4 | A I do not know. | | | | | |
| 5 | Q Would it surprise you to find out that 10:13AM | | | | | |
| б | Simmons, for instance, has had to raise grower pay | | | | | |
| 7 | because it was losing growers to Tyson? | | | | | |
| 8 | A Could be. | | | | | |
| 9 | Q If that were true, would it also not be true | | | | | |
| 10 | that that fact would indicate a competitive 10:14AM | | | | | |
| 11 | marketplace for the services of growers? | | | | | |
| 12 | A Not necessarily in terms of the norm of true | | | | | |
| 13 | competition and, moreover, you just referred to the | | | | | |
| 14 | last two years and not the twenty or so previously. | | | | | |
| 15 | Q Now, back to the other question I asked you 10:14AM | | | | | |
| 16 | A Okay. | | | | | |
| 17 | Q will you agree with me that in order for | | | | | |
| 18 | this system to work, that growers have to be content | | | | | |
| 19 | and happy? We sort of did a you moved to the | | | | | |
| 20 | side on me in terms of answering and you said nobody 10:15AM | | | | | |
| 21 | is ever content and happy. | | | | | |
| 22 | A I'm trying to interpret content and happy. | | | | | |
| 23 | Q That's because I'm using plain words instead | | | | | |
| 24 | of economic words. | | | | | |
| 25 | A Okay. Well, I'm going to go back to economic 10:15AM | | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| i | | | | | |
|----|--|---|---------|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| 1 | words. They may be somewhat content, but that | | | | |
| 2 | doesn't mean they're earning a competitive return | | | | |
| 3 | for what they | y bring to the partnership or to the | | | |
| 4 | contract rela | ationship. | | | |
| 5 | Q But is | sn't but you would not demean somebody | 10:15AM | | |
| 6 | who chose to | raise chickens for a living, would you, | | | |
| 7 | sir? You don | n't strike me as being that kind of a | | | |
| 8 | person. | | | | |
| 9 | A Did yo | ou say graze or raise? | | | |
| 10 | Q Raise | | 10:15AM | | |
| 11 | A No. | | | | |
| 12 | Q Okay, | and you'll agree with me that people, | | | |
| 13 | whether they be chicken growers or anyone else in | | | | |
| 14 | our economy, have the right to decide for themselves | | | | |
| 15 | what they war | nt to do for a living, don't they? | 10:15AM | | |
| 16 | A Yes. | | | | |
| 17 | Q All r | ight. | | | |
| 18 | A In ger | neral. | | | |
| 19 | Q I thin | nk you'll also agree with me that you | | | |
| 20 | have no evidence that growers becoming growers for 10:16AM | | | | |
| 21 | the first time I think we've already gone through | | | | |
| 22 | this that they understand what the system and the | | | | |
| 23 | process is going in, don't they? | | | | |
| 24 | A I'm no | ot sure they fully understand it. | | | |
| 25 | Q All r | ight. | 10:16AM | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A And especially the economics of it. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q Paragraph 17 on Page 6, you state that many |
| 3 | integrators have different contracts with different |
| 4 | complexes, even adjacent complexes? |
| 5 | A Yes. 10:16AM |
| 6 | Q Where, who? Any of these integrator |
| 7 | defendants you talking about in the IRW? |
| 8 | A I do not have the defendants' contracts for |
| 9 | other complexes outside the IRW. I have some |
| 10 | contracts that just showed up in the mail like one 10:17AM |
| 11 | we've already talked about. I have some older ones |
| 12 | that the Iowa Attorney General posted on his |
| 13 | website, and I have some covered by confidentiality |
| 14 | in other litigation, and without talking about |
| 15 | specific companies or revealing what is 10:17AM |
| 16 | confidential, there are differences in contracts |
| 17 | from complex to complex. |
| 18 | Q In the IRW? |
| 19 | A No. I'm saying you go from the IRW to |
| 20 | Q Tell me tell me where and who there are 10:18AM |
| 21 | differences in contracts for different complexes. I |
| 22 | assume we're talking about broiler growers versus |
| 23 | broiler growers versus broilers growers, for |
| 24 | instance? |
| 25 | A Yes. 10:18AM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 9± |
|----|---|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | Q Okay, and are you telling me that there are | |
| 2 | chicken complexes in the United States, regardless | |
| 3 | of who the integrator is, where a broiler grower | |
| 4 | will receive different pay under the terms of their | |
| 5 | contract from another broiler grower? | 10:18AM |
| 6 | A I'm saying if you take Integrator A and | |
| 7 | Integrator A has two complexes, one, IRW and one | |
| 8 | just pick any other area, there may be differences | |
| 9 | in those contracts. | |
| 10 | Q Who; who does that? | 10:18AM |
| 11 | A I'm not going there because what I know is | |
| 12 | covered by confidentiality, and there's nothing in | |
| 13 | this case since I do not have contracts for other | |
| 14 | complexes, I cannot analyze that. | |
| 15 | Q All right. I have no problem with you're not | 10:19AM |
| 16 | going there as long as you try to go there as as | |
| 17 | long as you don't try to go there at trial. Do you | |
| 18 | agree with me you're not try to, quote, go there, | |
| 19 | end quote, at trial? | |
| 20 | A For the defendant companies in this watershed? | 10:19AM |
| 21 | Q Yes, sir. | |
| 22 | A Not unless I'm shown other contracts. | |
| 23 | Q That you haven't seen yet? | |
| 24 | A That I haven't seen yet. | |
| 25 | Q You seem to be critical in Paragraph 18 of | 10:19AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Gary Murphy's statement in his deposition that |
|----|---|
| 2 | Packers and Stockyards pretty well dictates that we |
| 3 | have to treat all growers the same? |
| 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | Q Is it your position that Simmons, my client, 10:20AM |
| 6 | would have the legal ability under the PSA to pay |
| 7 | ten different broiler growers under ten different |
| 8 | payment schemes operating out of the same complex if |
| 9 | it chose to do so? |
| 10 | A I am saying that as I understand the Packers 10:20AM |
| 11 | and Stockyard Act as it relates to poultry, USDA |
| 12 | does not have the authority to come in and say you |
| 13 | can't do that. Then from the legal system, the |
| 14 | courts have been all over the place in |
| 15 | interpretation of the Packers and Stockyard Act. So 10:20AM |
| 16 | from a legal standpoint, I don't know. |
| 17 | Q Okay. Are you aware that Simmons has some |
| 18 | what we call company-managed farms? |
| 19 | A Most of the integrators do. |
| 20 | Q Have some? 10:21AM |
| 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q But most of the integrators, the vast majority |
| 23 | of their broilers are grown by independent contract |
| 24 | growers; isn't that true? |
| 25 | A Right. Usually the integrator will have some 10:21AM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | research houses and then some grow-out houses but | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | primarily the contract growers | |
| 3 | Q Are you aware, sir, that Simmons settles its | |
| 4 | company-managed farms totally separately from | |
| 5 | settlement of its contract growers? 10:22AI | M |
| 6 | A I was not aware of that for Simmons, but | |
| 7 | that's the case for other integrators I'm familiar | |
| 8 | with, generally the case. There's some small | |
| 9 | differences in how that's calculated, but usually | |
| 10 | they're separate. 10:22A | M |
| 11 | Q And that's fair, isn't that true, to settle | |
| 12 | them differently, separately? | |
| 13 | A Growers consider it to be fair. | |
| 14 | Q Okay. What is the basis of your opinion | |
| 15 | stated in Paragraph 21 that the notion that the PSA 10:23A | M |
| 16 | prevents them from negotiating with individual | |
| 17 | growers is pretext? | |
| 18 | A That is just my opinion. | |
| 19 | Q Do you have a basis for that opinion? | |
| 20 | A Based on the fact that there are there's a 10:23A | M |
| 21 | wide range of contracts in the hog industry, almost | |
| 22 | every kind studied in business class. To my | |
| 23 | knowledge USDA has not raised an issue over that. | |
| 24 | There are different kinds of contracts in the cattle | |
| 25 | industry, but this keeps popping up in the poultry 10:23A | M |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | <u> </u> |
|----|--|----------|
| | | |
| 1 | industry and, therefore, I concluded that in my | |
| 2 | opinion it's pretext. | |
| 3 | Q The structure of the cattle industry is | |
| 4 | totally different from the structure of the poultry | |
| 5 | industry, isn't it? | 10:24AM |
| 6 | A And they're both totally different from the | |
| 7 | structure of the hog industry but hogs is now closer | |
| 8 | to poultry than cattle. | |
| 9 | Q On Page 7, the continuation of Paragraph 22, | |
| 10 | and we've touched on this earlier, you state | 10:24AM |
| 11 | contract growers make significant long-term | |
| 12 | investments in housing, and in bold print you say, | |
| 13 | one of the striking features of production contracts | |
| 14 | is that although growers and integrators typically | |
| 15 | have long-term relationships, contracts are usually | 10:25AM |
| 16 | written for short durations. | |
| 17 | A Yes. | |
| 18 | Q Assuming that to be true | |
| 19 | A Okay. | |
| 20 | Q and not arguing over the word short and the | 10:25AM |
| 21 | word long | |
| 22 | A Okay. | |
| 23 | Q doesn't that indicate happiness and | |
| 24 | contentment and good faith, the fact that there are | |
| 25 | long-term relationships between a grower and an | 10:25AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | integrator even though the, quote, contracts, end |
|----|---|
| 2 | quote, are written for a shorter period of time? |
| 3 | A It may indicate they're happy, or it may |
| 4 | indicate that the grower feels he or she has no way |
| 5 | out. So I just mean that to me, as an economist, 10:25AM |
| 6 | that is a striking feature. |
| 7 | Q And have you spoken to any growers who grow |
| 8 | for any of the companies who are defendants in this |
| 9 | case? |
| 10 | A As far as I know, I have not talked to any 10:25AM |
| 11 | growers in the IRW. |
| 12 | Q Then it would be true that you don't know |
| 13 | whether there is a feeling on the part of any grower |
| 14 | who grows with any of the defendants in this case |
| 15 | that they feel like there's no way out? 10:26AM |
| 16 | A No, and I wouldn't know how to uncover their |
| 17 | true thoughts on that. |
| 18 | Q In the last sentence of Paragraph 22 you |
| 19 | state, quote, even though there are several |
| 20 | integrators in the IRW, the defendant integrators 10:26AM |
| 21 | maintain monopsony or oligopsony power over their |
| 22 | contract growers extending to waste and dead bird |
| 23 | disposal, period, end quote. |
| 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | Q Tell me what you mean by that. 10:26AM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A I mean that simply because the grower has such | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | an investment in houses and equipment, that | |
| 3 | generally if the integrator chooses not to deliver | |
| 4 | birds except for the first flock, they don't have to | |
| 5 | and if the integrator decides to put a new contract 10:27F | M |
| 6 | feature in, whatever it may be, can apply waste and | |
| 7 | dead bird disposal, then the grower has little | |
| 8 | viable economic option other than to accept it or go | |
| 9 | bankrupt. | |
| 10 | Q But per your previous testimony, Dr. Taylor, 10:27 | M |
| 11 | you're unable to provide the court or jury in this | |
| 12 | case the name of any contract grower who one of the | |
| 13 | integrator defendants has denied birds? | |
| 14 | A I have simply not analyzed that. I don't have | |
| 15 | the data to analyze it. 10:28A | M |
| 16 | Q Let's talk about dead bird disposal for a | |
| 17 | second. How are dead birds disposed of? Tell me | |
| 18 | the ways. | |
| 19 | A Well, there's | |
| 20 | Q In the IRW. 10:28A | M |
| 21 | A I do not know now. You know, there have been | |
| 22 | different technologies through time. Going back in | |
| 23 | time, some of them were thrown out, and that's | |
| 24 | probably why disposal of dead bird requirements are | |
| 25 | in some of the early contracts. They've gone to 10:29 P | M |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | composting the dead birds. They've gone to freezers | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | and others, but I do not know what the dominant | |
| 3 | arrangement in the IRW is. | |
| 4 | Q Let me ask you this exotic legal question. Do | |
| 5 | you know whether it's possible to pass title in | 10:29AM |
| 6 | something that's dead? | |
| 7 | A I do not have opinions on legal matters, | |
| 8 | exotic or not. | |
| 9 | Q What's the source of your information in | |
| 10 | Paragraph 26 that the average size of a grower's | 10:30AM |
| 11 | operation in the IRW is approximately three to four | |
| 12 | houses? | |
| 13 | A That is true nationally from different surveys | |
| 14 | that have been done, and seems like I saw something | |
| 15 | in one of the other expert reports that it was an | 10:30AM |
| 16 | average or a median was three or so, between three | |
| 17 | and four, but I can't point specifically to that. | |
| 18 | Q And will you agree with me that the typical | |
| 19 | three to four house in the typical three to four | |
| 20 | house operation in the IRW, that one of the spouses | 10:30AM |
| 21 | will work off the farm? | |
| 22 | A That is now typical. | |
| 23 | Q Okay. You also agree with me that it is more | |
| 24 | typical than not that the three to four-house farm | |
| 25 | will have cattle? | 10:30AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 58 |
|----|--|------------|
| | | |
| 1 | A Typical. | |
| 2 | Q Do you know the average mama cow herd size | e in |
| 3 | this part of the world? | |
| 4 | A You asked me that question before, and I | think |
| 5 | I guessed at a hundred but I have not looked that | t 10:31AM |
| 6 | up, but they're a fairly small operation. That | is |
| 7 | available from census data but I have not looked | it |
| 8 | up. | |
| 9 | Q Do you know whether any of the independent | t |
| 10 | contractor growers who grow with these integrator | r 10:31AM |
| 11 | defendants in the IRW has ever chosen to lay out | in |
| 12 | the winter and not raise birds in the winter because | ause |
| 13 | of energy costs? | |
| 14 | A I do not know. Wouldn't be surprised if | they |
| 15 | asked. | 10:32AM |
| 16 | Q Would you be surprised if what? | |
| 17 | A I would not be surprised if they had asked | d the |
| 18 | integrator if they could lay out for a winter, by | ut I |
| 19 | do not know. | |
| 20 | Q Would you be surprised if any have told the | he 10:32AM |
| 21 | integrator that they're going to lay out for the | |
| 22 | winter? | |
| 23 | A I wouldn't be surprised that you could fin | nd |
| 24 | someone that said that. I don't know if it's | |
| 25 | dominant or not. | 10:32AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 3,7 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | Q | In Paragraph 33 you state that farmers become | |
| 2 | contr | act growers only with approval of an | |
| 3 | integ | rator. | |
| 4 | A | Yes. | |
| 5 | Q | That's not surprising, is it? | 10:32AM |
| 6 | А | No, given the structure of the industry. | |
| 7 | Q | And in Paragraph 34 you state that once waste | |
| 8 | is re | moved from the poultry house, it no longer has | |
| 9 | a rol | e in the defendants' poultry production | |
| 10 | proce | ss? | 10:33AM |
| 11 | A | Yes. | |
| 12 | Q | That's because it's used by people other than | |
| 13 | the i | ntegrators; true? | |
| 14 | А | Just doesn't go back into an integrated system | |
| 15 | like | if the integrator grew corn and soybeans, then | 10:33AM |
| 16 | they v | would take the litter to grow corn and soybeans | |
| 17 | to mal | ke feed for the broilers. It's just not a part | |
| 18 | of the | e integrator's production system. | |
| 19 | Q | And that's because the poultry litter is used | |
| 20 | by pe | ople other than the growers I mean other | 10:33AM |
| 21 | than | the integrators? | |
| 22 | A | It doesn't have to be that way. | |
| 23 | Q | But it is that way? | |
| 24 | A | It is that way. | |
| 25 | Q | And in Paragraph 35, you state that the circle | 10:34AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | that integrators draw around their feed mill for | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | contract growers is typically 25 to 50 miles, is | |
| 3 | that true, on Page 10? | |
| 4 | A Yes. | |
| 5 | Q And what is your source of that information? | 10:34AM |
| 6 | A It's common throughout the industry, and I've | |
| 7 | cited studies here. Agri Stats also reports the | |
| 8 | hauling, just average hauling distance for feed and | |
| 9 | for birds ready for processing, and it fits into | |
| 10 | this 20 to 50-mile range. | 10:35AM |
| 11 | Q What's the citation I don't see any | |
| 12 | citation of authority for the words typically 25 to | |
| 13 | 50 miles. There's no footnote note. | |
| 14 | A I cited the Tyson web page. | |
| 15 | Q If I go to the Tyson | 10:35AM |
| 16 | A Kirk Houtchens' deposition. Though that's | |
| 17 | disappeared from the Tyson web page. I show I | |
| 18 | downloaded it in '05. I printed it, and when I went | |
| 19 | back to look, it disappeared. | |
| 20 | Q So your testimony is that in 2005, the Tyson | 10:35AM |
| 21 | web page said that they would not go outside 25 to | |
| 22 | 50 miles from their feed mill for contract growers; | |
| 23 | is that your testimony? | |
| 24 | A My testimony is exactly what it said. | |
| 25 | Normally the grower farms are required to be within | 10:36AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | 01 |
|--|--|
| 20 to 40 miles of the food mill and the semples | |
| | |
| Paragraph 38. | |
| Q What about paragraph I'm looking at | |
| Paragraph 36 where you say typically 25 to 50 miles. | |
| I'm asking you for a citation of authority. | 10:36AM |
| A In Paragraph 38 I've given two, the Tyson web | |
| page, which is 30 to 40, Kirk Houtchens' 50 miles, | |
| and I vaguely remember a USDA report quite awhile | |
| back that even had 20 miles, and I came up with the | |
| 20 to 50-mile range. It is not a precise distance. | 10:37AM |
| Q Now, that notion, whatever the width of the | |
| circle is, is because of the efficiencies required | |
| to raise birds profitably; is that true? | |
| A That is based on their desire to minimize | |
| transportation costs. | 10:37AM |
| Q Which have become even more and more important | |
| in the last | |
| A Their out-of-pocket transportation costs. | |
| Q Which has become even more important in the | |
| last six months to a year, hasn't it? | 10:37AM |
| A They've certainly gone up, the transportation | |
| costs. | |
| Q Who told you in Paragraph 39 that poultry feed | |
| constituted the major source of phosphorus imported | |
| into the IRW? | 10:38AM |
| | Paragraph 36 where you say typically 25 to 50 miles. I'm asking you for a citation of authority. A In Paragraph 38 I've given two, the Tyson web page, which is 30 to 40, Kirk Houtchens' 50 miles, and I vaguely remember a USDA report quite awhile back that even had 20 miles, and I came up with the 20 to 50-mile range. It is not a precise distance. Q Now, that notion, whatever the width of the circle is, is because of the efficiencies required to raise birds profitably; is that true? A That is based on their desire to minimize transportation costs. Q Which have become even more and more important in the last A Their out-of-pocket transportation costs. Q Which has become even more important in the last six months to a year, hasn't it? A They've certainly gone up, the transportation costs. Q Who told you in Paragraph 39 that poultry feed constituted the major source of phosphorus imported |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A Nobody told me that. That was just based on | | | |
|----|--|---------|--|--|
| 2 | working on plant nutrients over my professional | | | |
| 3 | career and the way in which fertilizer | | | |
| 4 | recommendations are typically made by extension | | | |
| 5 | services, especially the phosphorus recommendation. | 10:38AM | | |
| 6 | Q Have you now told me all of the bases for your | | | |
| 7 | statement? | | | |
| 8 | A It's just my experience and knowledge of the | | | |
| 9 | phosphorus issue and nothing specific to this case, | | | |
| 10 | other than not much not many field crops are | 10:39AM | | |
| 11 | grown where it is transported out of the watershed, | | | |
| 12 | where the crop is hauled out of the watershed. | | | |
| 13 | Q Most of the food consumed by human beings in | | | |
| 14 | the IRW is imported to the IRW from outside | | | |
| 15 | locations; true? | 10:39AM | | |
| 16 | A Yes. | | | |
| 17 | Q Did you take that into account? | | | |
| 18 | A I did not. | | | |
| 19 | Q Paragraph 42, you state that in your opinion | | | |
| 20 | integrators, including these defendants, have used | 10:40AM | | |
| 21 | their economic control over growers to attempt to | | | |
| 22 | shift environmental costs and health risk costs from | | | |
| 23 | themselves to growers. Is it your assertion that | | | |
| 24 | there have been meetings, communications, things of | | | |
| 25 | that nature, information passed between these | 10:40AM | | |
| | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | integrator defendants where they agreed among | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | themselves that they would use their economic | |
| 3 | control to attempt to shift environmental costs? | |
| 4 | A I do not have any evidence of explicit | |
| 5 | collusion, tacit collusion or whether there was no | 10:40AM |
| 6 | collusion. | |
| 7 | Q That was a great answer. In fact, if they did | |
| 8 | that, that would be violative of antitrust laws of | |
| 9 | the United States, wouldn't it? | |
| 10 | A Maybe. | 10:41AM |
| 11 | Q Same question regarding health risk costs. | |
| 12 | You know of no meetings, confabs, agreements, | |
| 13 | anything like that; right? | |
| 14 | A Right. | |
| 15 | Q Same with Paragraph 44 where you use the words | 10:41AM |
| 16 | increasing effort by the defendants to explicitly | |
| 17 | shift to contract growers the environmental costs | |
| 18 | and health risk costs. Again, you know of no | |
| 19 | meetings, agreements, communications where any of | |
| 20 | these integrator defendants sat down and said, | 10:41AM |
| 21 | here's what we're going to do, guys; right? | |
| 22 | A I do not have any information on collective | |
| 23 | action or lack of that. | |
| 24 | Q In Paragraph 45, you and its subparagraphs, | |
| 25 | you address a lot of contracts with these growers | 10:42AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
between the growers and the integrator defendants;
 1
 2
      correct?
 3
             Correct.
             And you begin citing that the -- you cite that
 4
      there began appearing, perhaps in the 1990's,
 5
                                                                       10:42AM
      depending on the company, contract language with the
 6
      growers that addressed health and environmental
 7
      regulations and the requirement that the growers
 8
      comply with health and environmental regulations in
 9
      the disposition of chicken litter.
                                                                       10:42AM
10
11
             The exact wording differs from contract for
      contract, but that's the main theme.
12
             The theme is somewhere that in the '90's there
13
      started --
14
             Wording.
15
             -- appearing in these contracts wording where
16
17
      the growers were required to dispose of chicken
      litter in accord with rules and regulations;
18
      correct?
19
             Various rules and regulations and laws.
                                                                       10:43AM
20
             Now, sir, is that not a good thing?
21
             It's a good thing to follow the laws and
22
      regulations.
23
             You're not criticizing from a social
24
25
      responsibility standpoint that that's responsible
                                                                       10:43AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | behavior on the part of the germanica? | |
|----|---|------|
| 1 | behavior on the part of the companies? | |
| 2 | A I don't know that I'm testifying on social | |
| 3 | responsibility issues. | |
| 4 | Q Good. In Paragraph 59 you recite that the | |
| 5 | USDA for the purposes of the map on that page 10:4 | 14AM |
| 6 | MR. RIGGS: John, did you say 59 or 1? | |
| 7 | MR. ELROD: 59 on Page 24. | |
| 8 | Q You state that the USDA for purposes of the, | |
| 9 | typo, the above map, 455 broilers constitute an | |
| 10 | animal unit as does a single breeding cow or bull. 10:4 | 15AM |
| 11 | A Yes. | |
| 12 | Q Why is that? | |
| 13 | A I'm just citing why they did, but it's | |
| 14 | primarily because the chicken weighs a few pounds | |
| 15 | and a bull weighs 1,200 pounds, but it also involves 10:4 | 15AM |
| 16 | waste production per pound and some other factors, | |
| 17 | but I don't know the exact formula. It's laid down | |
| 18 | in those reports, the reasoning for that. | |
| 19 | Q And then you state that both Benton and | |
| 20 | Washington Counties in Arkansas are identified in 10:4 | 15AM |
| 21 | the above maps as having over 25,000 animal units | |
| 22 | from which manure is recoverable. Would you explain | |
| 23 | those numbers? I do not understand that. | |
| 24 | A The 25,000 animal units what they define as | |
| 25 | recoverable comes primarily from confined feeding 10:4 | l6AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| i | | |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | operations. Historically there were some hogs | |
| 2 | there. Most of those have gone out. So this is | |
| 3 | primarily poultry, and to just simplify it, if we | |
| 4 | had only poultry confined and that's the only way to | |
| 5 | recover from their standpoint, it would be 25,000 | 10:46AM |
| 6 | times 455 would be the number of broilers in Benton | |
| 7 | and Washington Counties for | |
| 8 | Q 25,000 times 455 or 25,000 divided by 455? | |
| 9 | A 25,000 times 455 would be the number of | |
| 10 | broilers because an animal unit is 455 broilers by | 10:46AM |
| 11 | their calculation. | |
| 12 | Q Okay. Paragraph 60 on Page 25, the last | |
| 13 | sentence you state that the five-county area had an | |
| 14 | increase of broiler numbers of over 50 percent from | |
| 15 | 1987 to 2002, and then you state, which largely | 10:47AM |
| 16 | accounts for the increase in excess phosphorus as | |
| 17 | shown on the USDA maps. Now, the last part of that | |
| 18 | sentence is your opinion; correct? | |
| 19 | A Well, it's based on their methodology for | |
| 20 | calculating excess phosphorus. | 10:47AM |
| 21 | Q But it's your opinion that the increase in | |
| 22 | chicken production, quote, which largely accounts | |
| 23 | for the increase in excess phosphorus as shown on | |
| 24 | the USDA maps, end quote, is true; that's your | |
| 25 | opinion; right? | 10:48AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A That is simply a reflection of the USDA | | |
|----|--|----|--|
| 2 | calculations. | | |
| 3 | Q Well, the USDA doesn't opine that the reason | | |
| 4 | for purported increases in excess phosphorus as | | |
| 5 | shown in the USDA maps is because of an increase in 10:48. | ΔM | |
| 6 | poultry production; USDA doesn't say that, does it? | | |
| 7 | A No, but their excess phosphorus went up | | |
| 8 | because broilers went up. | | |
| 9 | Q I understand. That's your opinion; correct? | | |
| 10 | A It's my interpretation of their data and 10:48. | ΔM | |
| 11 | methodology. If you want to call it an opinion, | | |
| 12 | that's okay. | | |
| 13 | Q In Paragraph 61 when you say, in my opinion | | |
| 14 | integrators have been well aware for about two | | |
| 15 | decades that runoff and leaching of phosphorus from 10:49. | ΔM | |
| 16 | land application of poultry waste is of | | |
| 17 | environmental concern in several areas of the U.S., | | |
| 18 | including the IRW. Are you not stepping outside of | | |
| 19 | your realm of expertise in making that statement? | | |
| 20 | A I do not have any direct evidence that the 10:49. | MÆ | |
| 21 | integrators were aware of it, and I stated this as | | |
| 22 | an opinion, but given all that's been going on at | | |
| 23 | the university and NRCS and USDA, you know, it's | | |
| 24 | been conceivable that they didn't know about it. | | |
| 25 | Q And assuming that, quote, they, end quote, 10:49. | 4M | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | | | |
|----|--|---------|--|
| 1 | at an in the second | | |
| 1 | didn't know about, quote, it, end quote, over the | | |
| 2 | past two decades, can you tell the court and jury | | |
| 3 | what, for instance, Simmons Foods has done in | | |
| 4 | reaction to that knowledge? | | |
| 5 | A Nothing other than to say that they're | 10:50AM | |
| 6 | participating in BMP for the last few years. | | |
| 7 | Q And that's all you know that Simmons has done | | |
| 8 | in reaction to that knowledge? | | |
| 9 | A As far as I know, yes. | | |
| 10 | Q On Page 33, Paragraph 64, in bold print there | 10:50AM | |
| 11 | are the following words, quote, farmers' perception | | |
| 12 | of manure management has evolved from crop | | |
| 13 | fertilization to waste disposal, end quote. Do you | | |
| 14 | see where I'm talking about? | | |
| 15 | A Yes. | 10:51AM | |
| 16 | Q Are those your words? | | |
| 17 | A Those are apparently Parker's words as quoted | | |
| 18 | by Carreira, Young, Goodwin and Wailes. | | |
| 19 | Q In Paragraph 65 I think you you talk about | | |
| 20 | baling. | 10:52AM | |
| 21 | A Yes. | | |
| 22 | Q What do you know about the status of litter | | |
| 23 | baling? | | |
| 24 | A I know that the Arkansas ag economists have | | |
| 25 | looked into it and have done some economic analysis, | 10:52AM | |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | | | 1 | |
|----|--------|--|---------|--|
| 1 | b.,+ + | -ht-t- that it is still unpressen on not | | |
| 1 | | they state that it is still unproven or not | | |
| 2 | compl | letely developed technology. | | |
| 3 | Q | Paragraph 68 you state that, two-thirds of the | | |
| 4 | way o | down, Dr. Taylor, quote, therefore, it is | | |
| 5 | there | efore, there is zero gross value to additional P | 10:52AM | |
| 6 | appli | applied either as poultry waste or as commercial | | |
| 7 | ferti | fertilizer, end quote? | | |
| 8 | А | Yes. | | |
| 9 | Q | Are you not stepping outside your areas of | | |
| 10 | expe | ctise by making that statement? | 10:52AM | |
| 11 | А | I don't think I am. | | |
| 12 | Q | You're not an agronomist? | | |
| 13 | А | But I've extensively analyzed the economics of | | |
| 14 | ferti | ilization. | | |
| 15 | Q | Your undergraduate degree is in what? | 10:53AM | |
| 16 | А | My junior college degree is just in | | |
| 17 | agrid | culture. My undergraduate degree is | | |
| 18 | agric | cultural economics. | | |
| 19 | Q | And your masters is in what? | | |
| 20 | А | Combined econ, ag econ department. It's | 10:53AM | |
| 21 | reall | ly ag econ but diploma says econ. | | |
| 22 | Q | And your PhD is in what? | | |
| 23 | А | Ag economics. | | |
| 24 | Q | Now, let's focus on Paragraph 72 for a few | | |
| 25 | minut | tes. You agree that historically it has not | 10:54AM | |
| | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 7 0 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | been profitable to haul litter long distances | |
| 2 | because commercial fertilizer was much cheaper than | |
| 3 | now? | |
| 4 | A Relative to transportation costs then. | |
| 5 | Q As we sit here today, what's the cost of a ton | 10:54AM |
| 6 | of urea? | |
| 7 | A I've done some web searches and searches on | |
| 8 | USDA websites, and I have not found any current | |
| 9 | information on urea costs. I know it's all gone up. | |
| 10 | USDA usually reports it at spring planting time, and | 10:54AM |
| 11 | their April number is all I have, but I know it's | |
| 12 | gone up dramatically. I've heard the DAP has gone | |
| 13 | up to a thousand dollars, but I haven't heard any | |
| 14 | solid number on urea but it's gone up substantially. | |
| 15 | Q It's at least \$700 a ton? | 10:55AM |
| 16 | A That sounds about right. | |
| 17 | Q Okay, and that price would include the | |
| 18 | counties within the IRW? | |
| 19 | A Pretty much uniform price in the United | |
| 20 | States. | 10:55AM |
| 21 | Q And DAP is diammonium phosphate? | |
| 22 | A Phosphate. | |
| 23 | Q And that's mined, a mined product, M-I-N-E-D? | |
| 24 | A The phosphorus is mined, and it's I don't | |
| 25 | know the technology. They put some urea in it to | 10:55AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
stabilize it or something like that, and so it has
 1
      some nitrogen fertilizer value, along with the
 2
 3
      phosphorus, but it's mined primarily in Florida.
             Okay, and in order to get it here, you have to
 4
 5
      transport it by barge or railroads to the Port of
                                                                      10:56AM
      Catoosa, for instance; is that right?
 6
 7
             I don't know how it's transported from
      Florida.
 8
             There would be transportation costs attendant
 9
      to getting it from Florida to Adair County,
                                                                      10:56AM
10
11
      Oklahoma; true?
             Correct.
12
             And its cost in Adair County, Oklahoma is
13
      about a thousand dollars per ton?
14
             That's what Gordon Johnson said.
                                                                      10:56AM
15
             And three to four years ago would have been in
16
      the range of $200 a ton?
17
             I give the statistics back here somewhere.
18
            Where are they?
19
            One of the tables, Table 4. That's Appendix
                                                                      10:56AM
20
      Table 4.
21
             What am I supposed to be looking for? Okay.
22
23
      It's under Appendix A or where? Didn't you number
      these pages?
24
25
                MR. RIGGS: Under Appendix C, I think.
                                                                      10:57AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
MS. XIDIS: It's C.
 1
             It's almost at the end of your document, about
 2
 3
      a half a dozen pages back.
             All right. I've got it. It's headed Appendix
 4
 5
      Table 4, fertilizer prices reported by USDA spring
                                                                      10:57AM
      of indicated year?
 6
             Uh-huh.
 7
             Okay. We're talking phosphates, not
 8
      phosphorus; is that true?
 9
             DAP, right, super phosphate.
                                                                      10:57AM
10
11
            And that's what we're talking about in chicken
      litter, too, is phosphates, not phosphorus; correct?
12
             That's science stuff, so I'm not an expert in
13
14
      that area.
             So commercial phosphates have gone up from
                                                                      10:58AM
15
      $222 a ton in 1998 to over $800 a ton in 2008
16
17
      according to this chart.
             Right. Probably a thousand now.
18
             And urea has gone from 183 to 552 during that
19
      same period of time?
                                                                      10:58AM
20
             Yeah, and I'll accept your current number of
21
      700 as being close.
22
             Okay. Now, when you -- Doctor, when you look
23
      at the typical farming operations in the IRW --
24
25
           Uh-huh.
                                                                      10:58AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 73 |
|----|--------|--|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | Q | let's see if we can we may have done | |
| 2 | this a | t trial on the PI, but let me revisit this | |
| 3 | issue. | Let's see if we can agree on what that | |
| 4 | typica | l farming operation might look like. I think | |
| 5 | we've | already said there might be three or four | 10:59AM |
| 6 | chicke | n houses; right? | |
| 7 | А | Right. | |
| 8 | Q | And that and maybe 100 head of mother cows; | |
| 9 | right? | | |
| 10 | A | Right. | 10:59AM |
| 11 | Q | And, what, a couple hundred acres of land; is | |
| 12 | that f | air? | |
| 13 | A | That's close, maybe smaller than that but | |
| 14 | okay. | It's not 2,000. | |
| 15 | Q | And one of the spouses is probably going to | 10:59AM |
| 16 | work o | ff the farm; right? | |
| 17 | А | Correct. | |
| 18 | Q | And the in order to feed those cows, you | |
| 19 | have t | o raise forage; correct? | |
| 20 | А | There are other ways of feeding them, but that | 10:59AM |
| 21 | is and | important component. | |
| 22 | Q | And in order to feed them forage, and the way | |
| 23 | our ag | riculture works here is that hay and forage | |
| 24 | will b | e grown typically at the farm of the person | |
| 25 | who ha | s got the chicken houses and the cattle; | 10:59AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
correct?
 1
             Uh-huh, yes.
 2
             It's baled for winter use?
 3
             Yes.
 4
 5
             And then they're obviously grazing animals;
                                                                      11:00AM
      right?
 6
 7
             Right.
             In order to get maximum forage production, you
 8
      have to fertilize; true?
 9
             The word maximum bothers me. That's why I
                                                                      11:00AM
10
11
      hesitate because to an economist, you want to
12
      maximize -- have the fertilizer rate that maximizes
      profit, which generally is less fertilizer than what
13
      maximizes yield.
14
             From a -- but if you were the owner of that
                                                                      11:00AM
15
      farming operation, you're wanting to maximize yield,
16
17
      are you not?
             I want to maximize profit, which is different.
18
           Okay, and that's going to require
19
20
      fertilization in order to maximize profit because
                                                                      11:00AM
      you want to raise more forage; correct?
21
            Maybe, not necessarily.
22
23
             And the choice of whether to fertilize or not
      fertilize and the extent to which one might
24
25
      fertilize, at least with commercial fertilizer, is
                                                                      11:00AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | totally up to the farmer; right? | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | A Subject to various laws and regulations. | |
| 3 | Q Well, are there laws attendant to the use of | |
| 4 | commercial fertilizer in northeast Oklahoma and | |
| 5 | northwest Arkansas? | 11:01AM |
| 6 | A I can't think of any right now. | |
| 7 | Q But there are laws in regard to the | |
| 8 | utilization of chicken litter as a fertilizer for | |
| 9 | forage; correct? | |
| 10 | A Yes. | 11:01AM |
| 11 | Q Okay, and will you agree with me that from a | |
| 12 | pure efficiency standpoint, it makes more sense to | |
| 13 | apply phosphorus and nitrogen that comes out of the | |
| 14 | rear end of a chicken right next door than it is to | |
| 15 | bring urea or phosphate from Florida by barge and | 11:01AM |
| 16 | railroad trains and then by truck to enter some | |
| 17 | store on Highway 412 in Adair, Oklahoma and then go | |
| 18 | get it in a buggy and spread that on your land? | |
| 19 | A In terms of on-farm economic efficiency, which | |
| 20 | I equate with profit maximization, if the soil test | 11:01AM |
| 21 | is already up to the 65 level, the Oklahoma State | |
| 22 | agronomists say there is no yield response. If | |
| 23 | they're applying any kind of phosphorus to a field | |
| 24 | that has an STP greater than 65, they're purely | |
| 25 | wasting their money because they're not getting | 11:02AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | anything back for applying the phosphorus part of | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | chicken litter or waste or DAP or whatever. | |
| 3 | Q Okay, but if you've got with the commercial | |
| 4 | fertilizer at the cost that we've just discussed | |
| 5 | A Uh-huh. | 11:02AM |
| 6 | Q even if you're not going to get maximum | |
| 7 | utilization of your phosphorus, typically you're | |
| 8 | going to need the nitrogen from the litter, are you | |
| 9 | not? | |
| 10 | A You need the nitrogen in the litter you may | 11:02AM |
| 11 | need the nitrogen or in the litter or from | |
| 12 | commercial fertilizer, but you do not need the P. | |
| 13 | Q But the point is that in order that the | |
| 14 | typical farmer making his or her own in this area | |
| 15 | of the world making his or her own nutrient | 11:03AM |
| 16 | decisions to the extent the law permits them to make | |
| 17 | those decisions is going to find the utilization of | |
| 18 | chicken litter much more economically efficient than | |
| 19 | urea at \$700 a ton and phosphorus commercial | |
| 20 | phosphates at a thousand dollars a ton? | 11:03AM |
| 21 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 22 | Q It's just cheaper? | |
| 23 | A That is something I have not carefully | |
| 24 | analyzed. I've not carefully analyzed on-farm | |
| 25 | economics, but it's quite possible that with the | 11:03AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
high fertilizer prices, the grower would be better
 1
 2
      off selling the litter for use outside the watershed
      and taking some of that money and buying urea
 3
      because on those fields there's no need for P or K.
 4
             But you've not analyzed that?
                                                                      11:04AM
 5
             Not carefully.
 6
 7
                MR. ELROD: Okay. Let's take a break.
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the Record.
 8
      The time is 11:03 a.m.
 9
                  (Following a short recess at 11:04
                                                                      11:04AM
10
11
      a.m., proceedings continued on the Record at 11:15
12
      a.m.)
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the Record.
13
      The time is 11:15 a.m.
14
             Dr. Taylor, I'd like to talk to you for a few
                                                                      11:15AM
15
      minutes about this unjust enrichment concept and
16
      we'll focus for the moment on Paragraph 72.
17
             Okay.
18
      Α
             It's true that you are relying on the
19
      assumptions and results of the 2007 H. L. Goodwin
                                                                      11:15AM
20
      study in reaching your opinions and calculations; is
21
      that true?
22
23
             That is true.
             You conducted no independent study of your own
24
25
      in terms of transportation costs out of the
                                                                      11:15AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | watershed? | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | A The only independent work I did is to compare | |
| 3 | their transportation costs to transportation costs | |
| 4 | in an Oklahoma NRCS bulletin to your experts. | |
| 5 | Rouser and Dicks had some assumptions to the USDA | 11:16AM |
| 6 | report that I briefly mentioned before, and seems | |
| 7 | like there was another one, but I compared their | |
| 8 | costs to litter hauling costs from other sources. | |
| 9 | Q And the conclusion you reach in Paragraph 72, | |
| 10 | based on the H. L. Goodwin study, is that there are | 11:16AM |
| 11 | no apparent economic barriers to hauling substantial | |
| 12 | amounts of poultry waste from the IRW to rice fields | |
| 13 | in Lonoke County, Arkansas; is that true? | |
| 14 | A Other than the possible acceptance issue we | |
| 15 | covered earlier. | 11:17AM |
| 16 | Q And your conclusion in that regard is based | |
| 17 | solely on the H. L. Goodwin study in 2007; true? | |
| 18 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 19 | A It uses information from the Carreira study, | |
| 20 | and then I take that back in time. They looked at | 11:17AM |
| 21 | it as a snapshot in time, and I looked at it going | |
| 22 | back year by year with available information and, | |
| 23 | again, compared it to the other hauling cost | |
| 24 | numbers. Oh, also to haulers Traylor and Langley, I | |
| 25 | think in their deposition they state cost, and the | 11:18AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 1 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1 | to the Committee study and higher was to | |
| 1 | cost in the Carreira study are higher, up to a | |
| 2 | hauling distance of near 400 miles, so I used the | |
| 3 | high cost estimate. | |
| 4 | Q But the lynchpin of your opinion is the | |
| 5 | results of the Goodwin study; you didn't conduct | 11:18AM |
| 6 | your own independent study; true? | |
| 7 | A Yes. | |
| 8 | Q And if it's wrong, you're wrong; isn't that | |
| 9 | right? | |
| 10 | A That's true. | 11:18AM |
| 11 | Q And you didn't look at the George's | |
| 12 | experience; correct? | |
| 13 | A Correct. | |
| 14 | Q You didn't look at the Sheri Herron/BMPs | |
| 15 | experience; correct? | 11:18AM |
| 16 | A That is correct, because I have no way of | |
| 17 | assessing the objectivity of anything I would get | |
| 18 | out of that. | |
| 19 | Q And the whole notion that there's been the | |
| 20 | legal term unjust enrichment for not hauling litter | 11:19AM |
| 21 | historically and at the present to the extent it's | |
| 22 | not hauled, again, it is based purely on the | |
| 23 | accuracy of the H. L. Goodwin study? | |
| 24 | A With the qualifications and explanations I | |
| 25 | gave. | 11:19AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q Okay. In Paragraph 75 and also in back in | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | Paragraph 72 you use the words you used the word | |
| 3 | responsibly. You talk about the companies behaving | |
| 4 | responsibly in 72 by hauling litter and in 75 you | |
| 5 | talk about, quote, responsibly transporting excess | 11:19AM |
| 6 | litter out of the IRW; do you see that? | |
| 7 | A Yes. | |
| 8 | Q Those are your words; isn't that true? | |
| 9 | A Those are my words. | |
| 10 | Q And you are ascribing irresponsibility to the | 11:20AM |
| 11 | defendants in this case by virtue of litter not | |
| 12 | historically being transported out of the IRW? | |
| 13 | A Or in economic jargon, they're not accounting | |
| 14 | for the external cost associated with the litter | |
| 15 | that's generated by their operation. | 11:20AM |
| 16 | Q But you chose to use the word responsibly; | |
| 17 | correct? | |
| 18 | A Yes, I did. | |
| 19 | Q And that's editorializing on your part, isn't | |
| 20 | it? | 11:20AM |
| 21 | A They certainly control all of the factors | |
| 22 | involved and if you want to call it editorializing, | |
| 23 | okay. | |
| 24 | Q By your conscious selection of the word | |
| 25 | responsibly, you are attempting to convey to the | 11:20AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | court and jury in this case that these integrator | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | defendants are not responsible companies; isn't that | |
| 3 | true? | |
| 4 | A Talking about responsibility in a very | |
| 5 | narrowly defined way, not in terms of their whole | 11:21AM |
| 6 | corporate operation. | |
| 7 | Q Okay, but back to my question, by you | |
| 8 | consciously choosing to use the word responsibly in | |
| 9 | this context, you are trying to convey to the court | |
| 10 | and jury in this case that these defendants have | 11:21AM |
| 11 | acted irresponsibly; isn't that true? | |
| 12 | A That is my opinion. | |
| 13 | Q And your cost avoidance conclusions assume | |
| 14 | transportation out of litter tonnage at various | |
| 15 | levels; is that true? | 11:22AM |
| 16 | A Correct. | |
| 17 | Q You provided a chart for 50,000 tons, 100,000 | |
| 18 | tons, 150, et cetera? | |
| 19 | A Where yes. | |
| 20 | Q I'm sorry, on Table 5 on Page 39. | 11:22AM |
| 21 | A Yes. | |
| 22 | Q So is that the notion that you are not opining | |
| 23 | as to the amount of tonnage that should be or should | |
| 24 | have been historically removed from the watershed; | |
| 25 | you're providing a range? | 11:23AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 02 |
|----|---|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | A That is correct. | |
| 2 | Q So what should have been done is not within | |
| 3 | your realm of testimony in this case? | |
| 4 | A I was not asked to analyze that. | |
| 5 | Q And you won't analyze that for trial or offer | 11:23AM |
| 6 | opinions at trial; is that true? | |
| 7 | A As far as I know. | |
| 8 | Q Okay. Dr. Taylor, since I don't have any red | |
| 9 | pencil marks anywhere else on this report, I'm | |
| 10 | through. | 11:23AM |
| 11 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | |
| 12 | BY MR. BURNS: | |
| 13 | Q Dr. Taylor, my name is Brian Burns. I | |
| 14 | represent the various Tyson entities that have been | |
| 15 | sued in this lawsuit, Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson | 11:24AM |
| 16 | Poultry, Inc., Tyson Chicken, Inc., Tyson Breeders, | |
| 17 | Inc., and Cobb-Vantress. Can we agree that today if | |
| 18 | I refer to the Tyson defendants or the Tyson | |
| 19 | companies or just Tyson, I'm collectively meaning | |
| 20 | all of those? | 11:24AM |
| 21 | A Yes. | |
| 22 | Q I think it will save us some time rather than | |
| 23 | going through individually. We've never met I don't | |
| 24 | believe? | |
| 25 | A No. | 11:24AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | C. Dut was have some comparisons with Tragen; ignit |
|----|---|
| 1 | Q But you have some experience with Tyson; isn't |
| 2 | that correct? |
| 3 | A And they have experience with me. |
| 4 | Q You've |
| 5 | A I didn't pick them, though. 11:24AM |
| 6 | Q You have been retained as an expert in cases |
| 7 | involving Tyson Foods in the past? |
| 8 | A Two cases. The first one was the cattle case, |
| 9 | Pickett versus IBP, and my involvement started I |
| 10 | think in early '99. The case started in '96, and in 11:25AM |
| 11 | '02 Tyson bought into the case, but I was involved |
| 12 | as an expert when it was Iowa Beef Packers and then |
| 13 | Tyson bought into it. |
| 14 | The other one is an east Texas poultry case |
| 15 | that out against Pilgrim's Pride as a Packers and 11:25AM |
| 16 | Stockyard case, and along the way it was and I |
| 17 | was involved in just Pilgrim's Pride, and along the |
| 18 | way, it was expanded to include antitrust |
| 19 | allegations, and they brought Tyson in, but also at |
| 20 | that time I said I don't have time for all of this, 11:25AM |
| 21 | so my role is pretty limited. |
| 22 | Q Your role is more prominent in the Packers and |
| 23 | Stockyard portion of that case against Pilgrim's |
| 24 | than the later antitrust suit? |
| 25 | A Right. As far as I know, the only involvement 11:26AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | in the antitrust part is market definition, and to | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | simplify my testimony, the integrators defined the | |
| 3 | market for grower services. | |
| 4 | Q Have you published articles discussing the | |
| 5 | business practices of Tyson? | 11:26AM |
| 6 | A I have published articles dealing with the | |
| 7 | Pickett case. | |
| 8 | Q In the course of the work that you've done | |
| 9 | involving Tyson Foods, have you come to any general | |
| 10 | conclusions as to the business practices of Tyson? | 11:26AM |
| 11 | A No general conclusion. I've dealt basically | |
| 12 | with it was more IBP's use of what's known as | |
| 13 | captive supplies to allegedly manipulate the cash | |
| 14 | market for slaughter cattle. | |
| 15 | Q You don't have any opinions as to the poultry | 11:26AM |
| 16 | side of the operation then? | |
| 17 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 18 | Q Well, I can ask a little bit better question. | |
| 19 | A Okay. | |
| 20 | Q In the course of the work you've done | 11:27AM |
| 21 | historically on Tyson, you haven't come to any sort | |
| 22 | of general conclusions as to the business practices | |
| 23 | of the poultry side of the business? | |
| 24 | A Other than it being vertically integrated and | |
| 25 | having the same, generally the same business model | 11:27AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | that the other integrators now have. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Q Do you have an opinion as to whether Tyson is | |
| 3 | a good corporate citizen? | |
| 4 | A I don't have any reason to think they're | |
| 5 | generally a bad corporate citizen. | 11:27AM |
| 6 | Q Do you have any reason to think that Tyson | |
| 7 | generally conducts its business affairs in an unfair | |
| 8 | manner? | |
| 9 | A No, except for the two issues that I've | |
| 10 | covered, one dealing with the monopsony or | 11:27AM |
| 11 | oligopsony power over growers, and the well, | |
| 12 | three, and you know, after they bought IBP, then the | |
| 13 | practice there, and then what's covered in this | |
| 14 | litigation, but I don't have any ill will towards | |
| 15 | Tyson. | 11:28AM |
| 16 | Q This is the second deposition that you've | |
| 17 | given in this case; is that correct? | |
| 18 | A Correct. | |
| 19 | Q Do you recall being asked in the course of | |
| 20 | that prior deposition if you believed Tyson is a | 11:28AM |
| 21 | threat to democracy? | |
| 22 | A I don't know if I don't recall being asked | |
| 23 | if Tyson was a threat to democracy. We had | |
| 24 | discussions about related issues. | |
| 25 | Q Okay. I'm going to show you a page from your | 11:28AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | deposition transcript, prior deposition transcript. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | I'm sorry I didn't bring extra copies of this | |
| 3 | particular document. This is Page 139. Could we | |
| 4 | mark that? | |
| 5 | MR. RIGGS: Counsel, can I take just a | 11:28AM |
| 6 | moment to retrieve it? We had it out and I put it | |
| 7 | back in a box and I'm not sure I can find it very | |
| 8 | quickly. It won't take very long I think. What was | |
| 9 | the page and line? | |
| 10 | MR. BURNS: I believe it's 138 or 139. | 11:29AM |
| 11 | A 139. | |
| 12 | MR. BURNS: Line 12. | |
| 13 | Q Okay. Can you read that question for me? | |
| 14 | A Starting at 12? | |
| 15 | Q Yes, sir. | 11:29AM |
| 16 | A Do you believe that Tyson is a threat to | |
| 17 | democracy? Answer: Well, they've been convicted of | |
| 18 | bribing the Secretary of Agriculture, but aside from | |
| 19 | that, I don't see that they're a threat to | |
| 20 | democracy. | 11:29AM |
| 21 | Q What basis do you have for asserting that | |
| 22 | Tyson Foods or any of the Tyson entities has been | |
| 23 | convicted of bribing the Secretary of Agriculture? | |
| 24 | A That was my understanding that in the Michael | |
| 25 | Espy case when he was Secretary of Agriculture, | 11:30AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 0 7 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | which was ten or fifteen years ago, that Tyson was | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | convicted. | |
| 3 | Q Do you know for a fact that Tyson was | |
| 4 | convicted of bribery in that case? | |
| 5 | A I do not. | 11:30AM |
| 6 | Q Do you agree with me that prior to accusing a | |
| 7 | company of a criminal conviction on the Record in a | |
| 8 | deposition, you might should verify whether that | |
| 9 | fact is accurate? | |
| 10 | A I thought it was common knowledge, but if they | 11:30AM |
| 11 | weren't convicted of bribing per se, then I'm wrong | |
| 12 | to state that. | |
| 13 | Q Okay, and you're a professor at Auburn | |
| 14 | University? | |
| 15 | A Correct. | 11:30AM |
| 16 | Q What department are you in? | |
| 17 | A Administratively I'm in the College of | |
| 18 | Agriculture. | |
| 19 | Q Okay. | |
| 20 | A Tenure at Auburn is only in departments. So | 11:30AM |
| 21 | my tenure is in ag economics or rural sociology, but | |
| 22 | for all practical purposes I'm in the college but | |
| 23 | not a department per se. | |
| 24 | Q Does Auburn University have a poultry science | |
| 25 | department? | 11:31AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 88 |
|----|--------|--|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | А | Yes, they do. | |
| 2 | Q | And are you a member of that faculty? | |
| 3 | А | No. | |
| 4 | Q | Have you ever taught any poultry science | |
| 5 | class | es? | 11:31AM |
| 6 | A | No. | |
| 7 | Q | Have you ever taught a class that involves | |
| 8 | on-fa: | rm poultry management? | |
| 9 | A | I have not taught any class per se directly | |
| 10 | relate | ed to poultry science. | 11:31AM |
| 11 | Q | Okay. Have you ever been asked to teach any | |
| 12 | class | like that directly related to poultry science? | |
| 13 | А | Have not. | |
| 14 | Q | Have you yourself ever been a farmer? | |
| 15 | A | I grew up on a farm in Oklahoma that I would | 11:31AM |
| 16 | call 1 | more of a hobby farm, and mother was an | |
| 17 | exten | sion home economist. | |
| 18 | Q | What kind of farming did you do? | |
| 19 | А | Everything. | |
| 20 | Q | Did you have chickens? | 11:31AM |
| 21 | А | We had yard chickens. | |
| 22 | Q | No commercial flock, no chicken house? | |
| 23 | А | No commercial flock. Cattle and hogs | |
| 24 | comme | rcially. | |
| 25 | Q | Have you ever been employed by a poultry | 11:32AM |
| | İ | | ļ. |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | company? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A I have not. |
| 3 | Q So your exposure to the poultry industry has |
| 4 | come primarily through the retention of you as an |
| 5 | expert in litigation and writing and academic 11:32AM |
| 6 | pursuits that you've done? |
| 7 | A More writing on restoring economic health to |
| 8 | contract poultry production, and then the poultry |
| 9 | litigation really came into play after that. |
| 10 | Q Okay. I'm going to spend a little bit of time 11:32AM |
| 11 | going through your expert report. |
| 12 | MR. BURNS: Did we mark that as an exhibit |
| 13 | in the case? Do you mind marking that as Exhibit 2? |
| 14 | Q I may skip around somewhat. Mr. Elrod covered |
| 15 | a lot of ground and I may skip around and cover some 11:33AM |
| 16 | other things. On Page 3 of your report in Paragraph |
| 17 | 8, you state that the location of grow-out |
| 18 | facilities and, thus, location of poultry waste |
| 19 | generation is also fully controlled by the |
| 20 | integrators. Can you help me understand what you 11:33AM |
| 21 | mean when you say that the integrators control the |
| 22 | location of grow-out facilities? |
| 23 | A They have to approve the individual or they |
| 24 | approve first the individual and if that individual |
| 25 | plans on locating facilities far away from the feed 11:33AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | mill, then they simply won't contract with that | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | grower. They find out where the location is and how | |
| 3 | far it is to a feed mill. In terms of location on | |
| 4 | the farm itself, the integrator generally has some | |
| 5 | say over it, but it's more the lay of the land that | 11:34AM |
| 6 | determines that. | |
| 7 | Q So would it be fair to characterize your | |
| 8 | opinion as basically the integrator draws a circle | |
| 9 | or a radius and determines how far the integrator | |
| 10 | will travel from the feed mill and will contract | 11:34AM |
| 11 | with potential growers inside that radius? | |
| 12 | A There's no bright line but that's the basic | |
| 13 | idea. | |
| 14 | Q More or less? | |
| 15 | A More or less. | 11:34AM |
| 16 | Q But within that area, if a poultry house were | |
| 17 | a potential house were to be located 25 degrees | |
| 18 | east of the feed mill or 25 degrees west of the feed | |
| 19 | mill, you don't have any reason to believe that a | |
| 20 | poultry company would have a preference, do you? | 11:34AM |
| 21 | A No. | |
| 22 | Q And certainly on an individual potential | |
| 23 | grower's farm, as long as there wasn't some issue | |
| 24 | such as lack of road access or lack of water supply | |
| 25 | or something like that, the poultry company wouldn't | 11:35AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | care? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Right. They want access and some other |
| 3 | Q Do you know if the poultry companies consider |
| 4 | whether a farm also includes pastureland for |
| 5 | purposes of litter application? 11:35AM |
| 6 | A I do not. |
| 7 | Q Okay. On Paragraph 9 on the same page, you |
| 8 | state that the company service technicians typically |
| 9 | visit each grow-out house at least weekly to check |
| 10 | on and supervise the grower's care of flocks and 11:35AM |
| 11 | check on litter, waste and dead birds, and Mr. Elrod |
| 12 | asked you some questions about this already so I'll |
| 13 | try not to belabor the point too much, but I believe |
| 14 | your testimony was that checking on the litter |
| 15 | consists of checking on the condition of the bedding 11:35AM |
| 16 | inside the house? |
| 17 | A Right, and whether that affects performance. |
| 18 | Q Okay. So the primary concern is whether the |
| 19 | litter would be too wet, for example? |
| 20 | A Too wet or too much feces or issues like that. 11:36AM |
| 21 | Q And based on your understanding of the |
| 22 | industry, if the litter was too wet, the service |
| 23 | tech might make a recommendation as to what to do |
| 24 | about that? |
| 25 | A To do a cake-out. 11:36AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 72 |
|----|---------|--|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 2 | А | Cake-out or dry it out or completely remove it | |
| 3 | and rep | place it. | |
| 4 | Q | But that recommendation might not be to remove | |
| 5 | it or | replace it; is that correct? | 11:36AM |
| 6 | А | Could be just to dry it out. | |
| 7 | Q | There could be other things that could be | |
| 8 | done, | such as increase the ventilation? | |
| 9 | А | Right. | |
| 10 | Q | Turn up the brooders? | 11:36AM |
| 11 | А | Yes. | |
| 12 | Q | Remove the wet cake? | |
| 13 | А | Right. | |
| 14 | Q | And if a service tech did ask the grower to | |
| 15 | remove | the litter, do you have any information as to | 11:36AM |
| 16 | whether | r he would attempt to tell the grower what to | |
| 17 | do witl | n it? | |
| 18 | А | As far as I know, there's no attempt to tell | |
| 19 | the gro | ower what to do with it. | |
| 20 | Q | If there's not a specific issue such as wet | 11:36AM |
| 21 | litter | , who determines when to remove litter from a | |
| 22 | poultry | y house? | |
| 23 | А | I guess the grower has some latitude in | |
| 24 | cake-o | ut or when it's completely replaced, but there | |
| 25 | are di: | fferences from one kind of contract to | 11:37AM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | another, and I don't recall all of them, but I | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | recall in general. I don't know if the same applies | |
| 3 | to the IRW cases where the integrator actually | |
| 4 | provided the new litter, and a lot of them where | |
| 5 | it's up to the grower, so | 11:37AM |
| 6 | Q But generally speaking, the grower can decide | |
| 7 | whether to take his litter out or not? | |
| 8 | A Within the guidelines established by the | |
| 9 | integrator. | |
| 10 | Q Assuming a grower has removed the litter from | 11:38AM |
| 11 | his house, who decides whether he land applies that | |
| 12 | or puts it to some other use? | |
| 13 | A As far as I know, it's the grower. | |
| 14 | Q Who decides where he land applies it, if he | |
| 15 | decides to land apply it? | 11:38AM |
| 16 | A Grower. | |
| 17 | Q Who decides whether he sells it to someone? | |
| 18 | A Grower. | |
| 19 | Q Has it been your experience that growers | |
| 20 | generally want the litter? | 11:38AM |
| 21 | A Historically they wanted the litter, but in | |
| 22 | the areas of the United States where that have | |
| 23 | been identified as having or potentially having too | |
| 24 | much litter and the high P levels, then it kind of | |
| 25 | transitions from something that they want very | 11:38AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | valuable over to waste disposal but | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Q Well, that might be your opinion that it's | |
| 3 | waste disposal, but apart from concerns raised like | |
| 4 | litigation other than concerns raised in | |
| 5 | litigation such as this, is it your belief that | 11:39AM |
| 6 | growers would like to have the use of the litter? | |
| 7 | A Generally, yes. | |
| 8 | Q Is it your understanding that growers find | |
| 9 | value in litter other than just its phosphorus | |
| 10 | content? | 11:39AM |
| 11 | A Certainly the nitrogen. | |
| 12 | Q Are you aware of any soil conditioning | |
| 13 | properties that growers find in litter? | |
| 14 | A In general application of litter does improve | |
| 15 | many characteristics of the soil. That depends | 11:39AM |
| 16 | it's my understanding, and I'm outside my area of | |
| 17 | expertise, but if it's tilled in, it improves it a | |
| 18 | lot more than surface application, but there are the | |
| 19 | soil-improving potential properties of litter. | |
| 20 | Q When you and Mr. Elrod were discussing the | 11:40AM |
| 21 | amount of time that service technicians spend on | |
| 22 | farms, you made reference to some of the high tech | |
| 23 | controllers that are in poultry houses today and | |
| 24 | made reference to the notion that a service tech | |
| 25 | could perhaps monitor conditions remotely? | 11:40AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| \sim | _ |
|--------|---|
| | - |
| -, | |
| | |

| 1 | А | Uh-huh. | |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| 2 | Q | Are you aware of that actually happening in | |
| 3 | this v | watershed? | |
| 4 | А | No, I don't know the extent to which it goes | |
| 5 | on. | | 11:40AM |
| 6 | Q | Do you have any knowledge whether any of these | |
| 7 | compai | nies employ that technology at all? | |
| 8 | А | No specific knowledge as it relates to the | |
| 9 | IRW. | | |
| 10 | Q | Okay. On Page 4 at the very top of the page, | 11:40AM |
| 11 | end of | f Paragraph 9, Mr. Elrod asked you some | |
| 12 | quest: | ions about the command and control structure | |
| 13 | that s | specifies the grower's production process, and | |
| 14 | I bel: | ieve you said those were Mr. Molnar's words and | |
| 15 | not yo | ours? | 11:41AM |
| 16 | А | Correct. | |
| 17 | Q | And those are not words you used? | |
| 18 | А | I cannot recall using those words in this | |
| 19 | conte | xt. | |
| 20 | Q | Do you agree with that characterization? | 11:41AM |
| 21 | А | He's a rural sociologist, and the words have | |
| 22 | diffe | rent meaning to him. They're not, again, words | |
| 23 | I wou | ld select for this. | |
| 24 | Q | Why did you put it in your report if they're | |
| 25 | not wo | ords you would | 11:41AM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A Well, interpreting it in terms of rural |
|----|--|
| 2 | sociology terminology, then I agree with it to some |
| 3 | extent. |
| 4 | Q In Paragraph 12 you make a statement that a |
| 5 | person cannot independently raise commercial poultry 11:41AM |
| 6 | and have a ready cash market for them. Are you |
| 7 | taking the position that there are no cash markets |
| 8 | for independently raised poultry? |
| 9 | A There are cash markets for organic poultry, |
| 10 | cash markets for some other specialty types, but I 11:42AM |
| 11 | know of no market and certainly no USDA statistics |
| 12 | on a market for this type of commercial bird that |
| 13 | the defendants are growing. |
| 14 | Q If we could move to Page 6, Paragraph 17, and |
| 15 | this is in the context of your belief that poultry 11:42AM |
| 16 | integrators use PSA assertions as a pretext to |
| 17 | maintain complete contractual control over growers. |
| 18 | A Right. |
| 19 | Q You make the statement that many integrators |
| 20 | have different contracts for different complexes; is 11:42AM |
| 21 | that correct? |
| 22 | A Correct. |
| 23 | Q And Mr. Elrod asked you some questions, and I |
| 24 | won't belabor the point, but I think your testimony |
| 25 | was that you don't have any evidence in the Illinois 11:43AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
River watershed of contiguous or overlapping
 1
      complexes with different contracts?
 2
 3
             Correct.
             Putting that aside, you're aware, are you not,
 4
                                                                       11:43AM
 5
      that different poultry complexes might raise
      different size birds?
 6
 7
             Yes.
             For different end markets?
 8
             Yes.
 9
             So, for example, you could have one poultry
                                                                       11:43AM
10
11
      complex from a company in a geographic region that
12
      raises a small bird that goes to a fast food-type
      market; is that correct?
13
14
             Right.
             And another that raises a bird that may be two
                                                                      11:43AM
15
      to three pounds larger for a completely different
16
      end market; is that correct?
17
             Right.
18
             You would expect, would you not, that there
19
      would be some difference in base pay rate between
                                                                       11:43AM
20
      those two complexes?
21
             Yes.
22
23
             You would agree then with me that the fact
      that two growers are in close geographical proximity
24
25
      to each other is not the sole analysis in
                                                                       11:44AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | determining whether they should have exactly the | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | same contract or not? | |
| 3 | A Right. There are other considerations. | |
| 4 | Q Okay. In Paragraph 18 you quoted Gary Murphy, | |
| 5 | who represented Simmons Foods, in saying that | 11:44AM |
| 6 | Packers and Stockyards pretty well dictates that we | |
| 7 | have to treat all growers the same, and then you | |
| 8 | went on to question whether USDA or GIPSA has the | |
| 9 | authority to make such a dictate; is that correct? | |
| 10 | A That's correct. | 11:44AM |
| 11 | Q And is it a fair characterization of your | |
| 12 | argument here that based on the fact that some of | |
| 13 | the enforcement authority that exists under the | |
| 14 | Packers and Stockyards administration for livestock, | |
| 15 | cattle and pigs does not extend to live poultry | 11:44AM |
| 16 | dealers? | |
| 17 | A When they added poultry and live poultry | |
| 18 | dealers, it's my to Section 202, it's my | |
| 19 | understanding that apparently through an oversight, | |
| 20 | they did not give USDA authority to enforce that, | 11:45AM |
| 21 | and there have been bills introduced in Congress or | |
| 22 | farm bill attachments to change that, but I don't | |
| 23 | know from a legal standpoint. | |
| 24 | Q You're not of the opinion, however, that the | |
| 25 | only liability or exposure concern one of the | 11:45AM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| i | | | , , , | |
|----|---|---------------------------------|---------|--|
| | | | | |
| 1 | poultry companies could | ld have is enforcement actions | | |
| 2 | by the agency, and I ca | can ask a more direct question. | | |
| 3 | Can't plaintiffs still | sue us for violating the | | |
| 4 | Packers and Stockyards | s Act even if | | |
| 5 | A Yes. | | 11:45AM | |
| 6 | Q And hasn't that | happened numerous times? | | |
| 7 | A I don't know abo | oout numerous but it has | | |
| 8 | happened. | happened. | | |
| 9 | Q Were you involve | ved in a lawsuit by a group of | | |
| 10 | growers in around the | Fort Smith area of Arkansas | 11:46AM | |
| 11 | against OK Foods? | | | |
| 12 | A In Oklahoma, ye | es. | | |
| 13 | Q In Oklahoma, and | nd that case involved fairness | | |
| 14 | claims under the Packe | ers and Stockyards Act, did it | | |
| 15 | not? | | 11:46AM | |
| 16 | A Correct. | | | |
| 17 | Q And what was the | ne resolution of that case? | | |
| 18 | A It had a long h | nistory you just want the | | |
| 19 | final result? | | | |
| 20 | Q Yes. | | 11:46AM | |
| 21 | A I guess it's st | cill dynamic, but a couple of | | |
| 22 | weeks ago the judge le | et the jury verdict stand and | | |
| 23 | reduced damages from the jury award of 21 million | | | |
| 24 | back to my highest amon | ount of 14 million or so. | | |
| 25 | Q So notwithstand | ling the fact that the agency | 11:46AM | |
| | | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | lacks authority to dictate that you have to treat | | |
|----|--|---------|--|
| 2 | all growers the same, a poultry company was hit with | | |
| 3 | ultimately a 14 million dollar verdict for an | | |
| 4 | unfairness claim under this Packers and Stockyards | | |
| 5 | Act? | 11:47AM | |
| 6 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | | |
| 7 | A Under Section 202. I hesitate because of the | | |
| 8 | word unfairness. There's been a lot of legal stuff | | |
| 9 | going | | |
| 10 | Q Let me rephrase that question then. The | 11:47AM | |
| 11 | poultry company was liable under Section 202 of the | | |
| 12 | Act; is that correct? | | |
| 13 | A Correct. | | |
| 14 | Q The Packers and Stockyards case against | | |
| 15 | Pilgrim's Pride that you were involved in in Texas, | 11:47AM | |
| 16 | were you aware that the USDA took a position in that | | |
| 17 | case as to whether poultry companies could offer | | |
| 18 | different contracts to different growers? | | |
| 19 | A No. | | |
| 20 | Q Were you aware that he filed an amicus brief | 11:47AM | |
| 21 | in that case? | | |
| 22 | A I don't think so. | | |
| 23 | Q Okay. I want to show you what I'd like to | | |
| 24 | mark as Exhibit 3. | | |
| 25 | A I know the attorney had discussions with USDA | 11:48AM | |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
people, but I didn't know.
 1
 2
             If I could ask you to -- first, if you could
      identify this. This says it's a brief for amicus
 3
      curiae for the United States of America in support
 4
      of plaintiffs-appellees, Cody Wheeler, Don Davis and
 5
                                                                      11:48AM
 б
      Davey Williams, who were the plaintiffs in that case
 7
      that you previously identify; correct?
             That's correct.
 8
             I'd like to ask you to turn to Page --
 9
                MR. RIGGS: Counsel, before we do that, can
                                                                      11:48AM
10
11
      you show me a copy of this indicating it was in fact
12
      filed? The copy I've got is an unsigned draft.
                MR. BURNS: I don't --
13
                MR. RIGGS: It might or might not have been
14
      admitted or filed.
                                                                      11:48AM
15
                MR. BURNS: I don't actually have a
16
17
      file-stamped copy with me. I can certainly
      supplement with one later.
18
             Could I ask you to turn to Page 22, please.
19
             22, okay.
                                                                      11:49AM
20
             If you would look at the beginning of that
21
      page, beginning with the word similarly on the
22
23
      second line down.
             Uh-huh.
24
25
             Could I ask you to read that for me?
                                                                      11:49AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 A Similarly, the arbitrary favoring of a | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2 particular grower for other than legitimate business | | | |
| reasons or on terms not made available to other | | | |
| 4 growers might constitute undue or unreasonable | | | |
| 5 preference or advantage or undue or unreasonable | 11:49AM | | |
| 6 prejudice or disadvantage under 7 USC 192, which is | | | |
| 7 Section 202. | | | |
| Q So you would agree with me that the USDA has | | | |
| g at least staked out the position that under certain | | | |
| circumstances making a deal available to a grower | 11:50AM | | |
| that's not available to other growers might | | | |
| constitute a violation of Section 192? | | | |
| MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | | | |
| 14 A It says what it says. The issue is whether | | | |
| they have any authority behind that. | 11:50AM | | |
| Q Okay. In Paragraph 19 of your report you cite | | | |
| some language from Patrick Pilkington and then you | | | |
| assert that that language is contradicted by | | | |
| grow-out deals Tyson executives and insiders had for | | | |
| 20 many years, and I believe you look to some of | 11:50AM | | |
| 21 Tyson's Securities and Exchange Commission filings | Tyson's Securities and Exchange Commission filings | | |
| for that information? | | | |
| 23 A Yes. | | | |
| Q Have you actually reviewed any of those deals? | | | |
| 25 A I have reviewed some similar or some atypical | 11:50AM | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
contracts that Bo Pilgrim had with Pilgrim's Pride,
 1
      and I did download this SEC document and I looked at
 2
      it, but I can't recall precisely what is there.
 3
             Well, you're basing your understanding of
 4
      what's in there on the description of the deal in
 5
                                                                      11:51AM
      the SEC document?
 6
 7
             Correct.
             And you're assuming -- making certain
 8
      assumptions about that deal based on just reading a
 9
      description of it?
                                                                      11:51AM
10
11
             Yes.
             And you've not actually read that document?
12
             Read the contract?
13
             Read the grow-out contract between certain
14
      Tyson executives and insiders that you are referring
                                                                      11:51AM
15
      to in Paragraph 19.
16
             I do not recall seeing the contract per se.
17
             How do you know that it's the same sort of
18
      deal that Bo Pilgrim had if you haven't actually
19
20
      read it?
                                                                      11:51AM
             Just the wording and the way pay is
21
      calculated, as I recall, is different.
22
23
             Well, how is pay calculated under these
      contracts?
24
25
         On these?
                                                                      11:52AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 104 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | Q These insider deals we're talking about, how | |
| 2 | is pay calculated on them? | |
| 3 | A There are two kinds of insider deals I have | |
| 4 | encountered, taking this away from just Tyson, | |
| 5 | but | 11:52AM |
| 6 | Q Well, I'm really more interested in these | |
| 7 | Tyson deals that you've included in your report | |
| 8 | here. | |
| 9 | A I'd like to see the SEC document I cited to | |
| 10 | refresh my memory. | 11:52AM |
| 11 | Q I don't have that. | |
| 12 | MS. XIDIS: I can find it. It will take me | |
| 13 | a few minutes. You might want to move on to | |
| 14 | something else. It will take me a little time to | |
| 15 | find it. | 11:53AM |
| 16 | MR. RIGGS: Want to take a break and we can | |
| 17 | find it or do you want to | |
| 18 | MR. BURNS: How long do you think it will | |
| 19 | take to track that down? | |
| 20 | MS. XIDIS: I don't know. I've got to go | 11:53AM |
| 21 | through the production that was made to you all to | |
| 22 | look for it. | |
| 23 | MR. RIGGS: I'm sure we can get it for the | |
| 24 | witness. | |
| 25 | MR. BURNS: Why don't we just move ahead, | 11:53AM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 100 | |
|----|--|---------|--|
| | | | |
| 1 | and I do have some more questions about that that | | |
| 2 | we'd like to ask, but we can probably finish up with | | |
| 3 | everything else and come back. | | |
| 4 | Q Paragraph 21, you testified that Tyson or you | | |
| 5 | included in your report that Tyson has historically | 11:53AM | |
| 6 | had several types of contract for obtaining | | |
| 7 | slaughter cattle, even those coming from the same | | |
| 8 | area or same feed lot? | | |
| 9 | A Yes. | | |
| 10 | Q Did you review any actual Tyson cattle | 11:53AM | |
| 11 | contracts in order to make that statement? | | |
| 12 | A I've certainly reviewed the IBP contracts that | | |
| 13 | Tyson bought into you might say. | | |
| 14 | Q In the past you have? | | |
| 15 | A As part of the Pickett case. | 11:54AM | |
| 16 | Q Did you review that in connection with this | | |
| 17 | lawsuit specifically? | | |
| 18 | A No, I did not go back to those. | | |
| 19 | Q Okay. So you don't have any contracts you can | | |
| 20 | point to today that are different between two Tyson | 11:54AM | |
| 21 | cattle producers? | | |
| 22 | A Don't know if I have Tyson contracts per se. | | |
| 23 | Whatever I have in the cattle case, the details | | |
| 24 | would be covered by confidentiality. Some of it was | | |
| 25 | introduced in five-week trial, but I can't recall | 11:54AM | |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
what all was introduced. I wasn't even there for
 1
 2
      most of it.
 3
             So you don't -- the answer to my question is,
      you don't have any actual contracts to show us that
 4
      illustrate that there's differences?
                                                                       11:54AM
 5
             No.
 6
      Α
 7
             You reference on page -- the end of Page 8 and
      beginning of Page 9, which is Paragraph 29 of your
 8
      report, recent contracts that cover longer time
 9
      periods?
                                                                       11:55AM
10
11
             Yes.
             And you state that Tyson's 2005 contract
12
      covers three years; is that correct?
13
14
             Yes.
             Are you aware that Tyson also offers
                                                                       11:55AM
15
      seven-year contracts to its growers?
16
17
             I have heard that, but the contracts I had, it
      was a three-year.
18
             Okay. Have you reviewed any of the Tyson
19
      contracts or any of the other contracts involved
                                                                       11:55AM
20
      with any of these other companies to determine if
21
      they provide for out-time pay?
22
23
             I have not reviewed them looking for that.
             Do you know what out-time pay is; do you know
24
25
      what I mean when I say that?
                                                                       11:55AM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A | When there are not birds there other than the | | |
|----|--------|---|---------|--|
| 2 | normal | cycle. | | |
| 3 | Q | So the basic concept of that would be if a | | |
| 4 | chicke | en company leaves a grower's house empty for an | | |
| 5 | extend | ded period of time and that the company pays | 11:55AM | |
| 6 | that g | that grower some money? | | |
| 7 | А | I have not seen such a contract, but I was not | | |
| 8 | lookin | ng through there for it. I cannot recall ever | | |
| 9 | seeing | g one like that. | | |
| 10 | Q | You don't know whether they exist in this | 11:56AM | |
| 11 | waters | shed or not? | | |
| 12 | А | I do not. | | |
| 13 | Q | Okay. In Paragraph 31 you state, integrators | | |
| 14 | typica | ally mandate specifications for poultry houses | | |
| 15 | and ec | quipment and often require growers to make | 11:56AM | |
| 16 | invest | ments in upgrading equipment and facilities. | | |
| 17 | А | Uh-huh. | | |
| 18 | Q | Are you aware of a Tyson grower that's been | | |
| 19 | termin | nated for failing to make upgrades in equipment | | |
| 20 | and fa | acilities? | 11:56AM | |
| 21 | А | No. | | |
| 22 | Q | Do you know whether Tyson typically does that | | |
| 23 | on a v | voluntary basis? | | |
| 24 | А | I do not know the extent to which it's | | |
| 25 | volunt | cary encouraged or mandated. | 11:56AM | |
| | i | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 108 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | Q Do you know whether Tyson offers premium | |
| 2 | contracts that pay growers more when they make that | |
| 3 | sort of an investment? | |
| 4 | A I'm aware that they pay growers more. There's | |
| 5 | an issue of whether that's enough more, but that's | 11:57AM |
| 6 | not part of this litigation. | |
| 7 | Q Paragraph 33, you state that existing growers | |
| 8 | who wish to expand production by building additional | |
| 9 | houses do so only with the integrator's express | |
| 10 | permission. I assume there that you're not saying | 11:57AM |
| 11 | that if a poultry grower wanted to go out and build | |
| 12 | another house on his property, somehow the company | |
| 13 | would say you can't do that? | |
| 14 | A I think they have the authority because the | |
| 15 | contract lays out the number of houses and so forth. | 11:57AM |
| 16 | Q Tyson contracts lay out the number of houses? | |
| 17 | A I'd have to go back and look at those details. | |
| 18 | Q You don't know that to be true then, the Tyson | |
| 19 | contract specifies the number of houses? | |
| 20 | A No. | 11:57AM |
| 21 | Q Okay. Obviously if a grower wanted to expand, | |
| 22 | he would want to work with the company to make sure | |
| 23 | he would have enough birds to fill that extra house; | |
| 24 | right? | |
| 25 | A Oh, yeah. | 11:58AM |
| | 1 | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q | Do you think that's wrong? | |
|----|--------|--|---------|
| 2 | A | Not necessarily. | |
| 3 | Q | In Paragraph 34 you state that once waste is | |
| 4 | remove | ed from the poultry house, it no longer has a | |
| 5 | role i | in defendants' poultry production process. You | 11:58AM |
| 6 | acknow | vledge, do you not, that many poultry growers | |
| 7 | derive | e economic value from poultry litter? | |
| 8 | А | Poultry litter has a gross value to growers. | |
| 9 | I'm no | ot sure about the net value. | |
| 10 | Q | Many growers use it to aid their cattle | 11:58AM |
| 11 | operat | cions? | |
| 12 | А | May or may not aid it in terms of the | |
| 13 | econom | nics. | |
| 14 | Q | If that litter was no longer available to many | |
| 15 | grower | cs, it would affect the overall economic | 11:58AM |
| 16 | situat | tion on their farm? | |
| 17 | А | It could affect it conceptually positively or | |
| 18 | negati | vely. | |
| 19 | Q | In order for them to stay in business, if you | |
| 20 | took a | away the poultry litter, the chicken companies | 11:59AM |
| 21 | would | have to pay them more money, would they not? | |
| 22 | А | Since I have not carefully analyzed the | |
| 23 | on-far | rm economics of litter application with a high | |
| 24 | soil E | e test and other factors, I can't give a | |
| 25 | defini | tive answer to that question. It's not | 11:59AM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | something I was asked to do. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Q The defendants' poultry production process | |
| 3 | would you agree that anything that affects the | |
| 4 | amount of money that the defendants have to pay | |
| 5 | poultry producers in a positive way is important to | 11:59AM |
| 6 | the companies? | |
| 7 | A Certainly they look at all of the | |
| 8 | out-of-pocket costs and the revenue. | |
| 9 | Q Paragraph 48 on Page 16, you state that | |
| 10 | complete control over the grower's contract terms | 12:00PM |
| 11 | and disposition of litter and waste by defendants, | |
| 12 | Tyson, Cobb-Vantress, Peterson, Simmons, Cargill, | |
| 13 | George's manifested in the defendants' settlement of | |
| 14 | the City of Tulsa litigation over the ESW. Do you | |
| 15 | have any knowledge regarding the discussions that | 12:00PM |
| 16 | occurred between those companies and their growers | |
| 17 | about that settlement agreement? | |
| 18 | A I do not. | |
| 19 | Q Do you know if the companies had meetings with | |
| 20 | those growers? | 12:00PM |
| 21 | A Wouldn't be surprised, but I don't know that | |
| 22 | they did. | |
| 23 | Q Do you know if the companies asked the growers | |
| 24 | to voluntarily agree to that settlement agreement | |
| 25 | before the companies entered into it? | 12:01PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 111 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | A I do not. | |
| 2 | Q Page 26 of your report, Paragraph 62C, you | |
| 3 | make reference to a 1992 waste management symposia | |
| 4 | that included a talk by Ellis Brunton. | |
| 5 | A Yes. | 12:01PM |
| 6 | Q Did you attend that symposium? | |
| 7 | A I did not. | |
| 8 | Q What materials from that symposia have you | |
| 9 | reviewed? | |
| 10 | A Well, certainly his printed talk, which I cite | 12:01PM |
| 11 | here with the Bates number, and I've looked at the | |
| 12 | agenda for it, but I can't recall what all I've | |
| 13 | looked at, you know, going back sixteen years. | |
| 14 | Q There was an E-mail discussion in your | |
| 15 | produced materials between you and Miss Xidis | 12:02PM |
| 16 | regarding some transmission of one page of the | |
| 17 | document. Do you remember those E-mails at all? | |
| 18 | A Huh-uh. | |
| 19 | Q I guess I'll just ask the more direct | |
| 20 | question. When you looked at his talk, did you | 12:02PM |
| 21 | review the whole thing? | |
| 22 | A I have all of it, yes. | |
| 23 | Q Okay. | |
| 24 | A Or as far as I know, I have all of it. That | |
| 25 | missing page we resolved. | 12:02PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 112 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | Q Okay. I'll show you what I'd like to mark as | |
| 2 | Exhibit 4, which is the Ellis Brunton talk that you | |
| 3 | made reference to in Paragraph 62. | |
| 4 | A Yes. | |
| 5 | MR. RIGGS: Do you have a copy? | 12:03PM |
| 6 | MR. BURNS: Sorry. | |
| 7 | Q Have you ever had a conversation with Ellis | |
| 8 | Brunton? | |
| 9 | A As far as I know, I've never met him. | |
| 10 | Q You state that in your report that Mr. | 12:03PM |
| 11 | Brunton discussed corporate environmental commitment | |
| 12 | and discussed problems with poultry waste, including | |
| 13 | nutrients? | |
| 14 | A Yes. | |
| 15 | Q How do you think Mr. Brunton defined poultry | 12:03PM |
| 16 | waste? | |
| 17 | A I don't know without reading to see if he mad | е |
| 18 | specific reference to it. | |
| 19 | Q If I could direct you to Page 26 of this talk | , |
| 20 | which is Bates numbered NPWMS 00000051, the second | 12:03PM |
| 21 | paragraph down | |
| 22 | A Okay. | |
| 23 | Q can you read me the sentence starting with | |
| 24 | the same problem. | |
| 25 | A The same people you mean? | 12:04PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q Same people. I'm sorry. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | A The same people that started this industry | |
| 3 | were faced with the same basic problems we have | |
| 4 | today, which is what to do with one-third of the | |
| 5 | live weight of each bird that is considered | 12:04PM |
| 6 | inedible: Heads, feet, blood, feathers and viscera. | |
| 7 | What resulted was the inclusion of rendering | |
| 8 | operations, which may have since evolved into | |
| 9 | specialized protein and oil recycling operations. | |
| 10 | Q Okay. Would you agree with me then on Page 27 | 12:04PM |
| 11 | and Page 26, without going line by line, he also | |
| 12 | spends some time talking about DAF skimmings and | |
| 13 | sludge? | |
| 14 | A Yes. | |
| 15 | Q Which is a processing waste from the plant; | 12:05PM |
| 16 | correct? | |
| 17 | A Yes. | |
| 18 | Q Would you agree with me that the primary | |
| 19 | thrust of his speech was on processing waste? | |
| 20 | A Yes. | 12:05PM |
| 21 | Q Okay. Page 28 of the same speech, the last | |
| 22 | paragraph on that page | |
| 23 | A You want me to read it? | |
| 24 | Q Yeah. If you could start with the sentence | |
| 25 | actually, if you would start with the beginning of | 12:05PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | the paragraph, with another area. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | A Okay. Oh. Another area of future | |
| 3 | environmental investment that is critical to our | |
| 4 | industry's survival is that of applied research at | |
| 5 | the university and government agency levels. | 12:05PM |
| 6 | Information and knowledge gained from this research | |
| 7 | is vital to the formulation of the best management | |
| 8 | practices and areas of litter recycling, dead bird | |
| 9 | disposal, offal reclamation and processing plant | |
| 10 | pollution control. | 12:06PM |
| 11 | Q Do you find it significant that in discussing | |
| 12 | litter, he used the word recycling as contrasted | |
| 13 | with dead birds where he used the word disposal or | |
| 14 | processing plant waste where he used the words | |
| 15 | pollution control? | 12:06PM |
| 16 | A What's your question again? | |
| 17 | Q Do you find it significant that he used the | |
| 18 | word recycling with regard to poultry litter as | |
| 19 | opposed to using the words pollution control or | |
| 20 | disposal? | 12:06PM |
| 21 | A Possibly. | |
| 22 | Q Okay. I'd like to turn to the last page of | |
| 23 | the speech, which is I believe where you pulled the | |
| 24 | quote that you included in Paragraph 62C from. | |
| 25 | A Uh-huh. | 12:06PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 113 |
|----|-------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | Q | And you quoted Mr. Brunton as saying the total | |
| 2 | retur | rns on environmental investment are not yet | |
| 3 | posit | cive; is that correct? | |
| 4 | А | Correct. | |
| 5 | Q | That's not really a very complete statement of | 12:07PM |
| 6 | what | he said, is it? | |
| 7 | А | Let me go through and find that statement. | |
| 8 | Q | It's in the last paragraph. | |
| 9 | А | Okay. Well, it goes on from there. | |
| 10 | Q | It goes on, but there's more before it, too, | 12:07PM |
| 11 | is th | mere not, in that sentence? | |
| 12 | А | Yes. | |
| 13 | Q | Can you read the whole sentence beginning with | |
| 14 | in co | onclusion? | |
| 15 | А | In conclusion, the financial facts are that | 12:07PM |
| 16 | altho | ough the total returns on environmental | |
| 17 | inves | stment are not yet positive, major strides have | |
| 18 | been | and continue to be made in the poultry industry | |
| 19 | in de | eriving value from a variety of byproducts that | |
| 20 | were | once considered waste materials. The bottom | 12:07PM |
| 21 | line | is that profitable poultry operations must | |
| 22 | conti | nue to invest in progressive environmental | |
| 23 | pract | cices. | |
| 24 | Q | When you discuss that quote in your report, | |
| 25 | you i | ncluded a comment at the end of the last | 12:08PM |
| | i | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | sentence of Paragraph C that an apparent reference | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | only to the integrator's return is not full social | |
| 3 | and economic returns? | |
| 4 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 5 | Q Are you implying or inferring there that the | 12:08PM |
| 6 | poultry companies did not consider economic and | |
| 7 | social returns in deciding whether to make these | |
| 8 | kinds of investments? | |
| 9 | A They certainly look at their out-of-pocket | |
| 10 | expenses, and the environmental side is largely | 12:08PM |
| 11 | does not affect their profit and loss. | |
| 12 | Q If you were correct and that was the only | |
| 13 | concern the poultry companies or poultry integrators | |
| 14 | had there, why is it that Mr. Brunton recommended | |
| 15 | that the bottom line is that profitable poultry | 12:08PM |
| 16 | operations must continue to invest in progressive | |
| 17 | environmental practices? | |
| 18 | A He did say that, but then he said the | |
| 19 | universities need to do the research at the taxpayer | |
| 20 | expense. | 12:09PM |
| 21 | Q But he said the companies need to invest; | |
| 22 | correct? | |
| 23 | A Yes. | |
| 24 | Q Okay. | |
| 25 | MR. ELROD: That's what you do, isn't it? | 12:09PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
MR. BURNS: The only questions I have
 1
      remaining relate to that SEC document, so --
 2
                MS. XIDIS: Want to take a break for a
 3
      minute?
 4
                MR. RIGGS: Off the Record for a minute.
 5
                                                                      12:09PM
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the Record.
 6
      The time is 12:09 p.m.
 7
                  (Following a short recess at 12:09
 8
      p.m., proceedings continued on the Record at 12:11
 9
                                                                      12:11PM
10
      p.m.)
11
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the Record.
12
      The time is 12:11 p.m.
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
13
      BY MR. BASSETT:
14
             Dr. Taylor, my name is Woody Bassett. I don't
15
                                                                     12:12PM
      know that we've ever met before. I represent the
16
      George's defendants in this case, and since I drove
17
      all the way over here from Arkansas this morning,
18
      I'm determined to ask a few questions even though
19
20
      Mr. Elrod and Mr. Burns have essentially covered the
                                                                      12:12PM
      waterfront as to what I wanted to ask, and I'll skip
21
      around just a little bit and we'll get through this
22
23
      pretty quickly. Doctor, are you aware of a single
      grower who contracts with George's who's had his or
24
25
      her contract terminated by George's?
                                                                      12:12PM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 118 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1 | A No. I really haven't looked into those | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | details. | |
| 3 | Q Do you know of a single grower for George's in | |
| 4 | the Illinois River watershed or anywhere else for | |
| 5 | that matter who wanted birds and didn't get them | 12:13PM |
| 6 | from George's? | |
| 7 | A I do not. | |
| 8 | Q There was a little bit of time spent by Mr. | |
| 9 | Elrod talking about the exportation of litter | |
| 10 | outside of the Illinois River watershed. Are you | 12:13PM |
| 11 | aware that George's has done some exportation of | |
| 12 | litter? | |
| 13 | A Yes, as we discussed earlier. | |
| 14 | Q Right, and have you spent any real substantial | |
| 15 | amount of time looking into that? | 12:13PM |
| 16 | A I have not. | |
| 17 | Q All right. Do you know how much litter | |
| 18 | George's has transported or exported out of the | |
| 19 | Illinois River watershed in recent years? | |
| 20 | A There are numbers in one of the other expert | 12:13PM |
| 21 | reports, but I don't recall exactly how much. | |
| 22 | Q I guess the question, better question would | |
| 23 | be, have you looked into that yourself? | |
| 24 | A I have not. I just looked at the numbers for | |
| 25 | '03 through '06, and it has gone up, but I don't | 12:14PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 7 | | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | remember how much or what percent of George's | |
| 2 | litter. | |
| 3 | Q I take it then you wouldn't have any personal | |
| 4 | knowledge or any idea as to what George's experience | |
| 5 | has been, either pro or con, with that, with the | 12:14PM |
| 6 | exportation of litter out of the Illinois River | |
| 7 | watershed? | |
| 8 | A I do not have personal experience. | |
| 9 | Q Now, in Paragraph 6 on Page 2 of your report, | |
| 10 | you state that you have been retained by the State | 12:14PM |
| 11 | of Oklahoma to evaluate the relationship between | |
| 12 | poultry growers and defendant poultry companies. | |
| 13 | That's part of your statement. Is that true; is | |
| 14 | that one of the reasons you were retained in this | |
| 15 | case? | 12:14PM |
| 16 | A To evaluate the economic relationship between | |
| 17 | growers and defendant companies. | |
| 18 | Q Okay. You were hired, though, or retained to | |
| 19 | evaluate to some degree the relationship that exists | |
| 20 | between growers and these poultry defendant these | 12:15PM |
| 21 | defendant poultry companies; correct? | |
| 22 | A Correct. | |
| 23 | Q All right. Now, Mr. Elrod already I think did | |
| 24 | a pretty good job of I think covering this, but I do | |
| 25 | want to ask just a few questions along those lines. | 12:15PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Have you ever talked, sat down with a person, a | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | human being who grows chickens for George's? | |
| 3 | A I have not. | |
| 4 | Q I take it then you've never been on a farm of | |
| 5 | someone who grows chickens for George's? | 12:15PM |
| 6 | A I do not recall ever being on one for | |
| 7 | George's, certainly none in the Illinois River | |
| 8 | watershed. | |
| 9 | Q Have you ever been on a farm that where | |
| 10 | they grow chickens in the Illinois River watershed? | 12:15PM |
| 11 | A I have not. | |
| 12 | Q So I guess it's fair to say that you have | |
| 13 | never gone to a farm and had the opportunity to | |
| 14 | observe what the daily routine is of a person who | |
| 15 | grows chickens for either George's or any of these | 12:16PM |
| 16 | other companies? | |
| 17 | A From all indications, the integrators have the | |
| 18 | same business practices in the IRW that they have in | |
| 19 | other areas of the United States and I thought about | |
| 20 | contacting growers, talking to growers in the IRW, | 12:16PM |
| 21 | but in my I didn't think I would gain anything | |
| 22 | useful out of talking to the growers because | |
| 23 | generally speaking, nationally there has been | |
| 24 | periods of fear in the industry, whether justified | |
| 25 | or not, there's some fear and, you know, I don't | 12:17PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | know if growers are telling me I just have no way | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | of assessing whether growers I've never met before | |
| 3 | would be telling me the truth, exaggerating | |
| 4 | something on the side of the integrator or | |
| 5 | exaggerating something they wanted to, and because | 12:17PM |
| 6 | of that view, I elected not to go talk to a sample | |
| 7 | of growers in the watershed. | |
| 8 | Q Okay. So the bottom line is you have not | |
| 9 | talked to any growers in the watershed? | |
| 10 | A Correct. | 12:17PM |
| 11 | Q You haven't sat down and talked to them to | |
| 12 | determine or try to get a better idea how they live | |
| 13 | their life and how they make a living? | |
| 14 | A No. | |
| 15 | Q Are you familiar with a service called | 12:18PM |
| 16 | Economic Research Service that I think is connected | |
| 17 | to the USDA? | |
| 18 | A It's a branch of USDA. | |
| 19 | Q What is it? | |
| 20 | A Just that. | 12:18PM |
| 21 | Q Maybe you can help me understand it a little | |
| 22 | bit. What is the Economic Research Service at the | |
| 23 | USDA? | |
| 24 | A They do economic research on agricultural | |
| 25 | issues, provide a lot of economic statistics, do | 12:18PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 122 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | analyses of industry, subindustries and so forth. | |
| 2 | Q And do they put out a publication ever so | |
| 3 | often? | |
| 4 | A They have a lot of publications. | |
| 5 | Q Do you read those? | 12:18PM |
| 6 | A I rely on their website heavily for data, some | |
| 7 | of their publications, but it's not any regular | |
| 8 | series that I go look at or any one that I always | |
| 9 | read. | |
| 10 | Q I guess the better question would be, do you | 12:19PM |
| 11 | from time to time read the publications put out by | |
| 12 | the USDA Economic Research Services? | |
| 13 | A Some. | |
| 14 | Q Okay, and have you, at least in the past, | |
| 15 | based on the ones you've read, have you found the | 12:19PM |
| 16 | information contained therein to be useful? | |
| 17 | A Their economic statistics and their data are | |
| 18 | highly useful. The reports often don't have | |
| 19 | anything of you know, enlightening to an academic | |
| 20 | economist, but the data is extremely useful. | 12:19PM |
| 21 | Q Let me switch tracks for just a second, if I | |
| 22 | could. Are you familiar with what the IRS calls | |
| 23 | Schedule F? | |
| 24 | A Farm tax return. | |
| 25 | Q Yes. Schedule F I think, and you correct me | 12:20PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 123 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | if I'm wrong, I believe Schedule F is where a person | |
| 2 | would show their profits and losses on farm | |
| 3 | operations. | |
| 4 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 5 | Q So I'm not an accountant, I'm not a CPA, but I | 12:20PM |
| 6 | bet you can answer this question. Let me just throw | |
| 7 | out a little hypothetical. When a person decides to | |
| 8 | grow chickens, you talked earlier about how they've | |
| 9 | got to invest in a house and build a house? | |
| 10 | A Uh-huh. | 12:20PM |
| 11 | Q And put the necessary equipment in the house; | |
| 12 | right? | |
| 13 | A Right. | |
| 14 | Q If a person spends \$500,000 to build their | |
| 15 | house or houses | 12:20PM |
| 16 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 17 | Q and to acquire the necessary equipment, | |
| 18 | then is that person going to be permitted under | |
| 19 | Schedule F to depreciate over a period of time? | |
| 20 | A Yes. | 12:20PM |
| 21 | Q Okay, and do you know how long of a period of | |
| 22 | time a farmer is allowed to depreciate the cost of | |
| 23 | what it took to build the houses and to acquire the | |
| 24 | equipment? | |
| 25 | A Well, first let me say, there are different | 12:21PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | kinds of depreciation. There's economic | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | depreciation that economists use in their | |
| 3 | accounting, and there's tax depreciation. What | |
| 4 | you're referring to is tax depreciation, and I think | |
| 5 | on a house that's 15 or 25 years, I don't recall 12:21F | M |
| 6 | exactly, but that's not the kind of depreciation I | |
| 7 | use in the economic analyses that I have done. | |
| 8 | Q Yeah, but I'm talking about tax depreciation | |
| 9 | now. If the farmer spent \$500,000 to build his | |
| 10 | houses and put in the necessary equipment, that 12:21F | M |
| 11 | farmer is then entitled over a certain period of | |
| 12 | years to depreciate on his or her income tax return | |
| 13 | each year, are they not? | |
| 14 | A Correct. | |
| 15 | Q And if they had a \$500,000 investment and they 12:22F | M |
| 16 | wanted to depreciate over a ten-year period, they | |
| 17 | could depreciate or show a tax deduction of \$50,000 | |
| 18 | per year, could they not? | |
| 19 | A Correct. | |
| 20 | Q Are you testifying or serving right now 12:22F | M |
| 21 | strike that. Are you serving right now as an expert | |
| 22 | witness or a consulting expert in any other cases | |
| 23 | besides this one at the present time? | |
| 24 | A I do not know the current status of the east | |
| 25 | Texas Pilgrim Pride-Tyson case. I have not talked 12:22F | M |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 125 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | to the attorney in months. The last word I had is | |
| 2 | that the judge would not certify the class, and it | |
| 3 | was under appeal. Kelly Tidwell, after not hearing | |
| 4 | from him for months, called last week and left a | |
| 5 | voicemail message that he wanted to update me. I | 12:23PM |
| 6 | called back, and they said he's tied up and would | |
| 7 | get back to me in ten minutes, and I haven't heard | |
| 8 | anything. That one could be dead or still active, | |
| 9 | but that's the only possibility. | |
| 10 | The Bean versus OK Foods, I assume that my | 12:23PM |
| 11 | role is over. The plaintiffs had until Sunday, I | |
| 12 | guess, to accept the reduced damage award or go for | |
| 13 | retrial over damages, and I don't know what they | |
| 14 | did. | |
| 15 | Q Any others? | 12:24PM |
| 16 | A No. That's it. I've said no to a lot. | |
| 17 | Q Rather than cover ground that's already been | |
| 18 | covered, Dr. Taylor, I'm going to pass you to | |
| 19 | another lawyer. I think if I keep going, I'm just | |
| 20 | going to repeat ground that's already been touched | 12:24PM |
| 21 | on. | |
| 22 | MR. RIGGS: Brian, if you want to get back, | |
| 23 | we have the document that Dr. Taylor wanted a chance | |
| 24 | to look at. | |
| 25 | CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | BY MR. BURNS: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Dr. Taylor, if you don't mind, would you |
| 3 | identify that document and make it a part of the |
| 4 | Record. |
| 5 | A It's excerpts from the Tyson SEC document DEF 12:24PM |
| 6 | 14A filed 12-31-2003. |
| 7 | Q Can we mark that as Exhibit 5? Would you like |
| 8 | a minute to look over that document? |
| 9 | A Please. Okay. |
| 10 | Q From your review of that document, can you 12:25PM |
| 11 | arrive at the terms and conditions of those |
| 12 | agreements that you referred to in Paragraph 19 when |
| 13 | you referenced grow-out deals that Tyson executives |
| 14 | and insiders had for many years? |
| 15 | A Okay. The part that I had highlighted in bold 12:25PM |
| 16 | that's on the second page is certain persons, |
| 17 | including some executive officers and directors, are |
| 18 | engaged in poultry grow-out operations whereby these |
| 19 | persons purchased from the company baby chicks, |
| 20 | feed, veterinarian and technical services, supplies 12:26PM |
| 21 | and other related items necessary to grow these |
| 22 | livestock to market age, at which time they're sold |
| 23 | either to the company or to unrelated parties. My |
| 24 | point was, that's not the kind of contract offered |
| 25 | to the growers, the so-called contract growers. 12:26PM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q Is that the same type of contract that Bo |
|----|---|
| 2 | Pilgrim has with Pilgrim's Pride? |
| 3 | A He has this kind of arrangement, and then he |
| 4 | had another arrangement where he was he bought |
| 5 | the chicks and feed and so forth for houses that he 12:26PM |
| 6 | owned, but then he got a Georgia dock price less a |
| 7 | processing fee, and often that amounted to |
| 8 | considerably more than what the other contract |
| 9 | growers were getting per pound. |
| 10 | Q So your understanding is that with regard to 12:27PM |
| 11 | the latter of those two, Bo Pilgrim's arrangements |
| 12 | that you discussed, those chickens were actually |
| 13 | grown out at houses he owned? |
| 14 | A Bo had from SEC documents, okay, I know |
| 15 | that Bo had three kind of contracts. He had the one 12:27PM |
| 16 | I just mentioned. He had another set of farms that |
| 17 | had contracts that were essentially the same as what |
| 18 | the contract growers had, and then he had this |
| 19 | arrangement where he bought chicks that went out to |
| 20 | unspecified contract growers, and then they were 12:27PM |
| 21 | grown out and came back and then he sold them and |
| 22 | got income from it. So Bo Pilgrim actually had |
| 23 | three kinds of arrangements. Those have changed a |
| 24 | little bit over time but |
| 25 | Q Do you have any information or reason to think 12:27PM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 120 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | that Tyson has any of those type arrangements other | |
| 2 | than what you've identified from that SEC document? | |
| 3 | A This is all I've identified so far. | |
| 4 | Q And do you know which Tyson executives or | |
| 5 | insiders had those arrangements? | 12:28PM |
| 6 | A I just know what's stated right here. | |
| 7 | Q And not to belabor the point, but you haven't | |
| 8 | seen the actual agreement? | |
| 9 | A I have not seen the actual contract. | |
| 10 | Q And you don't have | 12:28PM |
| 11 | A Bo Pilgrim's contract was attached to the SEC | |
| 12 | document, but I do not have a Tyson executive or | |
| 13 | insider's contract that I'm aware of. | |
| 14 | Q You don't have any information as to the rate | |
| 15 | of return that those individuals received from those | 12:28PM |
| 16 | contracts? | |
| 17 | A I do not. | |
| 18 | Q Okay. You don't know if they made money or | |
| 19 | broke even or lost money on those contracts? | |
| 20 | A On the poultry houses themselves as opposed | 12:28PM |
| 21 | Q Just these agreements that you've identified | |
| 22 | where Tyson executives or insiders purchased chicks, | |
| 23 | feed and medication and then resold those to the | |
| 24 | company, you don't have any information as to | |
| 25 | whether they made money, lost money or broke even on | 12:29PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | those? | | |
|----|--------------|---|---------|
| 2 | A I dor | n't think I do. | |
| 3 | Q Okay | . If I were to tell you that those | |
| 4 | agreements a | actually arose as a way for certain | |
| 5 | individuals | to loan money to Tyson on a low interest | 12:29PM |
| 6 | or no intere | est basis, would you have any reason to | |
| 7 | dispute that | t? | |
| 8 | A I've | seen this well, no, I don't have a | |
| 9 | basis for d | isputing it in Tyson's case. | |
| 10 | Q Okay | . Have you ever met a grower that wanted | 12:29PM |
| 11 | to loan mone | ey to his integrator? | |
| 12 | A No, a | and I don't know I wouldn't expect to | |
| 13 | be privy to | that kind of information. | |
| 14 | Q So yo | ou don't have any knowledge or reason to | |
| 15 | believe that | t any Tyson growers would be interested | 12:29PM |
| 16 | in these typ | pes of arrangements or have the financial | |
| 17 | wherewithal | to participate in them? | |
| 18 | A I hav | ve not analyzed that. | |
| 19 | Q Okay | | |
| 20 | MI | R. BURNS: I have no further questions. | 12:30PM |
| 21 | | DIRECT EXAMINATION | |
| 22 | BY MR. HIXON | N: | |
| 23 | Q Dr. 5 | Taylor, my name is Philip Hixon. I | |
| 24 | represent Pe | eterson Farms. | |
| 25 | A Yes. | | 12:30PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 130 |
|----|---------|--|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | Q | I think we met during your last deposition. | |
| 2 | A | Yes. | |
| 3 | Q | And I'll warn you I'll be skipping around due | |
| 4 | to the | combination of low blood sugar and prior | |
| 5 | questi | ons. | 12:31PM |
| 6 | A | Okay. | |
| 7 | Q | Your prior deposition you gave testimony | |
| 8 | regard | ing your affidavit submitted in support of the | |
| 9 | motion | for preliminary injunction filed by the | |
| 10 | State; | is that correct? | 12:31PM |
| 11 | А | Correct. | |
| 12 | Q | Okay, and that affidavit, as I recall, | |
| 13 | contai | ned opinions regarding the relationship | |
| 14 | between | n integrators and growers? | |
| 15 | А | Yes. | 12:31PM |
| 16 | Q | And transportation issues related to | |
| 17 | transp | orting litter outside the Illinois River | |
| 18 | waters | hed; is that correct? | |
| 19 | A | Mostly it discussed the structure of the | |
| 20 | indust | ry, but there was the partial analysis I did | 12:31PM |
| 21 | based (| on one of the Arkansas studies of just hauling | |
| 22 | litter | out, one paragraph I think. | |
| 23 | Q | Okay. With regard to the first part of that | |
| 24 | questi | on regarding the relationship between | |
| 25 | integra | ators and growers | 12:31PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A Uh-huh. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Q your opinion in your affidavit and your | |
| 3 | opinion in your May 15th report, how have those | |
| 4 | opinions changed, if any? | |
| 5 | A I don't think my opinions have changed. It's | 12:32PM |
| 6 | just in the May document I expanded on some of that | |
| 7 | and referred to contracts and research and so forth. | |
| 8 | Q Okay, and what specifically did you expand | |
| 9 | from the prior opinion to this May 15th opinion? | |
| 10 | A Well, there are really three main sections. | 12:32PM |
| 11 | In the May report, one section deals with kind of a | |
| 12 | listing of research and outreach related to the | |
| 13 | poultry litter and waste issue. One section deals | |
| 14 | with reference to the economic studies that have | |
| 15 | been done. One section, I present all these maps | 12:32PM |
| 16 | that were available, and a section reviewing in much | |
| 17 | more detail the contracts the growers had with the | |
| 18 | different defendant companies and then a much more | |
| 19 | detailed analysis of hauling litter out. | |
| 20 | Q Okay. With regard to the contracts, what did | 12:33PM |
| 21 | you look at specifically; what informed your opinion | |
| 22 | in the May 15th report as opposed to the prior | |
| 23 | report? | |
| 24 | A Well, as I mentioned, all of those contracts, | |
| 25 | you know, for my affidavit and even for the hearing, | 12:33PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | I had just scanned those, and there was nothing | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | fundamentally different from other contracts I had | |
| 3 | seen. So I went back and took a more careful look | |
| 4 | at those, addressing issues you raised with the | |
| 5 | with one of the Peterson contracts and also | 12:34PM |
| 6 | addressing kind of the evolution of those contracts | |
| 7 | and how waste management how or when waste | |
| 8 | management appeared. | |
| 9 | Q Okay. What were the issues with the Peterson | |
| 10 | contract that you referred to? | 12:34PM |
| 11 | A That the growers owned the litter. | |
| 12 | Q The Peterson contracts state that the grower | |
| 13 | owns the litter? | |
| 14 | A Let me go back and make sure. | |
| 15 | Q I believe that's correct. | 12:34PM |
| 16 | A Too many contracts for me to remember. All | |
| 17 | poultry waste produced by the birds covered by this | |
| 18 | contract shall be the exclusive property of the | |
| 19 | contract farmer, and it goes on from there. | |
| 20 | Q Okay. So the Peterson contract says the | 12:34PM |
| 21 | grower owns the poultry litter? | |
| 22 | A It is the only contract I've seen that states | |
| 23 | the defendant that the waste is the exclusive | |
| 24 | property of the grower. | |
| 25 | Q Okay, and it's my understanding from your | 12:35PM |
| | | · · |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| _ | | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | prior testimony this morning that you believe that | |
| 2 | these contract provisions that you've cited manifest | |
| 3 | the integrators' attempt to shift risk to the | |
| 4 | grower; is that correct? | |
| 5 | A Yes. | 12:35PM |
| 6 | Q Explain to me how that risk is being shifted | |
| 7 | in the context of this Peterson contract where the | |
| 8 | Peterson grower owns the litter. | |
| 9 | A Well, in the I don't think I have wait. | |
| 10 | '79 and '86 contracts make no mention of | 12:35PM |
| 11 | responsibility for disposal or ownership, and then | |
| 12 | the '04 contract comes in and says it's the | |
| 13 | exclusive property of the contract farmer. Then it | |
| 14 | goes on to state how they can use their exclusive | |
| 15 | property, which | 12:36PM |
| 16 | Q How does it state they can use their property? | |
| 17 | A It says the grower shall be responsible for | |
| 18 | and receive all of the economic benefits from the | |
| 19 | use and disposal of said litter. Doesn't mention | |
| 20 | cost or net benefit, and it goes on to specify | 12:36PM |
| 21 | I'm reading my own. Goes on to specify exactly how | |
| 22 | the grower is to dispose of litter and waste he or | |
| 23 | she presumably owns and, as I recall, there's a list | |
| 24 | of what they're supposed to do with their exclusive | |
| 25 | property. | 12:36PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 134 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | Q | Okay, and you're referring to the BMPs that | |
| 2 | are co | ontained within the contract? | |
| 3 | А | Right. | |
| 4 | Q | So those were BMPs. Do you know whether the | |
| 5 | Oklaho | oma poultry laws and regulations contain any | 12:37PM |
| 6 | BMPs? | | |
| 7 | А | I think they do, yes. | |
| 8 | Q | And would those BMPs contain in Oklahoma and | |
| 9 | Arkans | sas laws determine how a grower could use its | |
| 10 | litte | c? | 12:37PM |
| 11 | А | It's just strange to me, as an economist, that | |
| 12 | the co | ontract says it's the grower's exclusive | |
| 13 | prope | cty but then you go on to tell them what they | |
| 14 | can do | with it or can't do. | |
| 15 | Q | That wasn't my question. I'm saying, wouldn't | 12:37PM |
| 16 | the li | itter laws in Oklahoma and Arkansas, which | |
| 17 | you've | e stated contain BMPs determine how that grower | |
| 18 | can us | se his litter? | |
| 19 | А | Yes. | |
| 20 | Q | Okay, and that's going to be independent of | 12:37PM |
| 21 | anythi | ing that's contained in the contract; is that | |
| 22 | correc | ct? | |
| 23 | А | As I understand it. | |
| 24 | Q | Okay, and I believe you previously testified, | |
| 25 | I beli | leve it was at the preliminary injunction | 12:38PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | hearing, that those litter laws in Oklahoma and | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Arkansas apply to the growers and govern grower | |
| 3 | contracts or conduct; is that correct? | |
| 4 | A Well, I don't know from a legal standpoint if | |
| 5 | they apply to the application of poultry waste to | 12:38PM |
| 6 | land. | |
| 7 | Q That's not what I'm asking. I'm asking you | |
| 8 | whether the Oklahoma and Arkansas litter laws and | |
| 9 | regulations apply to poultry growers. | |
| 10 | A As far as I know, it applies to whoever | 12:38PM |
| 11 | applies the litter, which is usually the grower. | |
| 12 | Q Okay. Let's talk about that. Who applies | |
| 13 | litter? | |
| 14 | A Well, often the grower does, but there are | |
| 15 | commercial litter application operators, and I | 12:39PM |
| 16 | mentioned a couple of them, Traylor and Langley, | |
| 17 | that were deposed. | |
| 18 | Q And I believe you previously testified, and | |
| 19 | Mr. Burns asked you the question about who decided | |
| 20 | what happens to the litter. | 12:39PM |
| 21 | A And as far as I know, it's up to the grower. | |
| 22 | Q It's up to the grower? | |
| 23 | A Uh-huh, once it's outside or a safe distance | |
| 24 | from the grow-out operation, safe from health for | |
| 25 | bird | 12:39PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 136 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | Q | For biosecurity issues? | |
| 2 | A | Yeah, all of those bio. | |
| 3 | Q | So whether litter is applied on the grower's | |
| 4 | proper | ty is determined by the grower? | |
| 5 | A | Yes. | 12:39PM |
| 6 | Q | In conjunction with any applicable Oklahoma | |
| 7 | and Ar | kansas law? | |
| 8 | А | Correct. | |
| 9 | Q | Okay, and whether the grower sells his litter | |
| 10 | or tra | des his litter to someone else is determined | 12:39PM |
| 11 | by the | grower? | |
| 12 | А | Certainly under the Peterson contract and | |
| 13 | histor | ically under the other contracts. | |
| 14 | Q | Okay. So the grower makes those decisions as | |
| 15 | well? | | 12:40PM |
| 16 | А | Yes. | |
| 17 | Q | And those growers' decisions are consistent | |
| 18 | with o | wnership of the litter; would you agree with | |
| 19 | that? | | |
| 20 | A | I don't know from a legal standpoint. You | 12:40PM |
| 21 | know, | to an economist, it appears that way but | |
| 22 | Q | Do you have any reason to believe otherwise? | |
| 23 | A | No. | |
| 24 | Q | Okay. You've testified that you haven't | |
| 25 | talked | to any grower of any of the integrators | 12:40PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A | Uh-huh. | |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| 2 | Q | in forming your opinions in this case. Did | |
| 3 | you re | eview the depositions of any growers in | |
| 4 | prepar | ration of these opinions? | |
| 5 | А | Yes, I did. | 12:40PM |
| 6 | Q | Do you recall who those growers were? | |
| 7 | А | I don't recall the names. The transcripts | |
| 8 | were i | included in the documents that we passed on. | |
| 9 | Q | Okay. The ones did you review any for your | |
| 10 | initia | al affidavit that was filed back in November? | 12:41PM |
| 11 | А | I don't think so. | |
| 12 | Q | So the only grower depositions that you | |
| 13 | review | wed were the ones submitted in the considered | |
| 14 | materi | als that were produced with your May 15th | |
| 15 | report | :? | 12:41PM |
| 16 | А | Correct, as far as I can remember. | |
| 17 | Q | Do you know whether, among those materials, | |
| 18 | were a | any or deposition transcripts from a Peterson | |
| 19 | grower | ?? | |
| 20 | А | No, I do not recall which integrators were | 12:41PM |
| 21 | involv | red. | |
| 22 | Q | Do you know whether the deposition transcript | |
| 23 | of Al | Saunders was included in those materials? | |
| 24 | А | That name doesn't ring a bell but | |
| 25 | Q | Have you reviewed that deposition transcript? | 12:41PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 130 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | А | As I recall, I reviewed all of those I was | |
| 2 | provid | ded, which are the ones that I provided to you. | |
| 3 | Q | Okay, and you don't know whether that included | |
| 4 | Al Saı | unders? | |
| 5 | А | No, not from memory, I can't tell you that. | 12:42PM |
| 6 | Q | So you don't know what Mr. Saunders' position | |
| 7 | was re | egarding ownership of litter? | |
| 8 | А | No. | |
| 9 | Q | Okay. Regarding the grower transcripts that | |
| 10 | you ha | ave reviewed | 12:42PM |
| 11 | А | Uh-huh. | |
| 12 | Q | do you recall the opinions or their | |
| 13 | positi | ions with regard to ownership of the litter? | |
| 14 | А | No. | |
| 15 | Q | You don't recall one way or the other? | 12:42PM |
| 16 | А | Huh-uh. | |
| 17 | Q | You don't recall whether that topic was | |
| 18 | includ | ded or discussed in the deposition? | |
| 19 | А | I did not go through those looking for that | |
| 20 | specif | fic issue. | 12:42PM |
| 21 | Q | Okay. You previously testified that you | |
| 22 | hadn't | t contacted any growers in the Illinois River | |
| 23 | waters | shed because of various concerns? | |
| 24 | А | Uh-huh. | |
| 25 | Q | Did you provide the State's attorneys with any | 12:43PM |
| | l | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 139 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | questions to be used in grower depositions they | |
| 2 | could have asked on your behalf? | |
| 3 | A I don't recall doing that. I don't recall | |
| 4 | being asked. Didn't know I had the opportunity, so, | |
| 5 | no. | 12:43PM |
| 6 | Q You did not? | |
| 7 | A (Witness shakes head from side to side). | |
| 8 | Q If you would turn to Page 3, Paragraph 8 of | |
| 9 | your May 15th opinion. | |
| 10 | A Okay. | 12:43PM |
| 11 | Q You set forth a number of things that the | |
| 12 | integrators generally own or control. | |
| 13 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 14 | Q Okay. Among that list, litter is noticeably | |
| 15 | absent. Do you agree with that? | 12:44PM |
| 16 | A Earlier I mentioned that I have seen some | |
| 17 | contracts where the integrator does own and provide | |
| 18 | the litter, but the typical contract in the IRW it's | |
| 19 | the grower. | |
| 20 | Q Okay. | 12:44PM |
| 21 | A The new litter is what I'm | |
| 22 | Q Okay. Let's refer to new litter as bedding. | |
| 23 | A Okay. Bedding, okay. | |
| 24 | Q Let's talk about, under the Peterson Farms | |
| 25 | contract who provides the bedding? | 12:44PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 110 |
|----|--------|--|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | А | I don't recall. | |
| 2 | Q | You don't know? | |
| 3 | A | Huh-uh. | |
| 4 | Q | Okay. When I refer to litter in reference to | |
| 5 | this P | earagraph 8, I'm referring to poultry litter | 12:44PM |
| 6 | that's | the subject of this lawsuit. | |
| 7 | А | Okay. | |
| 8 | Q | And this sentence, this first sentence of | |
| 9 | Paragr | aph 8, your opinion does not contain a | |
| 10 | repres | entation that the integrators either own or | 12:44PM |
| 11 | contro | ol the litter; is that correct? | |
| 12 | | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 13 | Q | That's not part of this opinion; is that | |
| 14 | correc | t? | |
| 15 | А | That's a legal issue, and I don't claim to | 12:45PM |
| 16 | have a | ny expertise in that area. | |
| 17 | Q | Well, I disagree. It's not a legal issue. | |
| 18 | You've | e got a list of things here that the | |
| 19 | integr | ators generally own or control. | |
| 20 | А | Right. | 12:45PM |
| 21 | Q | And on that list there's no mention of poultry | |
| 22 | litter | ; is that correct? | |
| 23 | А | That is correct. | |
| 24 | Q | Okay. The second sentence of this Paragraph | |
| 25 | 8, you | have various things that the integrators | 12:45PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | aa. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1 | decide. | |
| 2 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 3 | Q And among that list of things, there's not any | |
| 4 | mention of what to do with litter; is that correct? | |
| 5 | A That's correct. | 12:45PM |
| 6 | Q Okay. All of these so the absent of those | |
| 7 | opinions is certainly consistent with Petersons | |
| 8 | contract that the growers own the litter. Would you | |
| 9 | agree with that concept? | |
| 10 | A Yes. | 12:45PM |
| 11 | Q Okay. This Footnote 1 that's on Page 3, still | |
| 12 | talking about these control issues, towards the end | |
| 13 | of that first sentence, it states the greatest | |
| 14 | degree of control that a firm can gain over another | |
| 15 | stage of production with regard to this vertical | 12:46PM |
| 16 | integration discussion. It's a degree of control | |
| 17 | over production; is that correct? | |
| 18 | A Generally speaking. | |
| 19 | Q Okay. So it's your opinion that the | |
| 20 | integrator has control over production? | 12:46PM |
| 21 | A Yes. | |
| 22 | Q I believe it's been your opinion that litter | |
| 23 | falls outside the use of poultry litter as a | |
| 24 | fertilizer, falls outside poultry production? | |
| 25 | A It is not a part of the poultry production | 12:46PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 112 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | process. | |
| 2 | Q Okay. So if we take that opinion, litter is | |
| 3 | outside poultry production, we take its absence in | |
| 4 | the lists that are contained in Paragraph 8 and we | |
| 5 | compare that with the provision in the Peterson | 12:47PM |
| 6 | contract that the growers own poultry litter, that's | |
| 7 | all consistent; would you agree with that? | |
| 8 | A Yes. | |
| 9 | Q Let's talk about the second part of your | |
| 10 | opinion, the litter transport issues for a minute. | 12:47PM |
| 11 | Your prior affidavit you had some calculations, as I | |
| 12 | recall, transporting litter 100 miles outside the | |
| 13 | watershed. | |
| 14 | A That was a calculation pulled from a | |
| 15 | University of Arkansas report. | 12:48PM |
| 16 | Q Okay. Is the opinion that was in that | |
| 17 | affidavit, is that still your opinion today; are you | |
| 18 | relying on that opinion; is that some opinion that | |
| 19 | we're going to see at trial? | |
| 20 | A That has been replaced by this much more | 12:48PM |
| 21 | detailed than Ellis' based on the Carreira study and | |
| 22 | going each year and not just that one little | |
| 23 | snapshot in time. So I do not plan to rely on what | |
| 24 | was the little snippet that was in the affidavit. | |
| 25 | Q Okay. So correct me if I'm wrong, but you're | 12:48PM |
| | 1 | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 115 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | no longer the opinion that was in your affidavit | |
| 2 | has been replaced with the opinion that's in your | |
| 3 | May 15th report? | |
| 4 | A That one little snippet on transportation cost | |
| 5 | has been replaced by a much more detailed and | 12:48PM |
| 6 | up-to-date analysis. | |
| 7 | Q Okay. It's been expanded? | |
| 8 | A Greatly, yes. | |
| 9 | Q Okay. Is the purpose of the calculation that | |
| 10 | you made in your prior affidavit, is the purpose of | 12:49PM |
| 11 | that calculation the same purpose of the calculation | |
| 12 | contained in your May 15th report; are you measuring | |
| 13 | the same thing, in other words? | |
| 14 | A All I looked at in the affidavit was just a | |
| 15 | perspective on the cost of hauling the litter out. | 12:49PM |
| 16 | That's it. There was nothing there about unjust | |
| 17 | enrichment or in current terms the possibility of | |
| 18 | making money by selling it outside the watershed. | |
| 19 | Q Okay. So what's the difference explain to | |
| 20 | me the differences between your prior opinion and | 12:49PM |
| 21 | your current opinion, how that progressed. | |
| 22 | A My | |
| 23 | Q What was considered in the new opinion that | |
| 24 | wasn't considered in your prior opinion? | |
| 25 | A The detailed cost breakdown, the hauling it | 12:50PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | off, the hauling of litter to delta cropland and | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | using it there and how much would be applied and | |
| 3 | then supplementing that with commercial fertilizer. | |
| 4 | Then I've done it by year using annual fertilizer | |
| 5 | prices while the affidavit had just a one-year | 12:50PM |
| 6 | snapshot for '03 or something like that, and then | |
| 7 | I've calculated the cost avoided by not transporting | |
| 8 | poultry waste out of the IRW for different amounts | |
| 9 | and by year. | |
| 10 | Q Okay. In your prior deposition we had a | 12:50PM |
| 11 | discussion about proper economic accounting. Do you | |
| 12 | recall that discussion? | |
| 13 | A Yes, I do. | |
| 14 | Q Can you just refresh our memories as to what | |
| 15 | proper economic accounting is? | 12:51PM |
| 16 | A Well, to do a full-blown economic analysis of | |
| 17 | this issue, one part of it would be what I've done | |
| 18 | here, but another part would be determining how much | |
| 19 | litter should be transported out, and that's | |
| 20 | something I was not asked to do and so did not do | 12:51PM |
| 21 | it, and I've not been asked to look at the external | |
| 22 | cost associated with litter in the IRW. | |
| 23 | Q Okay. What would an example of external cost | |
| 24 | be? | |
| 25 | A Pollution cost or adverse health consequences. | 12:51PM |
| | 1 | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 143 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | Q | Okay. | |
| 2 | A | External to the firm. | |
| 3 | Q | External to the firm? | |
| 4 | А | That's the usage in economics. | |
| 5 | Q | Okay. Could you define the firm for us? | 12:52PM |
| 6 | A | In this case it would be a defendant company. | |
| 7 | Q | Okay. Your prior deposition we discussed what | |
| 8 | would | go into a proper economic accounting. I | |
| 9 | belie | ve that's on Page 212 of your prior deposition, | |
| 10 | and yo | ou identified among those factors external | 12:52PM |
| 11 | costs | of too much litter and waste being applied in | |
| 12 | the I | llinois River watershed. That would be part of | |
| 13 | a proj | per economic accounting? | |
| 14 | A | Right. | |
| 15 | Q | Did you do that as part of your May 15th | 12:52PM |
| 16 | repor | t? | |
| 17 | A | I was not asked to and I did not. | |
| 18 | Q | Okay. Not asked. Okay. Part of the next | |
| 19 | thing | that you identify as being part of proper | |
| 20 | econor | mic accounting would be looking at alternative | 12:52PM |
| 21 | uses (| outside the watershed for litter, such as | |
| 22 | burniı | ng. That's on pages or Lines 17 and 18. | |
| 23 | A | Yes. | |
| 24 | Q | Did you do that as part of your May 15th | |
| 25 | opinio | on? | 12:53PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 110 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | A I did it as part of the overview, and of the | |
| 2 | alternatives mentioned, the most attractive one, | |
| 3 | given what we know right now, is actually hauling it | |
| 4 | and using it on cropland. So I took a look at the | |
| 5 | alternatives but did not do the kind of detailed | 12:53PM |
| 6 | analysis I did here, like for burning issues have | |
| 7 | been raised about the emissions and some on the | |
| 8 | economics, and there's some other alternatives | |
| 9 | talked about like cellulosic conversion to bio-oil | |
| 10 | or ethanol or something, but we do not have | 12:53PM |
| 11 | commercially viable proven technology at this time, | |
| 12 | so I simply restricted my analysis to hauling. | |
| 13 | Q Okay. You didn't analyze any of the other | |
| 14 | alternatives? | |
| 15 | A Well, to some extent I did but not with this | 12:54PM |
| 16 | level of detail because this one appears to be, | |
| 17 | under known technology and current economics, the | |
| 18 | best way of using it. | |
| 19 | Q Okay. What other alternatives did you | |
| 20 | analyze? | 12:54PM |
| 21 | A Well, I've already mentioned two that seemed | |
| 22 | to be on the forefront of current discussion, and | |
| 23 | there's use of alum and the composting of all of it. | |
| 24 | Q Okay. Did you reduce that analysis to | |
| 25 | writing, to paper? | 12:54PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A I did not. | |
|----|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2 | Q Okay. For any of the | se other alternatives? |
| 3 | A No. | |
| 4 | Q So these were just | how did you describe |
| 5 | the analysis that was perfor | med then. 12:55PM |
| 6 | A The burning, there's | a recent Arkansas study |
| 7 | where they've actually done | that, and they raised |
| 8 | some issues and talked about | economics. The |
| 9 | cellulosic conversion I know | something about from |
| 10 | other or from research I' | m doing at Auburn 12:55PM |
| 11 | University for bioenergy, bi | ofuels options, and I |
| 12 | know it's being talked about | in the poultry |
| 13 | industry, but proven commerc | ially viable technology |
| 14 | has not been demonstrated. | |
| 15 | Q Okay, and maybe my qu | estion is not clear. I 12:55PM |
| 16 | guess for purposes of your M | ay 15th report, are you |
| 17 | saying that you analyzed the | se other alternatives |
| 18 | that you described? | |
| 19 | A I sifted through the | literature on these |
| 20 | others. | 12:55PM |
| 21 | Q Okay. You reviewed l | iterature? |
| 22 | A I reviewed literature | |
| 23 | Q And that was the exte | nt of your analysis on |
| 24 | these other alternatives? | |
| 25 | A Yes. | 12:56PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q Okay. The next element that you described in | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | your prior deposition on this proper economic | |
| 3 | analysis was looking at lower phosphorus diets as a | |
| 4 | way of reducing phosphorus. Did you do that as part | |
| 5 | of your May 15th report? | 12:56PM |
| 6 | A I do not have the information for that. | |
| 7 | Q So you didn't perform that analysis? | |
| 8 | A I know from other Agri Stats reports that they | |
| 9 | have some summaries showing performance under low | |
| 10 | phosphorus diets and under high phosphorus diets, | 12:56PM |
| 11 | but I do not have those from this case and, | |
| 12 | therefore, I can't use it, but I know the | |
| 13 | information exists. | |
| 14 | Q Okay. So that's this low phosphorus diet | |
| 15 | is not part of your opinion in your May 15th report? | 12:56PM |
| 16 | A Data exists for me to analyze it, but I do not | |
| 17 | have it. | |
| 18 | Q Okay. The next factor you identified on Line | |
| 19 | 22 and 23, looking at a range of alternative ways of | |
| 20 | dealing with a problem. I think we've discussed | 12:57PM |
| 21 | those. Next, where excess litter might be applied | |
| 22 | and applied safely. Did you look at that factor in | |
| 23 | compiling your opinions for this May 15th report? | |
| 24 | A Certainly looked at the other studies, several | |
| 25 | of them involve hauling it out, and the recent ones | 12:57PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| by University of Arkansas faculty that identified |
|--|
| the Arkansas delta, and rice in particular, and the |
| application on the safety issue, the application |
| rate that they assumed and I assumed would exactly |
| meet the phosphorus need as stated in the University 12:58PM |
| of Arkansas rice budget. |
| Q Okay. When you are saying application rate, |
| is that the tons per acre? |
| A 60 pounds per acre of P205 that's shown in the |
| rice budget, and I used the numbers from the 12:58PM |
| Carreira study that poultry waste has 57 pounds of |
| P205 per ton, and so that gives me 1.05 ton per acre |
| application rate. |
| Q We're just talking about application rate when |
| you are talking about this safety analysis; is that 12:58PM |
| my understanding? |
| A We're just applying what the budget says rice |
| needs. |
| Q Okay. Your prior opinion that was submitted |
| in support of the motion for preliminary injunction, 12:58PM |
| the purpose of that preliminary injunction was to |
| stop the application of litter because of perceived |
| health threats. |
| A Right. |
| Q And it's my recollection that you have not 12:59PM |
| |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 150 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | performed any analysis of cost associated with | |
| 2 | abating these perceived health risks; is that | |
| 3 | correct? | |
| 4 | A That is correct. | |
| 5 | Q Have you performed that analysis for your May | 12:59PM |
| 6 | 15th opinion? | |
| 7 | A I have not. | |
| 8 | Q Okay. So there's still no measure of what it | |
| 9 | would cost to safely apply litter as the State has | |
| 10 | alleged? | 12:59PM |
| 11 | A There is the presumption that taking it to the | |
| 12 | delta and applying it to cropland at recommended | |
| 13 | rates would be safe, but other than that, I have not | |
| 14 | done any health or detailed safety analysis. | |
| 15 | Q Okay. If you skip to Page 214 of your prior | 12:59PM |
| 16 | deposition, Lines 3 through 6. During our | |
| 17 | discussion we identified that part of the proper | |
| 18 | economic analysis would be looking at the impact of | |
| 19 | the State's proposed injunction on poultry growing | |
| 20 | operations. Do you remember that testimony? | 01:00PM |
| 21 | A Yes. | |
| 22 | Q I believe your answer was on integrators and | |
| 23 | consumers as well? | |
| 24 | A Yes. | |
| 25 | Q Did you do that as part of your May 15th | 01:00PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | opinio | n? | |
|----|--------|--|---------|
| 2 | A | Was not asked to, did not do it. | |
| 3 | Q | Okay. We also discussed the impact that this | |
| 4 | injunc | tion that the State had requested would have | |
| 5 | on sup | ply and demand of poultry production? | 01:00PM |
| 6 | А | Uh-huh. | |
| 7 | Q | Is that something that you looked at as part | |
| 8 | of you | r May 15th analysis? | |
| 9 | A | Again, I was not asked to and I have not. | |
| 10 | Q | Okay. I think Mr. Elrod touched on this | 01:01PM |
| 11 | earlie | er. If you jump to Page 22 of your May 15th | |
| 12 | report | , it's Paragraph 56. | |
| 13 | А | Okay. | |
| 14 | Q | You're discussing, the last sentence of that, | |
| 15 | in the | IRW confined poultry operations account for | 01:01PM |
| 16 | most o | f the recoverable manure. | |
| 17 | А | Yes. | |
| 18 | Q | And you defined that, but I didn't necessarily | |
| 19 | follow | your definition of recoverable manure. Could | |
| 20 | you de | fine that for me? | 01:01PM |
| 21 | A | I'm simply saying that I used the word as it | |
| 22 | was us | ed in the NRCS report, and Footnote 49, that | |
| 23 | provid | les a pretty lengthy definition of what they | |
| 24 | mean b | y recoverability. | |
| 25 | Q | Okay. Can you do you remember what's | 01:02PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | contained within this definition in this NRCS | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | report? | |
| 3 | A They're largely referring to what comes from | |
| 4 | confined animal operations, which means the cow-calf | |
| 5 | operations would not be recoverable by their | 01:02PM |
| 6 | definition, but the waste from a poultry operation | |
| 7 | or a hog operation would be or a cattle feed lot. I | |
| 8 | don't think there are any current cattle feedlots in | |
| 9 | the watershed; used to be way back but | |
| 10 | Q So any manure that was generated by something | 01:03PM |
| 11 | other than a confined animal feeding operation would | |
| 12 | be unrecoverable litter; is that what I understand? | |
| 13 | A You can go to this to get the exact | |
| 14 | definition, but I think that's close enough. That's | |
| 15 | as close as I can get without reading you verbatim | 01:03PM |
| 16 | there a long definition. | |
| 17 | Q Your opinions regarding recoverable manure and | |
| 18 | unrecoverable manure, have they remained constant | |
| 19 | throughout your report? | |
| 20 | A Yes. | 01:03PM |
| 21 | Q The hundred hours or so you spent preparing | |
| 22 | this report, those opinions have remained the same? | |
| 23 | A Yes. It's pretty much followed this USDA | |
| 24 | definition. | |
| 25 | Q Okay. Looking at the materials that you had | 01:03PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
produced with your May 15th report, there were other
 1
      drafts of your report included among those
 2
 3
      documents. Do you recall producing those prior
      drafts?
 4
                                                                      01:04PM
 5
             Yes. Well, I didn't produce them. They
      produced them on my behalf, I guess.
 6
 7
             Okay. You provided them to the State's
      attorneys and the State's attorneys --
 8
             I asked them to keep it because there's a real
 9
      tight limit on my E-mail box and things quickly fill
                                                                      01:04PM
10
11
      it up.
             Okay. Among those documents there was an
12
      April 8th, 2008 E-mail from you to Miss Xidis, Mr.
13
      Riggs, Mr. Garren and Mr. Baker. Do you recall that
14
                                                                      01:05PM
      E-mail?
15
             May I see it?
16
17
             Sure. We probably need to mark that but --
             Yes.
18
             With regard to this recoverable versus
19
      unrecoverable manure, there's some opinions that
                                                                      01:05PM
20
      appear on Pages 7 and 8 of this April 8th draft that
21
      don't seem to have made it into your May 15th draft.
22
23
             Okay.
      Α
             If you could, could you explain to me the
24
25
      table in Paragraph 31 of the April 8th draft? As I
                                                                      01:06PM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 131 |
|----|---------|--|---------|
| 1 | underg | tand this, and let me just explain what I | |
| 2 | | I see. In the first column you've got the | |
| | | | |
| 3 | | 1982, 1987, 1992, 1997. | |
| 4 | A | Right. | |
| 5 | Q | In the second column you have pounds of manure | 01:06PM |
| 6 | phospho | orus as excreted from all livestock on farms? | |
| 7 | A | Right. | |
| 8 | Q | Is it my understanding that that would include | |
| 9 | recove: | rable and unrecoverable pounds of manure? | |
| 10 | A | Yes. | 01:06PM |
| 11 | Q | Okay. | |
| 12 | A | Using their definition. | |
| 13 | Q | Okay, and that's the NRCS definition? | |
| 14 | A | Right. | |
| 15 | Q | Okay, and then the third column we have pounds | 01:06PM |
| 16 | of rec | overable manure phosphorus in excess of crop | |
| 17 | uptake | and pastureland applied over farms? | |
| 18 | A | Also their definition and their numbers. | |
| 19 | Q | Explain the relationship between these two | |
| 20 | column | S. | 01:07PM |
| 21 | A | These are all USDA calculations, and they're | |
| 22 | all in | cluded in Excel files that I forwarded, and | |
| 23 | there a | are a whole bunch of different columns in all | |
| 24 | of tha | t in their county level data, but as I | |
| 25 | unders | tand it, it's just what it says, that the | 01:07PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| middle column is a total pounds of phosphorus from | |
|--|---|
| all livestock on farms, hogs, chickens, cows, | |
| everything they included in their study, and then | |
| they calculated the pounds recoverable and, again, | |
| that is largely from confined operations. | 01:07PM |
| Q Okay. So the second column all manure; the | |
| third column just recoverable manure; is that | |
| correct? | |
| A Phosphorus in both cases. | |
| Q Okay. Well, phosphorus, phosphates in | 01:07PM |
| recoverable manure, all manure? | |
| A Right. | |
| Q Okay, and going down through each of these | |
| years, 1982 through 1997, it appears that the | |
| recoverable portion of this is only about a third of | 01:08PM |
| the total phosphorus. Would you agree with that? | |
| A Yes, by their definition. | |
| Q By their definition, okay. Would you skip | |
| over to I think Paragraph 33, the table on Page 8. | |
| A Okay. | 01:08PM |
| Q We've got a similar table, except it's for | |
| nitrogen. | |
| A Uh-huh. | |
| Q And the questions I just asked about this | |
| other table on Page 7 would apply also to this table | 01:08PM |
| | all livestock on farms, hogs, chickens, cows, everything they included in their study, and then they calculated the pounds recoverable and, again, that is largely from confined operations. Q Okay. So the second column all manure; the third column just recoverable manure; is that correct? A Phosphorus in both cases. Q Okay. Well, phosphorus, phosphates in recoverable manure, all manure? A Right. Q Okay, and going down through each of these years, 1982 through 1997, it appears that the recoverable portion of this is only about a third of the total phosphorus. Would you agree with that? A Yes, by their definition. Q By their definition, okay. Would you skip over to I think Paragraph 33, the table on Page 8. A Okay. Q We've got a similar table, except it's for nitrogen. A Uh-huh. Q And the questions I just asked about this |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 130 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | on Page 8? | |
| 2 | A Yes. These are all USDA calculations. | |
| 3 | Q Okay. So this is comparing all manure versus | |
| 4 | recoverable manure? | |
| 5 | A These are the calculations that underlie their | 01:08PM |
| 6 | U.S. maps. | |
| 7 | Q Okay, and looking at this, it's on the | |
| 8 | nitrogen table, the recoverable portion is only | |
| 9 | approximately half of the total; is that correct? | |
| 10 | A Correct. | 01:09PM |
| 11 | Q Okay. Can you tell me why these tables were | |
| 12 | removed from your draft report and were not included | |
| 13 | in your May 15th opinion? | |
| 14 | A They have not updated these, and I understand | |
| 15 | there are issues connected with how they calculated | 01:09PM |
| 16 | these numbers and how they defined recoverable and | |
| 17 | other factors, and I was told by the USDA economist | |
| 18 | that they had not updated them because the engineers | |
| 19 | had changed some of the technical coefficients a | |
| 20 | little bit, so I decided to remove them, and in a | 01:09PM |
| 21 | way it was duplication with the maps, too, and they | |
| 22 | are provided in Excel files | |
| 23 | Q Okay. | |
| 24 | A somewhere. | |
| 25 | Q Okay, and you believe those were produced | 01:10PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
Α
             Yes.
 1
             -- in your considered materials?
 2
 3
             Yes.
                MR. HIXON: I'm being told we need to
 4
                                                                      01:10PM
 5
      change tapes, so let's take a little break.
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the Record.
 6
      The time is 1:10 p.m.
 7
                  (Following a short recess at 1:10 p.m.,
 8
      proceedings continued on the Record at 1:16 p.m.)
 9
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the Record.
                                                                      01:16PM
10
11
      The time is 1:16 p.m.
             Okay. Dr. Taylor, we left off with why these
12
      tables in your April 8th draft have been removed
13
      from your May 15th report, and can you tell me who
14
      informed you of these issues with these
                                                                      01:17PM
15
      calculations?
16
17
             Mark Ribaudo, R-I-B-A-U-D-O, who was one of
      the authors of the study, and I contacted him to see
18
      if he had updated with the '02 census, and he said,
19
20
      no, the study ended but the engineers have changed
                                                                      01:17PM
      their technical coefficients some, and that's kind
21
      of where it was dropped.
22
             Okay. Did the State's counsel have any input
23
      as to whether these tables remained in the report or
24
25
      were taken out of the report?
                                                                      01:17PM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 158 |
|----|---|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | A They did not. As I mentioned, they underlie | |
| 2 | the charts here, so implicitly the data are still | |
| 3 | there. | |
| 4 | Q Okay. While we're in this April 8th report, | |
| 5 | let's skip over to Page 18 and Paragraph 50. | 01:18PM |
| 6 | A Okay. | |
| 7 | Q You've got here a definition of waste, and | |
| 8 | then the final sentence of this paragraph reads, by | |
| 9 | this definition, poultry litter is a waste if it | |
| 10 | costs more to use where it is produced than it is | 01:18PM |
| 11 | worth as a soil amendment. | |
| 12 | A Yes. | |
| 13 | Q Did you perform any analysis to support that | |
| 14 | statement? | |
| 15 | A As I mentioned earlier, I have not done | 01:18PM |
| 16 | detailed analysis on the on-farm economics of | |
| 17 | commercial fertilizer and/or litter application in | |
| 18 | the IRW. | |
| 19 | Q Okay, and why were those analysis not done? | |
| 20 | A I was not asked to. | 01:18PM |
| 21 | Q Okay. Can you tell me why this paragraph was | |
| 22 | removed from your May 15th report? | |
| 23 | A I just thought it got sidetracked with | |
| 24 | economic definition, which is not necessarily | |
| 25 | relevant here. | 01:19PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 100 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | Q Okay. Can you elaborate on that? I'm not | |
| 2 | following what you are saying. | |
| 3 | A Well, this really goes to the on-farm | |
| 4 | economics of it and not the major issues of the | |
| 5 | litigation as I see it but, you know, I have no | 01:19PM |
| 6 | problem including it. It is a it would be a | |
| 7 | standard way an economist would define waste if they | |
| 8 | were asked to. I haven't found a reference in many | |
| 9 | articles, but this is how an economist would define | |
| 10 | it in the context of crop production. | 01:19PM |
| 11 | Q Okay. That's how an economist would define | |
| 12 | it, but you didn't perform that analysis? | |
| 13 | A Correct. | |
| 14 | Q As we've discussed, that analysis would be | |
| 15 | part of a proper economic accounting; is that | 01:20PM |
| 16 | correct? | |
| 17 | A A full-blown economic analysis as an economist | |
| 18 | would do if they had unlimited funds in a research | |
| 19 | budget. | |
| 20 | Q Okay. You weren't asked to do that | 01:20PM |
| 21 | A No. | |
| 22 | Q for this? Okay. Let's skip back to your | |
| 23 | May 15th report. Go to Page 36, the table that's in | |
| 24 | Paragraph 73. | |
| 25 | A Okay. | 01:20PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | Q Just go down the list and describe what these | |
|----|--|-----|
| 2 | various costs represent and where you obtained these | |
| 3 | numbers. | |
| 4 | A As stated here and as I've said before, they | |
| 5 | were all obtained from the Carreira study, and this 01:2 | 1PM |
| 6 | is exactly as they were reported. They didn't | |
| 7 | provide detailed definition and, therefore, I do not | |
| 8 | have, but they had the amortized capital cost for a | |
| 9 | conveyor belt, bobcat and a trailer and a truck to | |
| 10 | pull a trailer. The site cost would be office, 01:2 | 1PM |
| 11 | scales, land and infrastructure, operating, record | |
| 12 | keeping, supervision and a field foreman to | |
| 13 | supervise all of this, and then all of the other | |
| 14 | costs, which are the large part of it, obtaining | |
| 15 | litter from the farm, which they assumed was worth 01:2 | 1PM |
| 16 | \$7, \$2 to load it in a truck, \$2 to unload it from a | |
| 17 | truck, \$2 to clean out the truck for the unbaled | |
| 18 | litter, \$3 a ton to store it in a building and \$2 to | |
| 19 | take it out of the building and put it on a | |
| 20 | spreader, then the application to land \$7, and 01:2 | 2PM |
| 21 | disking the litter and waste in, \$6. Then they had | |
| 22 | a per mile charge on top of all of this. | |
| 23 | Q Okay, and I guess my question, what I was | |
| 24 | trying to get at, I'm wanting to understand what | |
| 25 | these numbers represent apart from the descriptions 01:2 | 2PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 101 |
|----|---|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | contained in the table itself, and is it my | |
| 2 | understanding that you don't know what is contained | |
| 3 | within these numbers apart from what was contained | |
| 4 | in the Carreira report? | |
| 5 | A That is correct. | 01:22PM |
| 6 | Q And you don't know what inputs were put into | |
| 7 | these or how these numbers were arrived at? | |
| 8 | A That's correct. | |
| 9 | Q Okay. If we look at the other costs, | |
| 10 | obtaining litter from the farm \$7 | 01:22PM |
| 11 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 12 | Q what's your understanding of that cost? | |
| 13 | A That whatever is in the Carreira study. I | |
| 14 | assume it would be taking the litter out of the | |
| 15 | house, which doesn't have a full \$7 charge, but that | 01:23PM |
| 16 | is what the grower would get for the litter in the | |
| 17 | house. | |
| 18 | Q Okay. So that cost, if I'm understanding | |
| 19 | correctly, would be the integrator paying the grower | |
| 20 | \$7 a ton for the litter? | 01:23PM |
| 21 | A Well, not necessarily the integrator. | |
| 22 | Whoever. | |
| 23 | Q Whoever? | |
| 24 | A Whoever. | |
| 25 | Q So it's the value of the litter? | 01:23PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 102 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | А | It is the gross value. | |
| 2 | Q | Gross value, okay. We go back to your April | |
| 3 | 8th re | eport, Page 56 or pardon me, Page 20, Paragraph | |
| 4 | 56. I | t looks like most of these same numbers are in | |
| 5 | Table | 3 that's contained in the April 4th draft. | 01:24PM |
| 6 | А | Yes. I went back and included the capital | |
| 7 | costs | that I had overlooked in the draft. | |
| 8 | Q | Okay, and why were those additional costs | |
| 9 | includ | led? | |
| 10 | A | Because Carreira included them. | 01:24PM |
| 11 | Q | Okay. So why were they omitted from the April | |
| 12 | 8th dr | raft? | |
| 13 | А | Just an oversight on my part. | |
| 14 | Q | Okay. Part of as I understand it, part of | |
| 15 | the pu | rpose for these various calculations is your | 01:24PM |
| 16 | calcul | ating a number for unjust enrichment. | |
| 17 | А | Yes. | |
| 18 | Q | Is that correct? What's your understanding of | |
| 19 | unjust | enrichment? | |
| 20 | А | Simply that if they had factored in the cost | 01:24PM |
| 21 | of tra | insporting the litter and waste out of the | |
| 22 | waters | shed well | |
| 23 | Q | I guess my question is, why have you | |
| 24 | calcul | ated these numbers? | |
| 25 | А | Because I was asked to address the unjust | 01:25PM |
| | 1 | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | enrichment issue. | |
| 2 | Q Okay, and what is your understanding of the | |
| 3 | unjust enrichment issue? | |
| 4 | A These are the costs that the integrators | |
| 5 | avoided by not transporting a specified amount of | 01:25PM |
| 6 | litter out of the watershed by year. | |
| 7 | Q Okay. So it's your understanding it's a | |
| 8 | damage number; would that be correct? | |
| 9 | A Yes. | |
| 10 | Q Okay, and it's my understanding that it's | 01:25PM |
| 11 | your early testimony was that you used the highest | |
| 12 | costs among the various studies that you looked at | |
| 13 | or various sources? | |
| 14 | A These, yes, are a little bit higher than the | |
| 15 | other ones and more complete, a lot more items than | 01:25PM |
| 16 | the other studies. | |
| 17 | Q So it's my understanding that you used the | |
| 18 | highest cost to calculate a damage number; is that | |
| 19 | correct? | |
| 20 | A I took the cost estimate that I thought was | 01:26PM |
| 21 | the best one that had been done with all of the | |
| 22 | detail and used it as opposed to the others which | |
| 23 | did not have this detail and/or were older than this | |
| 24 | study. | |
| 25 | Q And those were the highest costs? | 01:26PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 164 |
|----|-------|--|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | A | That's the way they ended up, yes. | |
| 2 | Q | Okay. | |
| 3 | А | Well, out 3 or 400 miles or so. | |
| 4 | Q | Okay. Let's look at Table 4 in your May 15th | |
| 5 | repor | rt. | 01:26PM |
| 6 | A | Okay. | |
| 7 | Q | Tell me how the numbers in this table were | |
| 8 | calcı | ılated. | |
| 9 | А | Table 4? | |
| 10 | Q | It's Page 38 of your May 15th report. | 01:27PM |
| 11 | А | Okay. Well, the exact formula that I used are | |
| 12 | all i | included in the Excel spreadsheet that I | |
| 13 | provi | ided that had some of the appendix tables and I | |
| 14 | think | even some that I didn't include in this | |
| 15 | apper | ndix, but they're in the Excel file. I took | 01:27PM |
| 16 | I ass | sumed that the Carreira numbers applied to '07. | |
| 17 | It wa | as published in late '07. I couldn't target the | |
| 18 | preci | ise year. I assumed that it applied to 2007, | |
| 19 | and T | Table 4 has a real calculation that overlays a | |
| 20 | nomir | nal calculation. I had these costs only for | 01:27PM |
| 21 | '07, | and nowhere do we have a consistent time series | |
| 22 | on li | itter hauling costs by year going back in time. | |
| 23 | | So one calculation was to take these numbers | |
| 24 | and ı | use a transportation cost index published by BLS | |
| 25 | to hi | indcast them back to 1988, and then this also | 01:28PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | involves supplemental application of commercial | |
|----|---|----|
| 2 | fertilizer to meet the nitrogen and potassium needs | |
| 3 | of rice not met by the litter, so I had a | |
| 4 | calculation there that followed exactly the Arkansas | |
| 5 | budgeted information. So the real calculation that 01:28 | PM |
| 6 | overlays that other just puts everything in current | |
| 7 | dollars, and the Consumer Price Index was used for | |
| 8 | that, which is the standard way of doing it, real | |
| 9 | versus nominal dollars. | |
| 10 | Q Okay. So in the description of Table 4 where 01:29 | PM |
| 11 | it says real in 2008 dollars | |
| 12 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 13 | Q that brings all of these calculations up to | |
| 14 | 2008? | |
| 15 | A Right, without any interest of any kind. 01:29 | РM |
| 16 | Q Okay. So let me understand. A 2008 dollar | |
| 17 | versus a 1988 dollar? | |
| 18 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 19 | Q What's the relationship between those two? | |
| 20 | A Well, inflation. To give you another example, 01:29 | PM |
| 21 | I remember paying \$2 a gallon for gasoline in | |
| 22 | Montana in 1980 something. Adjusted for inflation, | |
| 23 | \$4 now is less, and this is just an inflation | |
| 24 | adjustment, so that the number for hauling it 200 | |
| 25 | miles in 1988, which is 14 cents, that is expressed 01:30 | PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 100 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | in our | r dollars and not 1988 dollars. In 1988 | |
| 2 | dollaı | rs it might be five cents. | |
| 3 | Q | Okay. | |
| 4 | А | I reported the indices that I used. | |
| 5 | Q | I guess I'm so this 14 cents, 1988, 200 | 01:30PM |
| 6 | miles | is \$208? | |
| 7 | А | Right. | |
| 8 | Q | And your testimony is that would likely be a | |
| 9 | lower | number? | |
| 10 | А | In | 01:30PM |
| 11 | Q | In 1988 dollars? | |
| 12 | А | And the nominal terms as opposed to real, | |
| 13 | those | calculations are included in the spreadsheets | |
| 14 | and ot | ther tables that are there. | |
| 15 | Q | Okay. If we go down the list in the 200-mile | 01:31PM |
| 16 | column | n | |
| 17 | А | Uh-huh. | |
| 18 | Q | the \$1.13 would likely be less in 1989 | |
| 19 | dollaı | rs? | |
| 20 | A | Yeah, 50 cents or something. | 01:31PM |
| 21 | Q | And the \$7.31 would likely be less in 1990 | |
| 22 | dollaı | rs? | |
| 23 | A | Right. | |
| 24 | Q | Okay. So for purposes of this, these | |
| 25 | calcul | lations, all of these are inflated 2008 | 01:31PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
dollars; is that my understanding?
 1
             That is correct.
 2
 3
             Okay. If you go back to your April 8th report
      on Page 21, again, it's Table 4, all of these
 4
      numbers appear to be different, except that we've
 5
                                                                      01:31PM
      got real in 2007 dollars. Is the difference between
 6
      the numbers in Table 4 in your May 15th report and
 7
      your April 8th draft, is it just the difference
 8
      between the 2008 dollars and the 2007 dollars?
 9
             No. As I mentioned in my E-mail, I had not
                                                                      01:32PM
10
11
      double checked all my calculations, and I found some
      mistakes and corrected those.
12
             Okay. So there --
13
             There were mistakes in the draft.
14
             In the April 8th draft?
                                                                      01:32PM
15
      Α
             Right.
16
17
             What were those mistakes?
             I don't recall exactly, but I went through and
18
      thoroughly checked all of the formula that are
19
20
      hidden in the Excel file, whether or not you can
                                                                      01:32PM
      unhide them.
21
             Okay, and you believe that Excel file was
22
      produced to the defendants?
23
             I think so. If not, I will.
24
25
                MS. XIDIS: What was your question?
                                                                      01:32PM
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | | MR. RIGGS: Was the Excel file produced to | |
|----|--------|--|---------|
| 2 | the de | fendants? | |
| 3 | | MS. XIDIS: Should have been, yeah. | |
| 4 | А | Should have been. | |
| 5 | Q | All right. Let's look at Table 5. | 01:32PM |
| 6 | А | Okay. New or old? | |
| 7 | Q | Let's look at new first. | |
| 8 | А | Okay. | |
| 9 | Q | Before we do that, on Table 4 new, are the | |
| 10 | number | s in this table calculated using the numbers | 01:33PM |
| 11 | that w | ere contained in the Table 2 on Page 36? | |
| 12 | А | Yes, as I have described. | |
| 13 | Q | Okay. So it's my understanding that this | |
| 14 | table | would assume that litter that the grower | |
| 15 | was pa | id the \$7 a ton for the litter? | 01:33PM |
| 16 | А | If you're talking in real 2008 dollars. | |
| 17 | Q | Okay. If that's what the calculation or the | |
| 18 | number | represents, that's what we're discussing. | |
| 19 | What's | the significance of the 1988 date? | |
| 20 | А | None in particular. I just kind of drew a | 01:34PM |
| 21 | line o | n how far to go back. I didn't know whether | |
| 22 | it sho | uld be '05 or 1970, but twenty years back just | |
| 23 | seemed | far enough to me, and the plaintiff attorneys | |
| 24 | didn't | indicate otherwise. | |
| 25 | Q | Okay. So what factors did you take into | 01:34PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | consideration in determining this 20-year time | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | period? | |
| 3 | A Nothing really, except I know you can only go | |
| 4 | back so far in time, statute of limitations, and I | |
| 5 | figured this was going back too far, and about 1988 01:34PM | |
| 6 | is when it became when it was recognized that we | |
| 7 | had a problem in phosphorus in high density poultry | |
| 8 | areas and, you know, that date is when I went to | |
| 9 | Auburn, and it was sometime shortly after that when | |
| 10 | I learned that the issue of concern to NRCS and 01:35PM | |
| 11 | others was not nitrogen in poultry as it had been in | |
| | | |
| 12 | the '70's but phosphorus, so but there's no | |
| 13 | really hard reasoning behind picking 1988. | |
| 14 | Q Okay. Your Table 4 has various calculations | |
| 15 | for 200 miles, 250 miles, up to 325 miles. 01:35PM | |
| 16 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 17 | Q Your prior opinion was based on a 100-mile | |
| 18 | radius from the watershed? | |
| 19 | A That was just getting it out of the watershed, | |
| 20 | and that was an example, and a footnote said it can 01:35PM | |
| 21 | be more or less depending on hauling distance. | |
| 22 | Q Okay. So the distances contained in the Table | |
| 23 | 4, is that related to this assumption that this | |
| 24 | litter would be hauled to eastern Arkansas? | |
| 25 | A Well, here rather than picking one point 100 01:36PM | |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | miles and then simply saying you can scale it up or | |
|----|---|-------|
| 2 | down, I picked these different distances, and those | |
| 3 | distances are I approximated as distance to the | |
| 4 | different counties in the delta area identified in | |
| 5 | the Carreira study. 01 | :36PM |
| 6 | Q Okay. | |
| 7 | A So Lonoke is the closest and Poinsett is the | |
| 8 | most distant as I recall. | |
| 9 | Q Let's go on to Table 5. Explain how these | |
| 10 | calculations were made. | :37PM |
| 11 | A Okay. The Carreira study indicated how much | |
| 12 | could be hauled, used on rice in the different | |
| 13 | areas. So just assuming we wanted to haul out | |
| 14 | 350,000 tons, not all of that could be used in | |
| 15 | Lonoke County, the closest one. You could go up to 01 | :37PM |
| 16 | all of the rice acreage in that county, and then you | |
| 17 | would go to the next one over and end up with some | |
| 18 | of it up in I think Poinsett County. | |
| 19 | So I took the numbers on how much could be | |
| 20 | used on rice in each area, and that is behind these | :37PM |
| 21 | calculations, and those distances are definitely in | |
| 22 | the spreadsheet, I mean the quantities, how much | |
| 23 | could be applied in each county is in the Carreira | |
| 24 | study and reflected in my spreadsheet calculation. | |
| 25 | Q Okay. Explain to me how the numbers in Table 01: | :38PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| i | | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | 4 were used in Table 5. | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | A This shows the Table 4 shows the per ton | |
| 3 | cost, like the first number, the per ton cost of | |
| 4 | hauling it 200 miles in 1988, and so there's only a | |
| 5 | certain amount we could use in 200 miles, and let's | 01:38PM |
| 6 | say 350,000 tons. Not all of that can be used | |
| 7 | within 200 miles. So I take the 14 cents times what | |
| 8 | can be used in 200 miles, and then we have more, and | |
| 9 | we go to the 250 miles, which is 569, and keep | |
| 10 | moving in that fashion until we get the aggregate | 01:39PM |
| 11 | cost of hauling 350,000 tons out in 1988. | |
| 12 | Q Okay, and this is assuming that all of this is | |
| 13 | being transported from the Illinois River watershed | |
| 14 | to these various counties in eastern Arkansas? | |
| 15 | A Identified in the Carreira study. | 01:39PM |
| 16 | Q As part of your analysis, did you analyze | |
| 17 | whether there was a viable market in eastern | |
| 18 | Arkansas for 350,000 tons of litter? | |
| 19 | A I only did what Carreira and others did and | |
| 20 | assumed that there was a viable market there and | 01:39PM |
| 21 | that it would be used on the same acreage of rice | |
| 22 | that they indicated in their study. | |
| 23 | Q Okay, and did Carreira the Carreira report | |
| 24 | did not cover a 20-year period; is that correct? | |
| 25 | A That's correct. | 01:40PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | 172 |
|----|---------|---|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | Q | It looked at a single year? | |
| 2 | А | Right. | |
| 3 | Q | Okay. Did you make any kind of adjustments | |
| 4 | betwee | en the Carreira report and this Table 5 | |
| 5 | regard | ding | 01:40PM |
| 6 | А | Changes in acreage? | |
| 7 | Q | Yeah, those types of things. | |
| 8 | А | I did not. That can be done, and I mentioned | |
| 9 | that | this calculation can be refined, but I did not | |
| 10 | do tha | at. | 01:40PM |
| 11 | Q | Did you do any analysis regarding I guess | |
| 12 | the e | nd user in these eastern Arkansas counties, | |
| 13 | what w | would be their incentive for using this poultry | |
| 14 | litte | r as opposed to commercial fertilizer in 1988 | |
| 15 | through | gh 2008? | 01:40PM |
| 16 | А | Just look at the cost of applying commercial | |
| 17 | ferti | lizer only compared to the cost of applying | |
| 18 | 1.05 | tons of litter supplemented with commercial | |
| 19 | ferti | lizer, and those cost comparisons are given | |
| 20 | somew | here in all of this. | 01:41PM |
| 21 | Q | Okay. If we look at the 350 tons, 1988, this | |
| 22 | 3.4 m | illion dollars, what does that number | |
| 23 | repre | sent, and I guess my question is, is that is | |
| 24 | it a 🤉 | gross number; is it a net number; is it | |
| 25 | stric | tly a cost number; does it take into | 01:41PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| Ī | | | 1 |
|----|--------|---|---------|
| 1 | consid | deration whether, okay, somebody hauls the | |
| 2 | | to eastern Arkansas, they sell it; is any | |
| 3 | | e from that sale incorporated into this number? | |
| 4 | А | Only as reflected in the Carreira study. | |
| 5 | Q | Okay. The Carreira study again looked at | 01:41PM |
| 6 | 2007? | ona, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 01 1111 |
| 7 | A | Right, but, again, I've hindcast all of these. | |
| 8 | Q | Okay. | |
| 9 | Σ A | This looks at the cost. It is net. | |
| 10 | Q | Okay, if we go down and look at the 2007 line, | 01:42PM |
| | | | OI·HZFM |
| 11 | regard | dless of the tonnage, we have zeros? | |
| 12 | A | Right. | |
| 13 | Q | Why is that? | |
| 14 | A | That's because the increase in the price of | |
| 15 | fertil | lizer has more than offset the increased cost | 01:42PM |
| 16 | of fue | el in hauling it, and that means that up to | |
| 17 | 350,00 | 00 tons could be profitably used outside the | |
| 18 | waters | shed. | |
| 19 | Q | Okay. So it could be profitably used in 2007? | |
| 20 | А | And '8. | 01:42PM |
| 21 | Q | Okay, and I guess | |
| 22 | А | It's accelerating for '09. | |
| 23 | Q | Because of energy prices, commodity prices? | |
| 24 | А | A whole host of things, but it's primarily the | |
| 25 | dramat | tic increase in the price of fertilizer, and | 01:43PM |
| | | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| i | | |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | all of the energy price increases are reflected more | |
| 2 | in fertilizer than they are in trucking costs. | |
| 3 | Trucking costs you have the fuel part, but you have | |
| 4 | a lot of non-fuel items that are not going up as | |
| 5 | fast. So the fertilizer is getting much more | 01:43PM |
| 6 | valuable, and the cost of fertilizer is going up | |
| 7 | faster than the cost of trucking litter and, | |
| 8 | therefore, the last two years it shows it would be | |
| 9 | profitable to haul out. | |
| 10 | Q Okay, and I guess the inverse of that would be | 01:43PM |
| 11 | less profitable in these prior years? | |
| 12 | A Right, but it's now profitable, and it was not | |
| 13 | in the earlier years with a couple of exceptions for | |
| 14 | low amounts. | |
| 15 | Q Okay, and that takes me back to the question I | 01:43PM |
| 16 | asked before. What's the incentive for the end user | |
| 17 | in these eastern counties to use poultry litter as | |
| 18 | opposed to commercial fertilizer if they're not | |
| 19 | having to incur these transportation costs in these | |
| 20 | earlier years? | 01:44PM |
| 21 | A Well, I tried to answer that earlier and I | |
| 22 | know we get caught up in a lot of complex | |
| 23 | calculations, but in Appendix Table A there's one | |
| 24 | calculation that's the cost of commercial | |
| 25 | fertilizer, plus the application cost, no litter on | 01:44PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 1/5 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | rice, and in '08 it's \$212 because fertilizer has | |
| 2 | gone up so much, but the cost of commercial | |
| 3 | fertilizer to supplement 1.05 pounds tons of | |
| 4 | litter plus the application costs for both are a | |
| 5 | hundred. The incentive for the rice farmer is the | 01:45PM |
| 6 | difference for those two, and in '08 it's \$112 | |
| 7 | approximately an acre. | |
| 8 | Q May I see that? | |
| 9 | A Sure. | |
| 10 | Q If we look at your prior, the April 8th | 01:45PM |
| 11 | report, it's on Page 22. | |
| 12 | A Uh-huh. | |
| 13 | Q Again, these numbers are lower? | |
| 14 | A It's because of mistakes. | |
| 15 | Q Okay. Can you elaborate on the mistakes? | 01:45PM |
| 16 | A I don't recall. It was in some of the complex | |
| 17 | formulas where I had something off, but I've gone | |
| 18 | through about a million other formulas since then, | |
| 19 | and I don't remember where the mistake was, but | |
| 20 | Q Okay. Just looking at this | 01:46PM |
| 21 | A It's something I uncovered. They didn't | |
| 22 | uncover it. | |
| 23 | Q It appears to be a substantial mistake. If we | |
| 24 | look at the first, the column, the 50,000 ton | |
| 25 | column. | 01:46PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | | = : 0 |
|----|--------|--|---------|
| | | | |
| 1 | A | Uh-huh. | |
| 2 | Q | If you look at the cumulative total of your | |
| 3 | April | 8th report, you've got \$186,000? | |
| 4 | A | Yes. | |
| 5 | Q | And if you look at your May 15th report, | 01:46PM |
| 6 | you've | got 6.1 million dollars? | |
| 7 | A | Uh-huh, and it yes, it's substantial, and | |
| 8 | it is | also reflected in the Table 4 that we talked | |
| 9 | about, | the mistake I found. The mistake in Table 5 | |
| 10 | I thin | k traces back to the mistake in calculating | 01:47PM |
| 11 | Table | 4. | |
| 12 | Q | Is that the only mistake? Doesn't seem like | |
| 13 | the Ta | ble 4 numbers are that far off, not to this | |
| 14 | magnit | ude. | |
| 15 | А | I don't recall. I may have found others | 01:47PM |
| 16 | but | | |
| 17 | Q | Do you recall what number, what dollars were | |
| 18 | used i | n this April 8th report? | |
| 19 | A | Well, for the real values, I used 2007, and my | |
| 20 | calcul | ation only went through '07 and then I got the | 01:47PM |
| 21 | spring | of '08 fertilizer price and I added one year | |
| 22 | in the | re. | |
| 23 | Q | Okay. So, again | |
| 24 | A | And I changed when I did that, I changed | |
| 25 | the ba | se for adjusting for inflation from '07 up to | 01:48PM |
| | | | |

| | | 1// |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | '08. | |
| 2 | Q Okay. Your Table 5 in your May 15th report, I | |
| 3 | don't think I've asked this, but all of these | |
| 4 | calculations are 2008 dollars again; is that | |
| 5 | correct? | 01:48PM |
| 6 | A I'm getting things out of order. Oh, here it | |
| 7 | is. These numbers, yes, in Table 5 are in '08 | |
| 8 | dollars, and I did not mention that. | |
| 9 | Q Okay. | |
| 10 | A Oh, down at the bottom I did, cumulative in | 01:48PM |
| 11 | 2008 dollars without interest. | |
| 12 | Q Okay. So if we went down any one of these | |
| 13 | columns, the 1988 number would be less in 1988 | |
| 14 | dollars than it is in 2008 dollars; is that correct? | |
| 15 | A Well, generally, yes. You know, zero won't be | 01:49PM |
| 16 | but | |
| 17 | Q Right, and the zeros in these, what do they | |
| 18 | represent in Table 5? | |
| 19 | A Labeled Table 5 cost avoided, the zeros are | |
| 20 | because there would be a profit that year, and I do | 01:49PM |
| 21 | have those numbers but I zeroed it out. | |
| 22 | Q So you amended those profit numbers from your | |
| 23 | unjust enrichment calculation? | |
| 24 | A Yes. | |
| 25 | Q Okay. The calculations that are contained in | 01:49PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 178 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | the Tables 4 and 5, do those assume this centralized | |
| 2 | location that was discussed in the Carreira report? | |
| 3 | A Yes. | |
| 4 | Q What would that facility contain? I believe | |
| 5 | you described it briefly with Mr. Elrod, but | 01:50PM |
| 6 | A Well, just Carreira, I followed the Carreira | |
| 7 | study. They identified two possibilities, Siloam | |
| 8 | Springs and Prairie Grove. You would have to ask | |
| 9 | them for details. | |
| 10 | Q Okay. So it would be some kind of | 01:50PM |
| 11 | distribution facility? | |
| 12 | A Right. | |
| 13 | Q But you don't have any details? | |
| 14 | A Collection and distribution facility, but I | |
| 15 | don't have any details on it. | 01:50PM |
| 16 | Q Okay, and does that facility exist in Siloam | |
| 17 | Springs currently? | |
| 18 | A Not unless there's a partial one somewhere | |
| 19 | connected with BMP, but I'm not aware of any central | |
| 20 | collection facility. | 01:51PM |
| 21 | Q Okay. Same question for Prairie Grove? | |
| 22 | A Same there. | |
| 23 | Q And would be the same be true back to 1988? | |
| 24 | A Yes, definitely. | |
| 25 | Q I guess your transportation costs, these | 01:51PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | calculations, does that calculation include distance | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | from the farm to the distribution facility, from the | |
| 3 | distribution facility to the end user, or is it just | |
| 4 | from the centralized location to the end user? | |
| 5 | A Again, you would have to ask Carreira for | 01:51PM |
| 6 | details of that, but he's showing the cost of | |
| 7 | getting the litter from the farm, loading it in a | |
| 8 | truck and then you take it to a central collection | |
| 9 | place and unload it, and I don't know how he's | |
| 10 | factored in the twin hubs. | 01:52PM |
| 11 | Q So you don't know what the distance | |
| 12 | represents? | |
| 13 | A No. | |
| 14 | Q Okay. Is the cost of construction of this | |
| 15 | centralized facility, is that taken into account | 01:52PM |
| 16 | anywhere in these calculations in Table 4 and Table | |
| 17 | 5? | |
| 18 | A I'm assuming it's amortized, meaning you take | |
| 19 | the capital cost and the length of a life and | |
| 20 | annualize it. | 01:52PM |
| 21 | Q Okay, and amortize from what point? You've | |
| 22 | got Carreira, which was a one-year study, and you've | |
| 23 | got | |
| 24 | A I'm assuming that Carreira did that because, | |
| 25 | see, they have capital costs for conveyor, bobcat, | 01:52PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| | | 100 |
|----|--|---------|
| | | |
| 1 | trailer and truck, and I'm assuming that's | |
| 2 | amortized. It would have to be to be that small. | |
| 3 | Q Okay, and you're assuming that those | |
| 4 | amortization rates, the same rates would apply | |
| 5 | during your 20-year period? | 01:53PM |
| 6 | A Right, and the site costs. | |
| 7 | Q Your prior opinion in your affidavit that was | |
| 8 | submitted back in November, additional | |
| 9 | transportation costs that you calculated in that | |
| 10 | affidavit you opined would be transferred to | 01:53PM |
| 11 | consumers. What's your assumption with regard to | |
| 12 | the calculations that you've made in Table 5 of your | |
| 13 | May 15th report? | |
| 14 | A I have not addressed that, but long term you | |
| 15 | expect markets to adjust, competitive markets to | 01:53PM |
| 16 | adjust to changes in cost, and historically there | |
| 17 | would have been a somewhat higher price for poultry | |
| 18 | had the integrators covered all of these costs that | |
| 19 | I've laid out, while for current year, since there's | |
| 20 | a benefit to hauling the litter out, it would go the | 01:54PM |
| 21 | other way, but I have not put a number to any of | |
| 22 | that. I have not been asked to. | |
| 23 | Q You haven't been asked to analyze the impact | |
| 24 | that that additional cost would have on production | |
| 25 | in 1988? | 01:54PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | A I have not, or on consumers. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | Q So you don't know how those numbers would | |
| 3 | impact profitability for any given year in that | |
| 4 | 20-year period for poultry production in the | |
| 5 | Illinois River watershed? | 01:54PM |
| 6 | A I have not calculated that, no. | |
| 7 | Q Okay. So you don't know whether those costs | |
| 8 | would have put one or more of the integrators out of | |
| 9 | business sometime in that 20-year period? | |
| 10 | A I do not have financials for the defendants. | 01:55PM |
| 11 | Therefore, I cannot determine whether that would put | |
| 12 | them out of business, but these costs expressed on a | |
| 13 | per pound basis are fairly small. | |
| 14 | Q Okay. Can you tell me who Michael Hanneman | |
| 15 | is? | 01:55PM |
| 16 | A He's an ag economist in California. | |
| 17 | Q Okay. Did he have any part in the opinions in | |
| 18 | your May 15th report? | |
| 19 | A He did not. | |
| 20 | Q Appears that well, the documents you | 01:56PM |
| 21 | produced, there was a February 28th, 2008 E-mail | |
| 22 | from Mr. Hanneman to yourself, Miss Xidis and D. | |
| 23 | Chapman. Do you know what the subject matter of | |
| 24 | that communication was? | |
| 25 | A All I remember is it had to do with some of | 01:56PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | the external costs, not the hauling costs but more | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | the environmental and/or health costs. | |
| 3 | Q Okay. Some of the cost issues that you were | |
| 4 | not asked to look at for your May 15th report? | |
| 5 | A Correct. | 01:56PM |
| 6 | Q Earlier this morning when you were testifying | |
| 7 | regarding this contract that was provided to you by | |
| 8 | a grower in Alabama, the Pilgrim's Pride contract, | |
| 9 | you made reference to your report. What were you | |
| 10 | referring to then if you recall? | 01:58PM |
| 11 | A A report I authored on restoring economic | |
| 12 | health to contract poultry production that was based | |
| 13 | largely on Alabama farm business analysis, | |
| 14 | association records of profitability or lack thereof | |
| 15 | for contract production. | 01:58PM |
| 16 | Q Okay. Was | |
| 17 | A It's in my reference list. | |
| 18 | Q Okay. It's something that was produced in | |
| 19 | your materials in this lawsuit? | |
| 20 | A It's referred to. I don't know that it was | 01:58PM |
| 21 | produced in this one. | |
| 22 | Q Okay. Is it something that you used in | |
| 23 | forming your opinions in your May 15th report? | |
| 24 | A No. | |
| 25 | Q You also testified earlier that you had spoken | 01:58PM |
| | | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| i | |
|----|--|
| | |
| 1 | with scientists about market resistance with regard |
| 2 | to this eastern Arkansas Carreira report. |
| 3 | A Just in general, not associated with the IRW. |
| 4 | Q Who were those scientists? |
| 5 | A Well, had many discussions on and off with my 01:59PM |
| 6 | plant pathology collaborator, Dr. Rodrigo Rodriguez |
| 7 | Cabana. |
| 8 | Q That's a mouthful. |
| 9 | A That's a mouthful, yeah. Rod for short. |
| 10 | Q What was the substance of those conversations? 01:59PM |
| 11 | A Well, highly varied because he did work on |
| 12 | using poultry litter and, as I mentioned, with some |
| 13 | of his potions to suppress the bad soil-borne |
| 14 | organisms that are a problem in the South with most |
| 15 | any field crop, and the problem for him is that 02:00PM |
| 16 | there's no uniformity in the poultry litter, which |
| 17 | is also an issue here, and to make this kind of |
| 18 | system work, you would have to have testing to know |
| 19 | the actual N, P and K content of the litter so that |
| 20 | the rice farmer, cotton farmer or whatever would 02:00PM |
| 21 | then know how much commercial fertilizer to use to |
| 22 | supplement it, and that's always an issue, but the |
| 23 | testing is pretty quick and can be done |
| 24 | inexpensively. |
| 25 | Q Are those issues reflected in the calculations 02:01PM |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | in your Tables 4 and 5? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A That's such a small cost, it would be some |
| 3 | decimal way over here. |
| 4 | Q Okay. Any other market resistance issues that |
| 5 | you've discussed with Rod or anyone else? 02:01PM |
| 6 | A That is the primary issue that we've discussed |
| 7 | on and off for many years, long before I got |
| 8 | involved in this litigation. |
| 9 | Q Earlier with regard to the question that Mr. |
| 10 | Elrod had asked, you made a statement that you're 02:01PM |
| 11 | not sure how the ESW settlement figures into use of |
| 12 | litter. Do you recall that statement? |
| 13 | A I recall a discussion about the ESW, but I |
| 14 | don't recall that exact statement. |
| 15 | Q Okay. What is your just based on my notes, 02:02PM |
| 16 | we were discussing the oligopsony and monopsony |
| 17 | issues. |
| 18 | A I do not understand the role that growers |
| 19 | played in that settlement. He indicated |
| 20 | suggested there were grower meetings and all kind of 02:02PM |
| 21 | things and the growers agreed to this, and that's |
| 22 | what I was unaware of. |
| 23 | Q Okay. You also testified earlier that growers |
| 24 | were able to obtain a fair return on their |
| 25 | investments until the mid 1990's. Can you elaborate 02:03PM |
| | |

| 1 | on what happened in the mid 1990's that would have |
|----|---|
| 2 | changed that? |
| 3 | A Well, that information comes from farm |
| 4 | management specialists, and they pointed to the mid |
| 5 | 1990's, and certainly we've there's no detailed 02:03PM |
| 6 | public information on grower returns or even grower |
| 7 | pay per pound like what is in Agri Stats, but the |
| 8 | Alabama Farm Business Analysis Association indicates |
| 9 | that, with proper economic accounting, they're |
| 10 | barely getting minimum wage, the participating 02:03PM |
| 11 | growers, and they have those detailed records since, |
| 12 | oh, '95 or '96. I think it's a combination of |
| 13 | things, industry expansion slowed down, but also |
| 14 | that is when tunnel ventilation a push behind |
| 15 | tunnel ventilation and the much more expensive 02:04PM |
| 16 | equipment in the house took off, and that pushed |
| 17 | some of the farmers back into a debt cycle and so |
| 18 | forth. It's a combination of factors that I think |
| 19 | led to that. |
| 20 | Q Would any of those factors include the 02:04PM |
| 21 | government regulation of poultry litter? |
| 22 | A No, not to any significant degree. |
| 23 | Q As part of your May 15th opinions, did you |
| 24 | analyze the relationship between the poultry |
| 25 | operations in the Illinois River watershed and 02:05PM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | cattle operations? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Well, Mr. Elrod and I went over a lot of that, |
| 3 | that most of the operations have cow-calf |
| 4 | operations, and historically they have used the |
| 5 | litter, applied it to the pasture and hay land, and 02:05PM |
| 6 | that had some gross value. Going way back in time, |
| 7 | it had a lot of value, and now it has much less |
| 8 | value. So it does factor into their overall |
| 9 | operation, but as I've also indicated, I have not |
| 10 | analyzed in detail the on-farm economics of litter 02:06PM |
| 11 | application in the IRW because I wasn't asked to. |
| 12 | Q And that would include use of poultry litter |
| 13 | in non-poultry operations? |
| 14 | A It would involve looking at the poultry |
| 15 | operation and the cow-calf operation together. 02:06PM |
| 16 | Q Okay, and cow-calf operations independent of |
| 17 | any poultry operation? |
| 18 | A Or poultry operation, cow-calf litter applied, |
| 19 | cow-calf without litter applied or with part of it |
| 20 | applied or cow-calf with commercial nitrogen, with 02:06PM |
| 21 | urea applied rather than the poultry waste. |
| 22 | Q Okay, and in short, none of that analysis was |
| 23 | done? |
| 24 | A I was not asked to. I have not done it. |
| 25 | MR. HIXON: I believe that is all that I 02:07PM |
| | |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

```
have. Thank you.
 1
 2
                MR. RIGGS: Did you have questions?
                MR. TUCKER: Wow, we're still here. I'm
 3
       just teasing. No, I don't. Mine were covered and
 4
                                                                      02:07PM
 5
       then some.
                MR. RIGGS: Let's take a break. We might
 б
 7
      be finished.
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the Record.
 8
      The time is 2:07 p.m.
 9
                   (Following a short recess at 2:07 p.m.,
                                                                      02:07PM
10
11
      proceedings continued on the Record at 2:10 p.m.)
12
                MR. RIGGS: We have no questions, and we'll
       inform the witness you have the -- you'll get a copy
13
      of the transcript of your deposition, and we want
14
      you to read and sign it.
                                                                      02:10PM
15
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
16
                   (Whereupon, the deposition was
17
      concluded at 2:10 p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| 1 | SIGNATURE PAGE |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, Robert Taylor, PhD, do hereby certify |
| 4 | that the foregoing deposition was presented to me by |
| 5 | Lisa A. Steinmeyer as a true and correct transcript |
| 6 | of the proceedings in the above styled and numbered |
| 7 | cause, and I now sign the same as true and correct. |
| 8 | WITNESS my hand this day of |
| 9 | , 2008. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| | ROBERT TAYLOR, PhD |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this |
| 18 | , day of, 2008. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| | Notary Public |
| 22 | |
| 23 | My Commission Expires: |
| | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

```
1
                     Ε
                        R
                            Τ
                               I
                                  F
                                     I
                                        C
                                           Α
                                              Т
2
3
      STATE OF OKLAHOMA
                                SS.
4
      COUNTY OF TULSA
5
6
                  I, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, Certified
7
      Shorthand Reporter within and for Tulsa County,
8
      State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the above
9
      named witness was by me first duly sworn to testify
10
      the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
      in the case aforesaid, and that I reported in
11
12
      stenograph his deposition; that my stenograph notes
13
      were thereafter transcribed and reduced to
14
      typewritten form under my supervision, as the same
15
      appears herein.
16
                  I further certify that the foregoing 188
17
      pages contain a full, true and correct transcript of
18
      the deposition taken at such time and place.
19
                  I further certify that I am not attorney
20
      for or relative to either of said parties, or
      otherwise interested in the event of said action.
21
22
                  WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 22nd day
23
      of July, 2008.
24
                            LISA A. STEINMEYER, CRR
25
                            CSR No. 386
```

